Hearing Minutes

Board Chair Araujo called the meeting to order promptly at 9:30 AM and commenced with a brief description of the hearing process and, pursuant to the Massachusetts Open Meeting Law, advised those in attendance that the hearings would be broadcast and recorded and hearing minutes would be kept. The Chair announced that the hearing was being conducted remotely via an online meeting platform and subject to the below advisory which was part of the publicly posted hearing agenda. The Board members then commenced with discussion of the following Agenda items which were announced on the record by Board Secretary Mark Fortune:

PLEASE BE ADVISED OF THE FOLLOWING APPEALS TO BE HEARD ON APRIL 5, 2022 BEGINNING AT 9:30 AM AND RELATED ANNOUNCEMENTS.

ALL MATTERS LISTED ON THIS APRIL 5, 2022 HEARING AGENDA HAVE BEEN NOTICED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ENABLING ACT.

PLEASE BE ADVISED OF THE FOLLOWING PARTICIPATION INSTRUCTIONS:

THE APRIL 5, 2022 HEARING WILL BE HELD VIRTUALLY VIA VIDEO TELECONFERENCE AND TELEPHONE VIA THE ZOOM WEBINAR EVENT PLATFORM.

Interested persons can participate in the hearing REMOTELY by going to https://bit.ly/ZBAhearings. You may also participate by phone by calling into the Zoom Webinar at (301) 715-8592 and entering the Webinar ID: 996 0844 0932 followed by # when prompted.

If you wish to offer testimony on an appeal, please click https://bit.ly/April5Comment to sign up. Please provide your name, address, the address and/or BOA number of the appeal on which you wish to speak, and if you wish to speak in support of or opposition to the project.
For individuals who need translation assistance, please notify the Board at least 48 HOURS in advance either by signing up at https://bit.ly/April5Comment, calling 617-635-4775, or emailing zba.ambassador@boston.gov.

The ZBA Ambassador will be available within the Zoom Webinar Event from 8:30 AM to 9:30 AM to answer questions about ZBA procedures and offer instructions on how to participate in the hearing via Zoom. Questions and/or concerns can also be emailed to the ZBA Ambassador at zba.ambassador@boston.gov.

If you wish to offer comment within the meeting platform, please use the “Raise Hand” function that should appear on the bottom of your screen, if connected by computer or device, or dial *9, if connected by phone. On a computer or device, you will receive a request to unmute yourself from the event host. You must select yes before you can speak. On a phone, you will hear a prompt that the event host is asking you to unmute yourself. You must press *6 to unmute yourself before you can speak. Commenters will be asked to state their name, address and comment. Comments will be limited as time requires.

IF YOU WISH TO OFFER TESTIMONY ON AN APPEAL, PLEASE LOG IN TO THE HEARING NO LATER THAN 8:30AM TO ENSURE YOUR CONNECTION IS PROPERLY FUNCTIONING.

The hearing can also be viewed via live-stream on the City’s website at https://www.boston.gov/departments/broadband-and-cable/watch-boston-city-tv. Closed captioning is available.

Interested persons who are unable to participate in the hearing remotely may make an appointment to appear in person at City Hall in the BPDA Board Room. Please notify the Board at least 48 HOURS in advance either by calling 617-635-4775 or emailing isdboardofappeal@boston.gov for accommodations to be made.

MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY ARE STRONGLY ENCOURAGED TO HELP FACILITATE THE VIRTUAL HEARING PROCESS BY EMAILING LETTERS IN SUPPORT OF OR OPPOSITION TO AN APPEAL TO ZBAPublicInput@boston.gov IN LIEU OF OFFERING TESTIMONY ONLINE
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OR FROM BPDA BOARD ROOM. IT IS STRONGLY ENCOURAGED THAT WRITTEN COMMENTS BE SUBMITTED TO THE BOARD AT LEAST 48 HOURS PRIOR TO THE HEARING. WHEN DOING SO, PLEASE INCLUDE IN THE SUBJECT LINE THE BOA NUMBER, THE ADDRESS OF THE PROPOSED PROJECT AND THE DATE OF THE HEARING.

EXTENSIONS: 9:30AM

Case: BOA-904095  Address: 1423-1425 Tremont Street  Ward 9 Applicant: John Pulgini

Discussion: The applicant requested an extension of zoning relief previously granted by the Board relative to the above application.

Vote: Upon a Motion and second, the Board voted unanimously to approve the request with a new date of June 6, 2023 subject to all applicable tolling and a 1 year extension.

Case: BOA-892898 Address: 267 Old Colony Avenue Ward 7 Applicant: Douglas Stefanov, AIA

Discussion: The applicant requested an extension of zoning relief previously granted by the Board relative to the above application.

Vote: Upon a Motion and second, the Board voted unanimously to approve the request with a new date of March 27, 2023 subject to all applicable tolling and a 1 year extension.

Case: BOA-822528  Address: 71 Mozart Street  Ward 10 Applicant: Jason Hutchinson

Discussion/Vote: The applicant requested a deferral. Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to defer this matter until April 26, 2022.

Case: BOA-1015942  Address: 17 Waumbeck Street Ward 12 Applicant: Norberto Leon, RA

Discussion: The applicant requested an extension of zoning relief previously granted by the Board relative to the above application.

Vote: Upon a Motion and second, the Board voted unanimously to approve the request with a new date of June 5, 2023 subject to all applicable tolling and a 1 year extension.

Case: BOA-463655 Address: 120 West Fourth Street Ward 6 Applicant: Marc LaCasse, Esq

Discussion: The applicant requested an extension of zoning relief previously granted by the Board relative to the above application.

Vote: Upon a Motion and second, the Board voted unanimously to approve the request with a new date of April 19, 2023 subject to all applicable tolling and a 1 year extension.
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**BOARD FINAL ARBITER: 9:30AM**  

**Case:** BOA-927849  **Address:** 48-62 Brookline Avenue  **Ward 5**  **Applicant:** Dennis Quilty, Esq  

**Discussion/Vote:** Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to approve this matter with the proviso to return in 1 year after the Certificate of Occupancy issues.

**HEARINGS: 9:30AM**  

**Case:** BOA-1282481  **Address:** 200 Byron Street  **Ward 1**  **Applicant:** 200 Byron St., LLC  

**Article(s):** Article 53, Section 52 Roof Structure Restrictions  Article 53, Section 9 Floor Area Ratio Excessive  Article 53, Section 9 Bldg Height Excessive (Stories)  Article 53, Section 9 Front Yard Insufficient  Article 53, Section 9 Side Yard Insufficient  Article 27T 5 East Boston IPOD Applicability  

**Purpose:** Confirm Occupancy as a Single Family Dwelling. Change of Occupancy to Two Family Dwelling. Construct third floor vertical addition and rear addition. Extend living space to basement. Full Interior renovations according to plans submitted. Propose (3) off street parking.  

**Discussion:** At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to confirm as a 1 family to change to a 2 family. The basement will be a living area with no bedrooms. The parking will be in the rear with a curb cut.  

Board members asked about plans, proposal, handicap accessible.  

**Testimony:** The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board. The Carpenter’s union is in support, and an abutter is in opposition.  

**Documents/Exhibits:** Building Plans, letters of support, letter in opposition.  

**Votes:** Board Member Robinson moved to approve with BPDA design review and BTD review. Erlich seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

**Case:** BOA-1299105  **Address:** 219 Paris Street  **Ward 1**  **Applicant:** Star Property Holdings. LLC Noel DiCarlo  

**Article(s):** Art. 25 Sec. 5 Flood Hazard Districts  Art. 53 Sec. 09 Floor Area Ratio Excessive Art. 53 Sec. 09 Side yard insufficient  Art. 53 Sec. 12 Rear Yard insufficient  Art. 53 Sec. 56 Off street parking insufficient  Art. 53, Section 8 Use: Forbidden Art. 53 Sec. 04 Greenbelt Protection Overlay District Applicability  Article 53, Section 9 Add'l Lot Area Insufficient Article 53, Section 9 Bldg Height Excessive (Stories) Article 53, Section 9 Bldg Height Excessive (Feet) Article 53, Section 9 Usable Open Space Insufficient  

**Purpose:** Seeking to raze the existing structure and erect a new residential building with 6 units and 5 parking spaces.  

**Discussion:** At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to raze a commercial structure and erect a new residential dwelling with 6 units and 5 parking spots. There is accessibility up to the second-floor unit. This will include rear decks but not a roof deck. It is in context with the neighborhood and the parking is ground level.  

Board members asked about plans, proposal, violations, flood zone, context, maneuverability.  

**Testimony:** The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board. Councilor Edwards, an abutter, and the Carpenter’s Union spoke in support of the project.  

**Documents/Exhibits:** Building Plans, letters of support.
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**Votes:** Board Member Ruggiero moved to approve with BPDA design review with special attention to the building in the rear. Ligris seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

**Case:** BOA-1299269  **Address:** 4 Holden Row  **Ward:** 2  **Applicant:** Casey Paton  
**Article(s):** Article 62, Section 8  **Floor Area Ratio Excessive** 
**Purpose:** Renovation of basement for extended living space. All parts of project carried out as specified on the plans provided by the architect/engineer Includes all trades, sub contractors, services to completion of work.

**Discussion:** At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to renovate the basement and extend the living space.

Board members asked about plans, configuration and scope.

**Testimony:** The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board.

**Documents/Exhibits:** Building Plans.

**Votes:** Board Member Robinson moved to approve with no building code relief. Erlich seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

**Case:** BOA- 1285145  **Address:** 745 Atlantic Avenue  **Ward:** 3  **Applicant:** Oxford Properties Group  
**Article(s):** Article 44, Section 7  **Specific Design Requirements - Due to Display Window Area Regulations.** Article 44, Section 6  **Roof Additions in Leather Dist - Due to mechanical equipment and screening.** Article 32, Section 4  **GCOD, Applicability** 
**Purpose:** Change occupancy of 155,000 sq ft of existing commercial space to include life science/R&D and office space as per plans.

**Discussion:** At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to change the occupancy to allow for science and R&D use. Re-doing the HVAC and the systems to accommodate the occupants. No production just research.

Board members asked about plans, tenant, building, ventilation.

**Testimony:** The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board. Councilor Flynn, Representative Michlewitz, an abutter and the Carpenter’s Union are in support.

**Documents/Exhibits:** Building Plans, BGWT letters.

**Votes:** Board Member Erlich moved to approve, Ruggiero seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

**Case:** BOA-1289823  **Address:** 358-360 Athens Street  **Ward:** 6  **Applicant:** Michael Tokatlyan  
**Article(s):** Article 68, Section 8  **Rear Yard Insufficient** Article 68, Section 8  **Bldg Height Excessive (Feet)** 
**Purpose:** Proposal to add two roof decks to structure. Unit 1 and Unit 2 will each have access to their own individual roof deck. Amendment to proposal filed by prior owner of project.

**Discussion:** At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to add 2 roof decks.
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Board members asked about plans, dimensions, year home was built, and previous provisos.

Testimony: The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board. Councilor Flynn and Flaherty are in opposition.

Documents/Exhibits: Building Plans, letters in support and opposition.

Votes: Board Member Ligris recused himself. Then Board Member Erlich moved to approve with building code relief. Robinson seconded and the motion carried unanimously. Ruggiero then moved to deny the project, Dong seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

Case: BOA#1289831  Address: 358-360 Athens Street  Ward 6 Applicant: Michael Tokatlyan
Purpose: Proposal to add two roof decks to structure. Unit 1 and Unit 2 will each have access to their own individual roof deck. Amendment to proposal filed by prior owner of project
Violation
Violation Description Violation Comments 9th 780 CMR 1011Stairways1011.12.2 Roof access. Where a stairway is provided to a roof, access to the roof shall be provided through a penthouse complying with Section 1510.2.

Discussion: At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to add 2 roof decks.

Board members asked about plans, dimensions, year home was built, and previous provisos.

Testimony: The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board. Councilor Flynn and Flaherty are in opposition.

Documents/Exhibits: Building Plans, letters in support and opposition.

Votes: Board Member Ligris recused himself. Then Board Member Erlich moved to approve with building code relief. Robinson seconded and the motion carried unanimously. Ruggiero then moved to deny the project, Dong seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

Case: BOA-1286347  Address: 16 Beaufield Street  Ward 16 Applicant: James Christopher
Article(s): Art. 65 Sec. 08Forbidden -Two family in a one family sub district Article 65, Section 9Insufficient rear yard setback Art. 65 Sec. 41Off street parking requirements - (4) Location; Parking located within required front yard not allowed
Purpose: To combine lots and then construct a new addition as per the attached plans to change occupancy from one to two residential units. I hope

Discussion: At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to combine existing lots to construct a new addition from a 1 family to a 2 unit residential. The property is at grade for accessibility and 3 parking spaces.

Board members asked about plans, proposal, handicap accessible.

Testimony: The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board. Councilor Baker spoke in support.

Documents/Exhibits: Building Plans.

Votes: Board Member Ruggiero moved to approve with BTD review with attention to the curb cut, site plan, and reduce the curb cut to 10 feet. Erlich seconded and the motion carried unanimously.
Case: BOA-1141565 Address: 1809-1813B Dorchester Avenue Ward 16 Applicant: James Christopher

**Article(s):** Article 65, Section 8 Use Regulations - General Retail Business use (2): Forbidden
Article 65, Section 42.2 Conformity w Ex Bldg Alignment - Modal for Banton St. and Dorchester Ave not provided
Article 65, Section 9 Add'l Lot Area Insufficient Article 65, Section 9 Floor Area Ratio Excessive Article 65, Section 9 Bldg Height Excessive (Stories) Article 65, Section 9 Bldg Height Excessive (Feet) Article 65, Section 9 Usable Open Space Insufficient Article 65, Section 9 Side Yard Insufficient Article 65, Section 9 Rear Yard Insufficient Article 65, Section 41 Off Street Parking & Loading Req - Spaces required: 42 Proposed: 0 Article 65, Section 42.3 Traffic Visibility Across Corner

**Purpose:** Combined 2 lots, parcel ID 1601490000 of 7,475sqft and parcel ID1601489020 of 2,046sqft totaling 9,521sqft to erect a new 5 Story 28 unit mixed use building, with two commercial spaces as per attached plans.

**Discussion:** At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting an article 80 small project approved, but to demolish the existing building and erect 26 residential units. This will include no parking on site, retail and amenities.

Board members asked about plans, building alignment, traffic, context, parking, elevations.

**Testimony:** The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board. Councilor Worrell, the president of the St. Marks Association, and multiple abutters are in opposition. There is a letter from the Greater Ashmont Association in support on file.

**Documents/Exhibits:** Building Plans, letters in opposition.

**Votes:** Board Member Ruggiero moved to approve with BPDA design review. Robinson seconded, but Dong and Erlich opposed, so the motion failed 4-2.

Case: BOA-1282495 Address: 225 Southampton Street Ward 8 Applicant: Green Flash Delivery, LLC

**Article(s):** Art 90 Sec. 7 Conditional - Cannabis establishment

**Purpose:** Change the legal occupancy of the building to include wholesale purchasing and retail delivery of cannabis and cannabis products. Addition of a secure loading area.

**Discussion:** At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to change the occupancy to include cannabis delivery. There will be no public component and there are 4-5 employees at a time. This will include delivery directly to homes and only private residences.

Board members asked about plans, staff, deliveries, hours, proposal, and hiring practices.

**Testimony:** The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board. Councilor Baker, abutters, and a Boston resident is in support.

**Documents/Exhibits:** Building Plans.

**Votes:** Board Member Erlich moved to approve with the proviso that it is for this petitioner only. Ruggiero seconded the motion carried unanimously.
HEARINGS: 10:30AM

Case: BOA-1268636  Address: 167 Maverick Street  Ward 1 Applicant: Allegory, LLC
Article(s): Art. 53, Section 8 Use: Forbidden Article 53, Section 9 Add'l Lot Area Insufficient Article 53, Section 9 Floor Area Ratio Excessive Article 53, Section 9 Bldg Height Excessive (Stories) Article 53, Section 9 Bldg Height Excessive (Feet) Article 53, Section 9 Usable Open Space Insufficient Article 53, Section 9 Side Yard Insufficient Article 53, Section 9 Rear Yard Insufficient Article 53, Section 52 Roof Structure Restrictions Article 53 Section 56 Off Street Parking & Loading Req Article 32, Section 4. GCOD, Applicability
Article 27T 5 East Boston IPOD Applicability
Purpose: Erect a new residential four story building with eleven units, roof decks, and four rear parking spaces (accessed through Easement bk.63710 pg.307). Existing structure to be razed under separate demo permit.

Discussion/Vote: The applicant requested a deferral to work with the parks department. Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to defer this matter until May 10th 2022 at 11:30am.

Case: BOA-1283228  Address: 227-237 Hanover Street  Ward 3 Applicant: Hamel Properties, LLC
Article(s): Art. 07 Sec. 4 Other Protectional Conditions- Removal of Proviso
Purpose: No work required. Remove proviso ‘granted to this petitioner only’

Discussion: At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to remove the previous proviso for the new restaurant.

Board members asked about plans, business, experience, hours of operation.

Testimony: The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board.

Documents/Exhibits: Building Plans, letters of support.

Votes: Board Member Erlich moved to approve with all standard takeout provisos, and to this petitioner only. Ruggiero seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

Case: BOA-1300477  Address: 142 Saint Botolph Street  Ward 4 Applicant: Abhayjit Bedi
Article(s): Article 41 Section 5 Establishment of Prot. Areas - 41 5 (2) Floor area ratio is excessive Article 41 Section 6 Rooftop Addtns. in Prot. Area Art. 41, Sec. 18.5 Small Project Review
Purpose: Add partial 4th story addition to create approximately 650 square feet of additional living area for Unit 3; walk out terrace from 4th floor addition onto roof of existing building.

Discussion: At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to add a partial 4th floor addition for unit 3 with a walkout terrace.

Board members asked about plans, and previous Board hearings.

Testimony: The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board.

Documents/Exhibits: Building Plans.

Votes: Board Member Robinson moved to approve, Ruggiero seconded, Ligris recused, and the motion carried unanimously.
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**Case: BOA- 1258638  Address: 20 Hinckley Street  Ward 13 Applicant: Mike Tokatlyan**

*Article(s):* Art. 65 Sec. 9 Insufficient lot size Art. 65 Sec. 9 Insufficient lot width frontage Art. 65 Sec. 9 Insufficient lot width Art. 65 Sec. 9 Insufficient Front yard setback Art. 65 Sec. 9 Insufficient side yard setback Art. 65 Sec. 9 Excessive height Art. 65 Sec. 9 Excessive # of stories Art. 65 Sec. 9 Excessive F.A.R.

*Purpose:* Seeking to erect a single family home with one parking space on a vacant lot.

**Discussion/Vote:** The applicant requested a deferral to advertise. Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to defer this matter until May 10th, 2022, 11:30am.

**Case: BOA- 1269870  Address: 75R-75BR West Milton Street  Ward 18 Applicant: Bernard & Joann Coleman**

*Article(s):* Article 69, Section 9 Lot Area Insufficient Article 69, Section 9 Usable Open Space Insufficient Article 69, Section 9 Front Yard Insufficient Article 69, Section 9 Side Yard Insufficient Article 69, Section 9 Rear Yard Insufficient

*Purpose:* Demolition of an existing single family dwelling/accessory building in the 1F 6000 Sub-district | Hyde Park Neighborhood Zone. The lot abuts the 2F 5000 Sub-district and an existing 3 family dwelling. Proposed construction consists of a 3 family townhouse structure.

**Discussion/Vote:** The Board moved to defer. Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to defer this matter until June 21st, 2022 at 11:30.

**Case: BOA- 1300152  Address: 43 Rosewood Street  Ward 18 Applicant: Wilding Marinez**

*Article(s):* Art. 60 Sec. 09 Floor Area Ratio excessive Art. 60 Sec. 09 Rear yard insufficient Art. 60 Sec. 09 ^ Usable open space insufficient Article 60, Section 8 Use: Forbidden - Three Family is a Forbidden use in a 2F 4000 Sub-district

*Purpose:* Change occupancy from 2 family to 3 family as per plans. Remove Partial Sheetrock, Flooring, Plumbing, Electrical, Chimney, Remove Non Weight Bearing Wall for open concept, Replace sheetrock, Flooring, Plumbing, Electrical, Insulation, Painting as needed, New HVCA System, Doors, Window, Sprinkle System, Make the basement a living space.

**Discussion:** At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to change the occupancy from a 2 family to a 3 family. To add dormers on the attic and add living space in the basement and attic. Unit #1 would be in the basement.

Board members asked about plans, proposal, configuration, access, dormers, and the basement.

**Testimony:** The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board.

**Documents/Exhibits:** Building Plans.

**Votes:** Board Member Erlich moved to deny, Robinson seconded, and Ruggiero opposed. The motion carried 5-1.

**Case: BOA-1298815  Address: 4014 Washington Street Ward 19 Applicant: Felipe Duran**

*Article(s):* Art.67 Sec.33 Insufficient Front yard set back Art. 67 Sec. 11Use Regulations - Take Out Conditional

*Purpose:* Renovation restaurant as per plans. Addition on the front of the restaurant for take out use.

**Discussion:** At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to add a canopy to the front for takeout use. This is handicap accessible and the parking lot is in the rear of the building.

Board members asked about plans, proposal, take out inside, and the canopy.
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Testimony: The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board.

Documents/Exhibits: Building Plans, letter in opposition.

Votes: Board Member Ruggiero moved to deny. Erlich seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

Case: BOA-1224194   Address: 200 High Street   Ward 3 Applicant: Rebecca Rutenberg
Article(s): Art 45 Sec.14 Use:Conditional - Cannabis Establishment use. 45 14.4 (q) Proposed is not located at least 2,640 feet from another existing cannabis establishment Article 45 Section 14Use Regulations - Location Forbidden. Buffer zone conflict. Proposed within 2,640 feet of another cannabis establishment.
Purpose: Change of occupancy to Cannabis Establishment.

Discussion/Vote: The applicant requested a deferral. Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to defer this matter until May 24th 2022 at 11:30am.

RE-DISCUSSIONS: 11:30 AM

Case: BOA-1279390 Address: 566 East Third Street Ward 6 Applicant: Josh Crowe
Article(s): Art. 09 Sec. 01 Reconstruction/Extension of - Existing lot is undersized Nonconforming Bldg Extension of non-conforming building Article 68, Section 8 Side Yard Insufficient Article 68, Section 8 Rear Yard Insufficient Article 68, Section 8 Floor Area Ratio Excessive
Purpose: Extend building foundation 24 feet to the rear. Increase space of each unit in line with modern living standards. Add living room, dining room and kitchen space to lowest level. Add walk out sunken patio to Unit 1 and rear decks to units 2 and 3. Add 2.5 bathrooms total.

Discussion/Vote: The applicant requested a deferral to review the plans and re advertise. Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to defer this matter until June 7th 2022 at 11:30am.

Case: BOA-1246711  Address: 593-595 Albany Street  Ward 8  Applicant: Andrew Brassard
Article(s): Article 32, Section 4.  GCOD, Applicability Article 64, Section 16  Floor Area Ratio Excessive Article 64, Section 16 Building Height Excessive Article 64, Section 16 Rear Yard Insufficient Article 64, Section 36 Off-Street Parking & Loading Req - Inappropriate maneuvering area and means of vehicular access to the street Article 64, Section 36 Off-Street Parking & Loading Req - Off-Street Loading Insufficient Article 65, Section 15 Use Regulations - Multi-Family Dwelling Use:Conditional
Purpose: Erect a new 6 story, Mixed-Use building. There will be a Retail Use and at-grade Parking on the Ground Level. On Floors 2 thru 6 will be a 10 Unit Residential Use with Roof Decks. Building will be fully sprinklered.

Discussion: At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting to erect a 6 story mixed use building with street level retail and roof decks. One deck is private and one deck is for public use. One is an affordable unit.

Board members asked about plans, proposal, roof decks, and unit breakdown.

Testimony: The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood deferred judgement to the Board. Councilor Flynn is in support.

Documents/Exhibits: Building Plans, BGWT letters.

Votes: Board Member Erlich moved to approve with BPDA design review, Robinson seconded and the motion moved unanimously.
Case: BOA- 1285330 Address: 85 Mount Pleasant Avenue Ward 8 Applicant: Tim McGovern
Article(s): Article 50, Section 28 Use regulations - Multi Family Dwelling Use: Forbidden Article 50, Section 29 Side Yard Insufficient Article 50, Section 29 Usable Open Space Insufficient Article 50, Section 29 Floor Area Ratio Excessive Article 50, Section 29 Add'l Lot Area Insufficient Article 10, Section 1 Off street parking shall not be located less than 5' 0" from the side lot line.
Purpose: Confirm occupancy as a one family. Change of Occupancy to Multi Family Dwelling (8 units) and propose (8) off Street parking. Remove rear addition and garage of existing single family. Construct new addition, fully renovate and restore existing facade.

Discussion/Vote: The applicant requested a deferral to meet with the community. Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to defer this matter until June 7th 2022 at 11:30am.

Case: BOA-1237014 Address: 157 West Springfield Street Ward 9 Applicant: Michael DelleFave
Article(s): Art. 32 Sec. 32 4 Groundwater Conservation Overlay District, Applicability Art. 64 Sec. 34 Restricted Roof Structur Regs Article 64, Section 9 Floor Area Ratio Excessive Article 64, Section 9 Usable Open Space Insufficient Article 64, Section 9 Rear Yard Insufficient Art. 09 Sec. 01 Reconstruction/Extension of Nonconforming Bldg.
Purpose :Renovate and extend existing building; change legal occupancy from Church (Doc #3562/00) to 9 dwelling units."

Discussion/Vote: The applicant requested a deferral to get the no harm letter. Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to defer this matter until May 10th, 2022 at 11:30am.

Case: BOA- 1215510 Address: 510 Canterbury Street Ward 14 Applicant: St. Michael Cemetery Crop
Article(s): Art. 29 Sec. 04 Greenbelt Protection Overlay District Applicability Article 60, Section 40 Off Street Parking & Loading Req
Purpose: New 1 story 7,600 SF addition to existing building. New parking area with drainage. New electrical service. New fire alarm system. New sprinkler system in both existing building and addition. 3 new bathrooms. Interior finishes.

Discussion: At the request of the Board, the applicant presented plans and described the proposed project in detail requesting a new 1 story addition with 26 parking spaces, 12 retorts, and 112 new shrubs and greenery.

Board members asked about plans, layout, increase in use, operation, brook in rear, air quality, addition, and viewings.

Testimony: The Board then requested testimony from neighbors and elected officials. Mayor’s Office of Neighborhood spoke in opposition of the project. Councilor Worrell, abutters, and the Canterbury Association are also in opposition.

Documents/Exhibits: Building Plans.

Votes: Board Member Erlich moved to deny without prejudice. Ruggiero seconded and the motion carried unanimously.

Case: BOA-1249024 Address: 8 Norton Street Ward 15 Applicant: Selwyn Eccles
Article(s): Art. 65 Sec. 02 Conformity with Existing Building Alignment - modal not provided to verify compliance. Art. 65 Sec. 41 Off street parking requirements - Design. Art. 10 Sec. 01 Limitation of off street parking areas Article 65, Section 9 Lot Area Insufficient Article 65, Section 9 Lot Frontage Insufficient Article 65, Section 9 Lot Width Insufficient Article 65, Section 9 Floor Area Ratio Excessive Article 65, Section 9 Bldg Height Excessive (Stories) Article 65, Section 9 Side Yard Insufficient Article 65, Section 9 Rear Yard Insufficient
Purpose :Erect 3 family residential building with 3 tandem parking spaces

Discussion/Vote: The applicant requested a deferral for a plan review. Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to defer this matter until May 24th 2022 at 11:30am.
Case: BOA-1166627 Address: 60 Stanley Street Ward 15 Applicant: John Pulgini

Articles: Art. 65 Sec. 08 Forbidden - 13 family use Article 65, Section 9 Front Yard: Min. 15' Proposed: 1' and 3' Article 65, Section 9 FAR Max. allowed: 0.4 Proposed: 1.72 Article 65, Section 42.3 Traffic Visibility Across Corner Article 65, Section 9 Bldg Height Excessive (Stories) Article 65, Sec 65 Off Street Loading Req.-spaces required: 1.5*13 units= 19.5. Proposed: 13 Article 65, Section 9 Side Yard Insufficient Article 65, Section 9 Rear Yard Insufficient

Purpose: To construct a new three story residential building with 13 residential units (UPDATED TO 8 RESIDENTIAL UNITS 03/03/21), with off street parking as per attached plans. To combine the 2 existing parcels; 1501963000 consisting of 3,995 SF and Parcel 1501692000 4,099 SF to create a new lot consisting 8,095 sqf

Discussion/Vote: The applicant requested a deferral to review the plans and eliminate the violations. Upon a motion and a second, the Board voted unanimously to defer this matter until June 21, 2022 at 11:30am.

STEPHANIE HAYNES
BOARD OF APPEAL
617-635-4775

BOARD MEMBERS:

CHRISTINE ARAUJO- CHAIR
JOSEPH RUGGIERO- ACTING SECRETARY
MARK ERLICH
SHERRY DONG
ERIC ROBINSON
KOSTA LIGRIS

SUBSTITUTE MEMBERS:

For the complete text of the Boston Zoning Code Articles and definitions of terms in this agenda, please go to https://www.municode.com/library/ma/boston/codes/redevelopment_authority

For a video recording of the April 5, 2022 Board of Appeal Hearing please go to: https://cityofboston.gov/cable/video_library.asp.