MassDOT - Victory Road Depot Improvements Notice of Intent Application

Submitted to the Boston Conservation Commission

Location: Victory Road Dorchester, MA



Applicant:

Massachusetts Department of Transportation Highway Division 10 Park Plaza Boston, MA 02116

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 226 Causeway Street, 6th Floor Boston, MA 02114

October 23, 2018



Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 226 Causeway Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02114

October 23, 2018 File: 179410756

Attention: Amelia Croteau, Executive Secretary

Boston Conservation Commission Boston City Hall Room 709 Boston, MA 02201

Dear Ms. Croteau and Members of the Conservation Commission:

Reference: Notice of Intent Application MassDOT - Victory Road Depot Improvements, Boston, Massachusetts

On behalf of the Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT), Stantec is re-submitting a Notice of Intent (NOI) Application, initially submitted on August 1, 2018, to redevelop an existing maintenance depot lot between the southbound lanes of Interstate 93 (I-93) and Freeport Street in the Dorchester area of Boston, Massachusetts. This re-submitted NOI Application includes revised project drawings, revised stormwater report, and the Climate Resiliency Checklist.

The existing compacted gravel lot, owned by MassDOT, will be used to construct salt storage facilities, including two salt storage sheds and an associated administrative building, for winter road maintenance operations. Portions of the project work will occur within the 100-year floodplain of the tidally-influenced Dorchester Bay, which qualifies as Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF). Details of the proposed work activities are described in the Project Narrative and depicted on the NOI Plans.

A check made payable to the City of Boston in the amount of \$2,087.50 for the WPA filing fee was sent previously on August 2, 2018. We understand that the City will coordinate the legal ad and the Boston Herald will bill the MassDOT. An electronic copy of the NOI will be forwarded to you via email/FTP. The previous submission to the MassDEP included a check for \$2,062.50; and MassDEP issued file number 006-1608.

Thank you for your consideration of this Application. We look forward to meeting with you at the November 7, 2018 Public Hearing. If you have any questions regarding the proposed project, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

STANTEC CONSULTING SERVICES INC.

Michael Paiewonsky Phone: (857) 415-3920 Michael Paiewonsky@stantec.com

Design with community in mind



October 23, 2018 Amelia Croteau, Executive Secretary Page 2 of 2

Reference: Notice of Intent Application MassDOT - Victory Road Depot Improvements, Boston, Massachusetts

Attachment: NOI Application c. Susan McArthur, MassDOT, MassDEP

Table of Contents

WPA FORM 3 – NOTICE OF INTENT WETLAND FEE TRANSMITTAL FORM

List of Appendices

APPENDIX A – PROJECT NARRATIVE

1		1
2	EXISTING CONDITIONS	1
2.1	METHODOLOGY OF RESOURCE AREA INVESTIGATIONS	2
2.2	DESCRIPTION OF RESOURCE AREA	3
2.3	MA NATURAL HERITAGE & ENDANGERED SPECIES PROGRAM DESIGNATION	3
2.4	OTHER PROTECTED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES	3
3	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	4
3.1	CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE	4
3.2	EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROLS AND OTHER MITIGATION MEASURES	4
4	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	5
4.1	MASSACHUSETTS WETLANDS PROTECTION ACT	5
4.2	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	5
5	SUMMARY	6

APPENDIX B – Figures

Figure 1: USGS Site Locus Map Figure 2: Locus Map Figure 3: DEP Priority Resources and Critical Areas Resource Map Figure 4: Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)

- APPENDIX C Site Photographs
- **APPENDIX D Project NOI Plans**
- APPENDIX E Wetland Survey Memorandum
- APPENDIX F Stormwater Report (separate cover)
- APPENDIX G Climate Resiliency Report Summary





Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town





Note:
Before
completing this
form consult
your local
Conservation
Commission
regarding any
municipal bylaw
or ordinance.

Pro	Project Location (Note: electronic filers will click on button to locate project site):					
Fre	eport Street	Boston	02122			
a. S	Street Address	b. City/Tow	n c. Zip Cod			
l at	titude and Longitude:	42.29780	.71.047875			
Lai	indue and Longitude.	d. Latitude	e. Longitude			
160	00252001	n/a				
f. As	ssessors Map/Plat Number	g. Parcel /L	Lot Number			
. Apj	plicant:					
Su	san	McArt	hur			
a. F	First Name	b. Last	Name			
Ма	ssachusetts Department of T	ransportation - Highway Divis	ion			
c. C	Organization					
10	Park Plaza, Room 4260					
d. S	Street Address					
Bo	ston	MA	02116			
e. C	City/Town	f. State	g. Zip Code			
857	7-368-8807	Susan.McArt	hur@state ma us			
		mbor i Email Addrood				
. Pro	operty owner (required if diffe	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant):	Check if more than one owner			
. Pro	operty owner (required if diffe	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): [] (Check if more than one owner			
. Pro a. F c. C	operty owner (required if diffe	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): . Last	Check if more than one owner			
. Prc a. F c. C d. S	Operty owner (required if diffe	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last	Check if more than one owner Name			
a. F c. C d. S e. C	Dirganization Street Address City/Town	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last f. State	Check if more than one owner Name			
. Prc a. F c. C d. S e. C h. P	Drganization City/Town Phone Number i. Fax Nu	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last b. Last f. State nber j. Email address	Check if more than one owner Name			
. Pro a. F c. C d. S e. C h. P	Dirganization City/Town Phone Number City/Town	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last f. State mber j. Email address	Name			
. Prc a. F c. C d. S e. C h. P . Re Lor	Prone Number (required if diffe	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last f. State mber j. Email address Benoit	Check if more than one owner Name g. Zip Code			
a. F c. C d. S e. C h. P . Re Lor a. F	Prone Number (required if diffe First Name Organization Street Address City/Town Phone Number i. Fax Nu presentative (if any): ri First Name	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last f. State nber j. Email address Benoit b. Last	Check if more than one owner Name g. Zip Code t Name			
a. F c. C d. S e. C h. P Lor a. F Sta	City/Town City/	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last b. Last f. State f. State b. Last b. Last b. Last b. Last	Check if more than one owner Name g. Zip Code t Name			
a. F c. C d. S e. C h. P c. C h. P Lor a. F Sta c. C	City/Town City/	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last b. Last f. State f. State b. Last b. Last b. Last b. Last b. Last b. Last	Check if more than one owner Name g. Zip Code			
a. F a. F c. C d. S e. C h. P c. C h. P Sta c. C 136	City/Town City/	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last 	Check if more than one owner Name			
$\begin{array}{c} \text{A} & \text{Prc} \\ \hline a & \text{F} \\ \hline c & \text{C} \\ \hline c & \text{C} \\ \hline \hline c & \text{C} \\ \hline \hline d & \text{S} \\ \hline \hline c & \text{C} \\ \hline \hline h & \text{P} \\ \hline \hline h & \text{P} \\ \hline \hline h & \text{P} \\ \hline \hline c & \text{C} \\ \hline \hline a & \text{F} \\ \hline \hline Sta \\ \hline c & \text{C} \\ \hline \hline d & \text{S} \\ \hline \end{array}$	Prone Number (required if difference in Fax Number (required if diffe	nber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last b. Last f. State mber j. Email address Benoit b. Last b. Last	Check if more than one owner Name g. Zip Code t Name			
. Pro a. F c. C d. S e. C h. P . Re <u>Lor</u> a. F <u>Sta</u> c. C <u>136</u> d. S	City/Town City/	mber j. Email Address rent from applicant): b. Last b. Last f. State f. State b. Last Benoit b. Last	Check if more than one owner Name g. Zip Code t Name 01060			
6. Pro a. F c. C d. S e. C h. P b. Re Lor a. F Sta c. C 136 d. S Noi e. C	City/Town City/	mber j. Email Address rent from applicant): □ b. Last b. Last mber j. Email address mber j. Email address Benoit b. Last	Check if more than one owner Name g. Zip Code t Name			

5. Total WPA Fee Paid (from NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form):

\$4,150	\$2,062.50	\$2,087.50
a. Total Fee Paid	b. State Fee Paid	c. City/Town Fee Paid



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

A. General Information (continued)

6. General Project Description:

The Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) Highway Division proposes to redevelop an existing maintenance lot between the southbound lanes of Interstate 93 (I-93) and Freeport Street in Dorchester, Massachusetts, as a salt shed storage facility for winter road maintenance and an administrative building.

7a. Project Type Checklist: (Limited Project Types see Section A. 7b.)

1.	Single Family Home	2. Residential Subdivision
3.	Commercial/Industrial	4. Dock/Pier
5.	Utilities	6. 🗌 Coastal engineering Structure
7.	Agriculture (e.g., cranberries, forestry)	8. 🛛 Transportation

- 9. 🛛 Other
- 7b. Is any portion of the proposed activity eligible to be treated as a limited project (including Ecological Restoration Limited Project) subject to 310 CMR 10.24 (coastal) or 310 CMR 10.53 (inland)?

	If yes, describe which limited project applies to this project. (See 310 CMR
	10.24 and 10.53 for a complete list and description of limited project types)

2. Limited Project Type

If the proposed activity is eligible to be treated as an Ecological Restoration Limited Project (310 CMR10.24(8), 310 CMR 10.53(4)), complete and attach Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Limited Project Checklist and Signed Certification.

8. Property recorded at the Registry of Deeds for:

n/a	n/a
a. County	b. Certificate # (if registered land)
n/a	n/a
c. Book	d. Page Number

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent)

- 1. Buffer Zone Only Check if the project is located only in the Buffer Zone of a Bordering Vegetated Wetland, Inland Bank, or Coastal Resource Area.
- 2. Inland Resource Areas (see 310 CMR 10.54-10.58; if not applicable, go to Section B.3, Coastal Resource Areas).

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and any supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

	<u>Resour</u>	<u>ce Area</u>	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
For all projects	a. 🗌	Bank	1. linear feet	2. linear feet
affecting other Resource Areas, please attach a	b. 🔛	Bordering Vegetated Wetland	1. square feet	2. square feet
narrative explaining how the resource	c. 🗌	Land Under Waterbodies and	1. square feet	2. square feet
area was delineated.		Waterways	3. cubic yards dredged	
	<u>Resour</u>	ce Area	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
	d. 🗌	Bordering Land Subject to Flooding	1. square feet	2. square feet
			3. cubic feet of flood storage lost	4. cubic feet replaced
	e. 🗌	Isolated Land Subject to Flooding	1. square feet	
			2. cubic feet of flood storage lost	3. cubic feet replaced
	f. 🗌	Riverfront Area	1. Name of Waterway (if available) - sp	pecify coastal or inland
	2.	Width of Riverfront Area	a (check one):	
		25 ft Designated I	Densely Developed Areas only	
		🔲 100 ft New agricu	Itural projects only	
		200 ft All other pro	ojects	
	3.	Total area of Riverfront A	rea on the site of the proposed proj	ect: square feet
	4.	Proposed alteration of the	Riverfront Area:	
	a.1	total square feet	b. square feet within 100 ft.	c. square feet between 100 ft. and 200 ft.
	5.	Has an alternatives analy	sis been done and is it attached to	this NOI?
	6.	Was the lot where the act	ivity is proposed created prior to Au	igust 1, 1996? □ Yes □ No
З	3. 🛛 Coa	astal Resource Areas: (Se	ee 310 CMR 10.25-10.35)	
	Note:	for coastal riverfront areas	s, please complete Section B.2.f. a	above.



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40 Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

B. Buffer Zone & Resource Area Impacts (temporary & permanent) (cont'd)

Check all that apply below. Attach narrative and supporting documentation describing how the project will meet all performance standards for each of the resource areas altered, including standards requiring consideration of alternative project design or location.

Online Users: Include your		<u>Resou</u>	rce Area	Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
transaction number		а. 🗌	Designated Port Areas	Indicate size under Land Unde	r the Ocean, below
(provided on your receipt page) with all		b. 🗌	Land Under the Ocean	1. square feet	
information you				2. cubic yards dredged	
Department.		c. 🗌	Barrier Beach	Indicate size under Coastal Bea	ches and/or Coastal Dunes below
		d. 🗌	Coastal Beaches	1. square feet	2. cubic yards beach nourishment
		e. 🗌	Coastal Dunes	1. square feet	2. cubic yards dune nourishment
				Size of Proposed Alteration	Proposed Replacement (if any)
		f. 🗌	Coastal Banks	1. linear feet	
		g. 🗌	Rocky Intertidal Shores	1. square feet	
		h. 🗌	Salt Marshes	1. square feet	2. sq ft restoration, rehab., creation
		i. 🗌	Land Under Salt Ponds	1. square feet	
				2. cubic yards dredged	
		j. 🗌	Land Containing Shellfish	1. square feet	
		k. 🗌	Fish Runs	Indicate size under Coastal Ban Ocean, and/or inland Land Unde above	ks, inland Bank, Land Under the er Waterbodies and Waterways,
		I. 🔀	Land Subject to	1. cubic yards dredged 36,000	
	4.	☐ Re If the p square amoun	Coastal Storm Flowage estoration/Enhancement roject is for the purpose of footage that has been enter there.	1. square feet restoring or enhancing a wetland ered in Section B.2.b or B.3.h abo	resource area in addition to the ve, please enter the additional
		a. square	e feet of BVW	b. square feet of S	Salt Marsh
	5.	🗌 Pro	oject Involves Stream Cros	sings	
		a. numb	er of new stream crossings	b. number of repla	acement stream crossings



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements

This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip Section C and complete Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Notice of Intent – Required Actions (310 CMR 10.11).

Streamlined Massachusetts Endangered Species Act/Wetlands Protection Act Review

 Is any portion of the proposed project located in Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife as indicated on the most recent Estimated Habitat Map of State-Listed Rare Wetland Wildlife published by the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP)? To view habitat maps, see the Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas or go to http://maps.massgis.state.ma.us/PRI_EST_HAB/viewer.htm.

a. 🗌 Yes 🛛 No	If yes, include proof of mailing or hand delivery of NOI to:
	Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program Division of Fisheries and Wildlife
August 2017	1 Rabbit Hill Road Westborough MA 01591
b. Date of map	westborough, MA 01561

If yes, the project is also subject to Massachusetts Endangered Species Act (MESA) review (321 CMR 10.18). To qualify for a streamlined, 30-day, MESA/Wetlands Protection Act review, please complete Section C.1.c, and include requested materials with this Notice of Intent (NOI); *OR* complete Section C.2.f, if applicable. *If MESA supplemental information is not included with the NOI, by completing Section 1 of this form, the NHESP will require a separate MESA filing which may take up to 90 days to review (unless noted exceptions in Section 2 apply, see below).*

c. Submit Supplemental Information for Endangered Species Review*

(a) within wetland Resource Area

percentage/acreage

(b) outside Resource Area

percentage/acreage

- 2. C Assessor's Map or right-of-way plan of site
- 2. Project plans for entire project site, including wetland resource areas and areas outside of wetlands jurisdiction, showing existing and proposed conditions, existing and proposed tree/vegetation clearing line, and clearly demarcated limits of work **
 - (a) Project description (including description of impacts outside of wetland resource area & buffer zone)
 - (b) D Photographs representative of the site

^{*} Some projects **not** in Estimated Habitat may be located in Priority Habitat, and require NHESP review (see http://www.mass.gov/eea/agencies/dfg/dfw/natural-heritage/regulatory-review/). Priority Habitat includes habitat for state-listed plants and strictly upland species not protected by the Wetlands Protection Act.

^{**} MESA projects may not be segmented (321 CMR 10.16). The applicant must disclose full development plans even if such plans are not required as part of the Notice of Intent process.



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

C. Other Applicable Standards and Requirements (cont'd)

(c) MESA filing fee (fee information available at <u>http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/nhesp/regulatory_review/mesa/mesa_fee_schedule.htm</u>). Make check payable to "Commonwealth of Massachusetts - NHESP" and *mail to NHESP* at above address

Projects altering 10 or more acres of land, also submit:

- (d) Vegetation cover type map of site
- (e) Project plans showing Priority & Estimated Habitat boundaries
- (f) OR Check One of the Following
- 1. Project is exempt from MESA review. Attach applicant letter indicating which MESA exemption applies. (See 321 CMR 10.14, <u>http://www.mass.gov/dfwele/dfw/nhesp/regulatory_review/mesa/mesa_exemptions.htm;</u> the NOI must still be sent to NHESP if the project is within estimated habitat pursuant to 310 CMR 10.37 and 10.59.)

$^{\circ}$	Separate MESA review engoing		
∠. ∟	Separate MESA review ongoing.	a NHESP Tracking #	b Date submitted to NHESE

- 3. Separate MESA review completed. Include copy of NHESP "no Take" determination or valid Conservation & Management Permit with approved plan.
- 3. For coastal projects only, is any portion of the proposed project located below the mean high water line or in a fish run?

a. 🗌 Not applicable – project is in inland resource area only	b. 🗌 Yes	🛛 No
---	----------	------

If yes, include proof of mailing, hand delivery, or electronic delivery of NOI to either:

South Shore - Cohasset to Rhode Island border, and	North Shore - Hull to New Hampshire border:
the Cape & Islands:	

Division of Marine Fisheries -Southeast Marine Fisheries Station Attn: Environmental Reviewer 1213 Purchase Street – 3rd Floor New Bedford, MA 02740-6694 Email: <u>DMF.EnvReview-South@state.ma.us</u> Division of Marine Fisheries -North Shore Office Attn: Environmental Reviewer 30 Emerson Avenue Gloucester, MA 01930 Email: <u>DMF.EnvReview-North@state.ma.us</u>

Also if yes, the project may require a Chapter 91 license. For coastal towns in the Northeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Boston Office. For coastal towns in the Southeast Region, please contact MassDEP's Southeast Regional Office.

	Ma Bu Ma Ma	Assachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Provided by MassDEP: reau of Resource Protection - Wetlands MassDEP File Number /PA Form 3 – Notice of Intent Document Transaction Number assachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40 Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town Other Applicable Standards and Requirements (cont'd)
	4.	Is any portion of the proposed project within an Area of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC)?
Online Users: Include your document		a. Yes No If yes, provide name of ACEC (see instructions to WPA Form 3 or MassDEP Website for ACEC locations). Note: electronic filers click on Website.
transaction number		b. ACEC
(provided on your receipt page) with all	5.	Is any portion of the proposed project within an area designated as an Outstanding Resource Water (ORW) as designated in the Massachusetts Surface Water Quality Standards, 314 CMR 4.00?
supplementary		a. 🗌 Yes 🖾 No
submit to the Department.	6.	Is any portion of the site subject to a Wetlands Restriction Order under the Inland Wetlands Restriction Act (M.G.L. c. 131, § 40A) or the Coastal Wetlands Restriction Act (M.G.L. c. 130, § 105)?
		a. 🗌 Yes 🖾 No
	7.	Is this project subject to provisions of the MassDEP Stormwater Management Standards?
		 a. X Yes. Attach a copy of the Stormwater Report as required by the Stormwater Management Standards per 310 CMR 10.05(6)(k)-(q) and check if: 1. Applying for Low Impact Development (LID) site design credits (as described in Stormwater Management Handbook Vol. 2, Chapter 3)
		2 A portion of the site constitutes redevelopment
		3. Proprietary BMPs are included in the Stormwater Management System.
		b. No. Check why the project is exempt:
		1. Single-family house
		2. Emergency road repair
		3. Small Residential Subdivision (less than or equal to 4 single-family houses or less than or equal to 4 units in multi-family housing project) with no discharge to Critical Areas.
	D.	Additional Information

This is a proposal for an Ecological Restoration Limited Project. Skip Section D and complete Appendix A: Ecological Restoration Notice of Intent – Minimum Required Documents (310 CMR 10.12).

Applicants must include the following with this Notice of Intent (NOI). See instructions for details.

Online Users: Attach the document transaction number (provided on your receipt page) for any of the following information you submit to the Department.

- 1. USGS or other map of the area (along with a narrative description, if necessary) containing sufficient information for the Conservation Commission and the Department to locate the site. (Electronic filers may omit this item.)
- 2. Plans identifying the location of proposed activities (including activities proposed to serve as a Bordering Vegetated Wetland [BVW] replication area or other mitigating measure) relative to the boundaries of each affected resource area.



Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Provided by MassDEP:

MassDEP File Number

Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

D. Additional Information (cont'd)

- 3. Identify the method for BVW and other resource area boundary delineations (MassDEP BVW Field Data Form(s), Determination of Applicability, Order of Resource Area Delineation, etc.), and attach documentation of the methodology.
- 4. \square List the titles and dates for all plans and other materials submitted with this NOI.

District 6 - Maintenance Storage Building New Salt Sheds and Site Improvements							
a. Plan Title							
Bayside Engineering	Bree D. Sullivan						
b. Prepared By	c. Signed and Stamped by						
October 2018	1"=20'						
d. Final Revision Date	e. Scale						

f. Additional Plan or Document Title

g. Date

- 5. If there is more than one property owner, please attach a list of these property owners not listed on this form.
- 6. Attach proof of mailing for Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program, if needed.
- 7. Attach proof of mailing for Massachusetts Division of Marine Fisheries, if needed.
- 8. Attach NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form
- 9. \square Attach Stormwater Report, if needed.

E. Fees

1. Fee Exempt: No filing fee shall be assessed for projects of any city, town, county, or district of the Commonwealth, federally recognized Indian tribe housing authority, municipal housing authority, or the Massachusetts Bay Transportation Authority.

Applicants must submit the following information (in addition to pages 1 and 2 of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form) to confirm fee payment:

571225	07/31/2018
2. Municipal Check Number	3. Check date
571249	07/31/2018
4. State Check Number	5. Check date
Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	
6. Payor name on check: First Name	7. Payor name on check: Last Name



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Provided by MassDEP:

Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands

WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

MassDEP File Number Document Transaction Number Boston City/Town

F. Signatures and Submittal Requirements

I hereby certify under the penalties of perjury that the foregoing Notice of Intent and accompanying plans, documents, and supporting data are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the Conservation Commission will place notification of this Notice in a local newspaper at the expense of the applicant in accordance with the wetlands regulations, 310 CMR 10.05(5)(a).

I further certify under penalties of perjury that all abutters were notified of this application, pursuant to the requirements of M.G.L. c. 131, § 40. Notice must be made by Certificate of Mailing or in writing by hand delivery or certified mail (return receipt requested) to all abutters within 100 feet of the property line of the project location.

arthur

3. Signature of Property Owner (if different) nature of Representative (if any)

4. Date 7/31

For Conservation Commission:

Two copies of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, two copies of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and the city/town fee payment, to the Conservation Commission by certified mail or hand delivery.

For MassDEP:

One copy of the completed Notice of Intent (Form 3), including supporting plans and documents, one copy of the NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form, and a copy of the state fee payment to the MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions) by certified mail or hand delivery.

Other:

If the applicant has checked the "yes" box in any part of Section C, Item 3, above, refer to that section and the Instructions for additional submittal requirements,

The original and copies must be sent simultaneously. Failure by the applicant to send copies in a timely manner may result in dismissal of the Notice of Intent.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

Important: When filling out forms on the computer, use only the tab key to move your cursor - do not use the return key.



A. Applicant Information	on
--------------------------	----

 Location of Project 	ect:		
Freeport Street		Boston	
a. Street Address		b. City/Town	
571249		\$2,062.50	
c. Check number		d. Fee amount	
2. Applicant Mailin	g Address:		
Susan		McArthur	
a. First Name		b. Last Name	
Massachusetts	Department of Transportatior	n - Highway Division	
c. Organization			
10 Park Plaza			
d. Mailing Address			
Boston		MA	02116
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
857-368-8807		Susan.McArthur@state.ma.us	;
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	
3. Property Owner	(if different):		
a. First Name		b. Last Name	
c. Organization			
d. Mailing Address			
e. City/Town		f. State	g. Zip Code
h. Phone Number	i. Fax Number	j. Email Address	

To calculate filing fees, refer to the category fee list and examples in the instructions for filling out WPA Form 3 (Notice of Intent).

B. Fees

Fee should be calculated using the following process & worksheet. *Please see Instructions before filling out worksheet.*

Step 1/Type of Activity: Describe each type of activity that will occur in wetland resource area and buffer zone.

Step 2/Number of Activities: Identify the number of each type of activity.

Step 3/Individual Activity Fee: Identify each activity fee from the six project categories listed in the instructions.

Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee: Multiply the number of activities (identified in Step 2) times the fee per category (identified in Step 3) to reach a subtotal fee amount. Note: If any of these activities are in a Riverfront Area in addition to another Resource Area or the Buffer Zone, the fee per activity should be multiplied by 1.5 and then added to the subtotal amount.

Step 5/Total Project Fee: Determine the total project fee by adding the subtotal amounts from Step 4.

Step 6/Fee Payments: To calculate the state share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and subtract \$12.50. To calculate the city/town share of the fee, divide the total fee in half and add \$12.50.



Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection Bureau of Resource Protection - Wetlands NOI Wetland Fee Transmittal Form

Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act M.G.L. c. 131, §40

B. Fees (continued)

Step 1/Type of Activity	Step 2/Number of Activities	Step 3/Individual Activity Fee	Step 4/Subtotal Activity Fee
Construction of Salt Buildings (Category 3)	2	\$1,050	\$2,100
Construction of Admin Building (Category 3)	1	\$1,050	\$1,050
Parking Lot (Category 2)	1	\$500	\$500
Storm Drain Discharge (Category 2)	1	\$500	\$500
	Step 5/Tot	al Project Fee:	\$4,150
	Step 6/F	ee Payments:	
	Total F	Project Fee:	\$4,150 a. Total Fee from Step 5
	State share of	of filing Fee:	\$2,062.50 b. 1/2 Total Fee less \$ 12.50
	City/Town share	of filling Fee:	\$2,087.50 c. 1/2 Total Fee plus \$12.50

C. Submittal Requirements

a.) Complete pages 1 and 2 and send with a check or money order for the state share of the fee, payable to the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

Department of Environmental Protection Box 4062 Boston, MA 02211

b.) **To the Conservation Commission:** Send the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a **copy** of this form; and the city/town fee payment.

To MassDEP Regional Office (see Instructions): Send a copy of the Notice of Intent or Abbreviated Notice of Intent; a **copy** of this form; and a **copy** of the state fee payment. (E-filers of Notices of Intent may submit these electronically.)

APPENDIX A PROJECT NARRATIVE

1 INTRODUCTION

The Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) Highway Division is submitting this Notice of Intent (NOI) Application to redevelop an existing maintenance depot lot between the southbound lanes of Interstate 93 (I-93) and Freeport Street in the Dorchester area of Boston, Massachusetts. The existing compacted gravel lot, owned by MassDOT, will be utilized to construct salt storage facilities, including two salt storage sheds and an associated administrative building, for winter road maintenance operations. Additional salt storage in Suffolk County, Massachusetts has been identified as a high priority for MassDOT to minimize both the time and distance of transporting salt to melt snow and ice-covered state and interstate highways.

An NOI is required as portions of the existing maintenance depot lot are mapped within the 100year floodplain of the tidally-influenced Dorchester Bay, which qualifies as Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF). The 100-year floodplain associated with the identified coastal resource area (i.e. LSCSF) is protected under the *Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act* (Act, M.G.L., c. 131, s. 40) and its implementing *Regulations Act Regulations*, 310 CMR 10.00).

A USGS Site Locus and a DEP Priority Resources Map, illustrating the project location and surrounding physical and environmental features are provided in Appendix B. Project Plans are provided in Appendix D. The following sections of this NOI provide a description of the existing site conditions, wetland boundary determination methodology, Wetland Resource Areas, and proposed project, including measures proposed to mitigate the potential impacts to the adjacent stormwater drainage system.

2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

This section provides a site description and resource area characterization for the project area. Land use in the general vicinity of the project area was determined based on staff observations during a site visit on September 13, 2016, in addition to a review of information available through the Massachusetts Geographic Information System (MassGIS) online database.



The existing maintenance depot lot, owned by MassDOT, predominantly consists of densely compacted dirt/gravel, is approximately 48,000 square feet in size, and features entrances from Freeport Street to the west and Victory Road to the north. The lot, formerly leased to two construction companies for storage of construction equipment and materials, is currently in use as a temporary salt storage area for the winter season. (Photo 1, Appendix C).

According to existing survey information, a stormwater outfall (previously a combined sewer) is located under the site. The outfall daylights on the east side of the southeast expressway. A wet depression surrounded by jersey barriers, described in more detail in section 2.1, is located in the center of the lot. Red pine (*Pinus resinosa*) and black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) trees are growing between the project site and Freeport Street.

2.1 METHODOLOGY OF RESOURCE AREA INVESTIGATIONS

An onsite wetland survey was conducted by a Stantec Professional Wetland Scientist on September 13, 2016 using the methodologies outlined in the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's (MassDEP) Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act: A Handbook; the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (Corps) 1987 Wetlands Delineation Manual; and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0, January 2012).

An existing isolated surface depression, measuring approximately 3,600 square feet and located in the center of the lot, is dominated by common reed (*Phragmites australis*) (Photo 2, Appendix C). Additional vegetation observed within the depression includes Asiatic bittersweet (*Celastrus orbiculatus*), multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), lamp rush (*Juncus effusus*) and purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*). The surface depression onsite was determined not to be a federallyjurisdictional vegetated wetland system due to the lack of both hydric soils, as well as any hydraulic connection (piped or natural) to a navigable waterbody. Furthermore, it was determined that the depression does not meet the qualifying criteria of an Isolated Land Subject to Flooding (ILSF) based on its inability to confine standing water to a volume of at least 0.25 acrefeet and to average depth of a minimum of six inches, at least once year (see attached Wetlands Survey Memorandum, Appendix E).



The limits of jurisdictional Wetland Resource Areas within and immediately adjacent to the site were obtained from the most recent Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) for the project area. Based on a review of the current FEMA FIRM, portions of the existing maintenance depot lot are mapped within Zone AE (Elevation 10) of the 100-year floodplain associated with the tidally influenced Dorchester Bay (i.e. Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage). No other wetland resource areas subject to the jurisdiction of the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act were identified within the project area. A description of Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage is provided below.

2.2 DESCRIPTION OF RESOURCE AREA

Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage

Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage is defined at 310 CMR 10.04 as land subject to any inundation caused by coastal storms up to and including that caused by the 100-year storm, surge of record or storm of record, whichever is greater.

According to the revised March 16, 2016 FEMA FIRM for Suffolk County, Massachusetts (Map Number 25025C0091J), portions of the maintenance depot lot are designated as Flood Areas in Zone AE. According to the FIRM, the flood elevation proximate to the project area corresponds to elevation 10 NAVD88. The FEMA FIRM is included as Appendix B, Figure 4.

2.3 MA NATURAL HERITAGE & ENDANGERED SPECIES PROGRAM DESIGNATION

According to the 13th Edition of the Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas (valid from August 2017) published by the Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program (NHESP) and the MassGIS database, the project area is not located within the limits of mapped Priority Habitat of Rare Species or Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife. No Certified Vernal Pools (CVPs) or Potential Vernal Pools (PVPs) are mapped on or within the immediate vicinity of the project area (see note in Appendix B, Figure 3: DEP Priority Resources and Critical Areas Resource Map).

2.4 OTHER PROTECTED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

According to available MassGIS mapping, the project is not located within any Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) or any stormwater critical areas. The Neponset River Estuary ACEC is identified east of the I-93 highway corridor (see Appendix B, Figure 3).



3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The existing MWRA treatment building and associated pavement within the maintenance depot lot will be demolished with proposed site redevelopment to include:

- ➢ Grading
- Clearing and grubbing
- > Installation of stormwater management drainage features
- > Construction of two new roofed timber salt sheds (approximately 3,840 sf, each)
- > Construction of a new 1,100 sf administration building
- Associated site paving and parking space accommodation

3.1 CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

The contractor will be responsible for selecting the means and methods to be used in the construction execution of the project in accordance with the contract documents. However, this NOI application includes a listing of the anticipated construction sequence. The anticipated sequence of construction is as follows:

- 1. Mobilize equipment, materials, and personnel.
- 2. Install erosion control measures to mitigate sediment migration outside the limits of work
- 3. Clearing/grubbing
- 4. Pavement overlay
- 5. Implementation of stormwater management drainage features
- 6. Construction of roofed timber salt shed facilities
- 7. Construction of administration building
- 8. Stabilize disturbed areas with loam and seed.
- 9. Remove erosion and sedimentation controls.

3.2 EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROLS AND OTHER MITIGATION MEASURES

As shown on the attached design plans, prior to the commencement of any land disturbance work, erosion, and sedimentation control barriers, consisting of compost filter tubes, will be implemented to mitigate sediment migration outside the limits of work. In addition:

• The contractor will be required to maintain a reserve supply of erosion and sediment controls barriers on-site to make repairs, as necessary;



- The contractor will be required to inspect protective measures prior to and after significant precipitation events and repaired, as necessary;
- Erosion and sediment control (i.e. compost filter tubes) will remain in place until the area is stabilized.

Environmental mitigation measures will also include dust control to ensure that generation of onsite dust during work activities will be minimized. Wet suppression shall be used to provide temporary control of dust, as needed.

4 REGULATORY COMPLIANCE

4.1 MASSACHUSETTS WETLANDS PROTECTION ACT

A portion of the project site is mapped within Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF). Currently, there are no Performance Standards for work proposed within LSCSF under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations. This site was selected because it is adjacent to Route 3 and other heavily traveled roadways where salt will be applied in the winter, the site is owned by MassDOT, and it has few residential properties nearby. Relocating the site out of the LSCSF would likely require it to be in a more residential area.

4.2 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

According to the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's (MassDEP's) Stormwater Management Standards are applicable to stormwater runoff from all planned construction projects, which involve site preparation, construction, and redevelopment.

Stormwater management features are proposed within the limits of the existing lot in compliance with MassDEP's Stormwater Management Standards. Proposed measures to capture and infiltrate or detain stormwater include 12-inch wide by 24-inch-deep peastone trenches surrounding the site perimeter, an approximately 300-foot long grass swale on the eastern edge of the site and a combination grass swale and detention basin on the western edge of the site. The design of the peastone trench, grass swale and detention basin will allow for regular removal of accumulated sediments.



MASSDOT - VICTORY ROAD DEPOT IMPROVEMENTS

The proposed site will be graded to achieve a similar post-construction runoff compared to existing conditions. In general, stormwater runoff from the site will flow over the paved surface to the 12-inch wide by 24-inch deep peastone trenches alongside the perimeter of the paved areas where it is expected to infiltrate into the ground. During larger storm events, stormwater may overflow into the adjacent proposed swales and then infiltrate into the ground there. The proposed project is within a previously-developed site and applicable stormwater standards have been met to the greatest extent practicable. For more detailed information, see Appendix F Stormwater Report and check list.

The proposed project involves more than one acre of earth disturbance and, therefore, a Stormwater Construction General Permit in accordance with EPA's NPDES program will be required. The need for a NPDES Permit also will necessitate the preparation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). This Plan will be prepared by the selected Contractor and a copy of the SWPPP will be retained onsite during construction.

5 SUMMARY

In summary, MassDOT is seeking approval from the City of Boston Conservation Commission to redevelop an existing maintenance depot lot between the southbound lanes of Interstate 93 (I-93) and Freeport Street for salt storage operations.

Portions of the maintenance depot lot are mapped within Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF), for which there are no applicable performance standards under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations. Best Management Practices (BMPs) including erosion and sedimentation control barriers, consisting of compost filter tubes, will be implemented to mitigate the potential release of suspended sediment to the adjacent stormwater drainage system. Additionally, the project will provide stormwater BMP's within the existing maintenance depot lot, in compliance with MassDEP's Stormwater Management Standards.

On behalf of the Applicant, Stantec respectfully requests that the Boston Conservation Commission find these measures adequately protective of the interests identified in the Wetlands Protection Act, and issue an Order of Conditions approving the work described in this NOI, and shown on the accompanying plans.



APPENDIX B FIGURES

Figure 1: USGS Site Locus MapFigure 2: Locus MapFigure 3: DEP Priority Resources and Critical Areas Resource MapFigure 4 Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)





assDOT

Massachusetts Department Highway Division Map Source: Office of Geographic and Environmental Information (MassGIS), Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs



Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs



Figure 3: MassDEP Priority Resources and Critical Areas

Victory Road Depot Improvements Including Two Salt Sheds Construction Dorchester, Massachusetts



Map Source: Office of Geographic and Environmental Information (MassGIS), Commonwealth of Massachusetts Executive Office of Energy and Environmental Affairs

National Flood Hazard Layer FIRMette



Legend



APPENDIX C – SITE PHOTOGRAPHS





Photo 1: Gravel lot (Study Area) located in Dorchester. I-93 south is visible on the right side of the photo and the depression is visible behind the loader and jersey barriers on the left side of the photo. Stantec. September 13, 2016.





Photo 2: View of depression area (proposed salt shed location). Stantec. September 13, 2016.



APPENDIX D – PROJECT NOI PLANS



MASSACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION HIGHWAY DIVISION

INDEX SHEET NO. DESCRIPTION **TITLE SHEET & INDEX** BORING LOGS LEGEND, ABBREVIATIONS AND GENERAL NOTES EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DEMOLITION PLAN EXISTING CONDITIONS AND DEMOLITION PLAN **PROPOSED SITE PLAN** PROPOSED SITE PLAN SITE DETAILS BUILDING CODE REVIEW SHEET, PROPOSED STAFF BUILDING FLOOR PLAN AND REFLECTED CEILING PLAN PROPOSED STAFF BUILDING FOUNDATION PLAN 10 AND DETAILS STAFF BUILDING ELEVATIONS 11 12 STAFF BUILDING SECTIONS, DETAILS AND SCHEDULES PROPOSED SALT SHED PLANS 13 SALT SHED DETAILS 15 SALT SHED DETAILS SALT SHED NO. 2 EXCAVATION LIMITS SALT SHED NO. 1 EXCAVATION LIMITS PLUMBING LEGEND, NOTES, ABBREVIATIONS 18 AND SCHEDULES PLUMBING GROUND FLOOR PLAN PLUMBING DETAILS HVAC LEGEND AND SCHEDULES 21 22 HVAC DETAILS AND CONTROLS 23 HVAC GROUND FLOOR PLAN ELECTRICAL LEGEND AND SCHEDULES 24 25 ELECTRICAL SITE PLAN 26 **ELECTRICAL LIGHTING & POWER STAFF** BUILDING FLOOR PLAN ELECTRICAL FIRE ALARM AND SECURITY STAFF 27 BUILDING FLOOR PLAN 28 **ELECTRICAL LIGHTING & POWER TYPICAL FOR** SALT SHED 1 AND 2 ELECTRICAL ONE LINE AND SCHEDULES 31 30 ELECTRICAL DETAILS 31 ELECTRICAL DETAILS

PLANS FOR

DISTRICT 6 - MAINTENANCE STORAGE BUILDING NEW SALT SHEDS AND SITE IMPROVEMENTS AT FREEPORT STREET AND VICTORY ROAD

IN THE CITY OF

BOSTON SUFFOLK COUNTY



SCALE 500 0 500 1000 1500 2000 2500

WILLIAMSTOWN 924 NEW ASHFORD ROAD

TATE	FED. AID PROJ. NO.	SHEET NO.	TOTAI SHEET
MA	N/A	1	31
	PROJECT FILE NO.	608843	

TITLE SHEET & INDEX

All work under this contract shall be done in conformance with the Standard Specifications for Highways and Bridges dated 1988, the Supplemental Specifications dated July 1, 2015, and the Interim Supplemental Specifications contained in this contract; the 2016 Construction Standard Details, the 1990 Standard Drawings for Signs and Supports; the 1996 Construction and Traffic Standard Details (as relates to the pavement markings details only); the 2015 Overhead Signal Structure and Foundation Standard Drawings, the 2009 Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD) with Massachusetts Amendments and the Standard Municipal Traffic Code; the 1968 Standard Drawings for Traffic Signals and Highway Lighting; the latest edition of the American Standard for Nursery Stock; STATE BUILDING, ELECTRICAL AND PLUMBING CODES; THE LATEST EDITION OF ARCHITECTURAL ACCESS BOARD HANDICAP CODES, SECTIONS, the Plans and these Special Provisions.

The Contractor shall meet the requirements of FM Global Plan Review dated August 23, 2017 and shall complete FM Global Form 2688, Checklist for Roofing System (reference Document A00803).

600 UNICORN PARK DRIVE	Massachusetts Department of Transportation Highway Division
WOBURN, MA 01801 PHONE: 781-932-3201	RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL
RDK Engineers 200 Brickstone Square Andover, MA 01810–1488	CHIEF ENGINEER DATE
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION	APPROVED
APPROVED:	

A	1		line de la				P	roject:		MA DO	OT Salt Stor	age Shed	Sheet <u>1</u> of		
	-	MILLERE	NGINEERIN	G & TE	STING	, INC.	Duoio	of No.		Lorchester, MA			Boring No: <u>B-1</u>		
	10	0 Sheffiel	d Road - Ma	ncheste	er. NH C	3103	Proje	Start.			12-02-16		Location: See P	1811	
	Pł	. (603) 66	8-6016 - Fax	(603) 6	68-864	11	Date	e End:			12-02-16		Annroy, Surface Elev:		
							241				GROUND	WATER OBSEI	RVATIONS		
		CA	ASING		SA	MPLEF	ł		Date		Depth	Casing At	Stabilization Peri	od	
Туре]	HSA			SS		1	2-02-16				Groundwater Not Enco	untered	
Size		2-1	1/4" ID		1-	3/8" ID									
Hammer					1	40 lbs.									
Fall						30"									
Denth/	Cas		SAMPLE	C.			BLO	ows	1	Strata				30	
Elev.	bl/ft	Sample No.	Depth Range	Pen.	Rec.	0-6"	6-12"	12-18"	18-24"	Change		Sample I	Description	Not	
0		S-1	0.0-1.5	18	13	10	19	50	50/0"		S-1: Browr silt, gravel,	, dry, very dense, concrete and asp	, fine to medium sand, trace halt (urban fill)	,	
-		S-2	2.0-2.5	6	6	50	50/0"				S-2: Brown concrete, as	, dry, very dense, sphalt, brick, trace	, fine to medium sand, grave e ash (urban fill)	el,	
4-		S-3	4.0-5.0	12	8	4	6	50/0"			S-3: Browr medium sa	, dry, medium de nd, gravel, asphal	nse, fine sand, little silt, tra t, ash and cinders (urban fil	ce 1)	
-											Auger Re foundation	fusal at approximation	ately 5', possible old buildin	ıg	
-											Moved ap	proximately 5' to	the southeast and drilled		
8-											Boring B-1	A BORING TERN	/INATED AT 5 ft	_	
-												Dorardo Thia			
1															
2-															
-															
-															
_															
°															
-															
-															
-															
-															
-															
24 —															
Driller: Helper: Inspect	F k or: I	R. Marcoux C. Schwotzer D. Ray	r	COH 0-2 2-4	ESIVE CO VERY SOF SOFT	NSISTEN 7T	CY (Blows	s/Foot)			COHESIONL 0-4 VERY LO 4-10 LOOSE	ESS (Blows/Foot) DOSE	PROPORTIO TRACE: 0-1 LITTLE: 10-	NS USEI 0% 20%	
-		-		4-8 8-1: 15-	MEDIUM S STIFF 30 HARD	STIFF					10-30 MEDI 30-50 DENS 50+ VERV D	JM DENSE E ENSE	SOME: 20-3 AND: 35-50	5% %	
	1			13	11/4KD						JUT VERI D	DINGE			



												ILDI	DUKI			ł
N							P	roject:		MA DO	OT Salt Stora	ge Shed	Sheet of Baring No: B 14			1
11	N		NGINEERI	NG & TE	STING	INC				D	Oorchester, M	ÍA	Boring No:	B-1A		
					.011140	, 1140.	Proje	ct No:			16.276.NH	5.NH Location:		See	Plan	
	10	00 Sheffield Road - Mano			er, NH 0	3103	Date	Date Start:			12-02-16					
	Ph.	. (603) 66	58-6016 - Fa	x: (603) 6	668-864	1	Dat	e End:			12-02-16		Approx. Surface Elev: .			
											GROUND	WATER OBSI	Approx. Surface Elev:			
		C	ASING		SA	MPLEF	2		Date		Depth	Casing At	Stab	ilization Pe	riod	_
уре			HSA			SS		1	2-02-16				Groundw	ater Not Enc	counte	re
ize	1	2-	1/4" ID		1-3	3/8" ID										_
lammer					14	40 lbs.										_
all						30"										
Donth/			SAMPL	E			BLC	ows		Strata						Γ
Elev. b	Jas d/ft	Sample	Depth	Pen.	Rec.	0-6"	6-12"	12-18"	18-24"	Change		Sample	Description			
		<u>No.</u>	0.0-4.0	48							- Drilled di	rectly to a dept	of 4' and hea	an split-spoo	n	+
-		S-1	4.0-5.7	20	12	8	8	5	50/2"		S-1: Dark b trace mediu glass, ash, c	rown to black, 6 m sand, trace si inders, pieces c	lry, medium do lt, fine gravel, f wood (urban	ense, fine sa asphalt, red fill)	nd, brick,	
												BORING TERI	MINATED A1	6.5 ft		
Driller: Helper: Inspector NOTES:	R. K: D	. Marcoux . Schwotze . Ray	PT FICATION LINES	COH 0-2 2-4 4-4 8-1 15-	ESIVE CO VERY SOF SOFT MEDIUM S 5 STIFF 30 HARD	NSISTEN T STIFF	CY (Blows	/Foot) ARY BET	WEEN SO	IL TYPES.	COHESIONLE 0-4 VERY LC 4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDIU 30-50 DENSE 50+ VERY DI TRANSITION M	CSS (Blows/Foot) IOSE IM DENSE ENSE IAY BE GRADUAI		PROPORT TRACE: 0 LITTLE: 1 SOME: 2 AND: 35-	IONS U)-10% 10-20%)-35% 50%	





1	neet <u>1</u> of _	ige Shed	OT Salt Stora	MA DO		oject:	Pro				
	oring No: <u>B-1A</u>	<u>IA</u>	orchester, N	D				INC.	STING	G & TE	GINEERIN
	ocation: See Plan	I	16.276.NH			t No:	Projec				
			12-02-16			Start:	Date S	3103	er, NH 0	ncheste	Road - Ma
	pprox. Surface Elev:		12-02-16			End:	Date	1	568-864	: (603) 6	-6016 - Fax
	ATIONS	WATER OBSER	GROUND								
	Stabilization Period	Casing At	Depth	_	Date		2	MPLER	SAI		ING
red	Groundwater Not Encounte			_	2-02-16	1		SS			SA
								3/8" ID	1		f., ID
				_				40 IDS.	14		
8						ws	BLO	50		 C	SAMPLI
Note	scription	Sample D		Strata Change	18-24"	12-18"	6-12"	0-6"	Rec.	Pen.	Depth
	4' and began split-spoon	rectly to a depth o	-: Drilled di sampling.							48	Range 0.0-4.0
	medium dense, fine sand, ine gravel, asphalt, red brick, ood (urban fill) e old building foundation	rown to black, dry m sand, trace silt, cinders, pieces of v fusal at 6.5', possib	S-1: Dark b trace mediu glass, ash, c Auger Ref		50/2"	5	8	8	12	20	4.0-5.7
SED	PROPORTIONS U TRACE: 0-10% LITTLE: 10-20% SOME: 20-35%	E SS (Blows/Foot) DOSE JM DENSE	COHESIONLI 0-4 VERY LC 4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDIU			Foot)	CY (Blows/I	NSISTEN T STIFF	ESIVE CO VERY SOF SOFT MEDIUM S 5 STIFF	COH 0-2 2-4 4-8 8-15	

TEST	BORING	LOG
01 1	1	1

N	1	MILLER	ENGINEERIN		STING		Р	roject:		MA D	OT Salt Stor Dorchester, N	age Shed	Sheet <u>1</u> Boring No: <u>B-2</u>	of	_1	
					.011140	, invo.	Proje	ect No:			16.276.NH	[Location:	See Pla	n	
	10	0 Sheffie	eld Road - Ma	inchest	er, NH C	03103	Date	Start:			12-02-16					
	Ph	. (603) 60	68-6016 - Fax	: (603) 6	568-864	41	Dat	e End:			12-02-16		Approx. Surfac	e Elev:		
											GROUND	WATER OBSI	ERVATIONS			
		С	ASING		SA	MPLEF	ł		Date		Depth	Casing At	Stabiliza	tion Period	ł	
уре			HSA			SS			12-02-16		9.0'	n/a	Durin	g Drilling		
ize		2-	-1/4" ID		1-	-3/8" ID										
[ammer					1	40 lbs.					10.0'	15.0'	Upon C	ompletion		
all						30"										
Depth/	Cas		SAMPL	E	1		BL	ows		Strata		~ .				
Elev.	bl/ft	Sample No.	Depth Range	Pen.	Rec.	0-6"	6-12"	12-18'	' 18-24"	Change	•	Sample	Description			
_		S-1	0.0-2.0	24	16	14	36	35	48		S-1: Brown gravel (gra	n, dry, very dens nular fill)	e, fine to medium s	and, little	T	
-		S-2	2.0-4.0	24	17	17	10	7	7		S-2: Dark I trace to litt cinders (ur	brown to black, o le silt, fine grave ban fill)	dry, medium dense el, coal, asphalt, asl	, fine sand, 1, glass,		
-		S-3	4.0-6.0	24	1	4	5	5	3		S-3: Dark l gravel, glas	brown to black, o ss, ash (urban fil	dry, loose, fine san l)	l and silt, fi	ne	
-		S-4	7.0-9.0	24	9	4	2	3	2		S-4: Black cinders (ur	, dry, loose, coal ban fill)	dust, coal fragmer	ts, ash,		
-		S-5	9.0-11.0	24	2	2	4	7	7		S-5: Dark I trace to litt fragments	brown, black, we le silt, coal fragr (urban fill)	et, medium dense, f nents, ash, cinders	ine sand, and glass		
-		S-6	11.0-13.0	24	2	6	6	11	45		S-6: Black, cinders, asl split-spoon	, wet, medium de h (urban fill). Br a sampler.	ense, coal dust, coa own, wet, very find	l fragments in tip of	,	
-		S-7	14.0-16.0	24	18	10	9	9	7		S-7: Brown coarse sand	n, wet, medium o 1, gravel, trace si	dense, poorly sorted ilt	l, fine to		
-		S-8	19.0-21.0	24	14	2	2	2	2		S-8: Dark § of fine sand organic odd	gray, wet, soft, s d, shell fragment or BORING TER	ilty clay, thin inter ts, plant fragments, MINATED AT 21	bedded laye strong	rs	
-																
Driller: Helper: Inspecto	R K or: D	2. Marcoux 3. Schwotz 0. Ray	er	COH 0-2 2-4 4-8 8-1: 15-	ESIVE CO VERY SOI SOFT MEDIUM 5 STIFF 30 HARD	DNSISTEN FT STIFF	CY (Blow	s/Foot)			COHESIONL 0-4 VERY L 4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDI 30-50 DENS 50+ VERY L	ESS (Blows/Foot) OOSE UM DENSE E DENSE	P	XOPORTIONS TRACE: 0-10% LITTLE: 10-20 SOME: 20-35% AND: 35-50%	3 US % %	
Driller: Helper: Inspecto NOTES: REMAF	RKS:	. Marcoux C. Schwotz D. Ray THE STRATT WATER LEV FLUCTUATI	EFICATION LINES I TFICATION LINES I TEL READINGS HA ONS IN THE LEVE	COH 0-2 2-4 4-8 8-1. 15- REPRESENT VE BEEN M L OF THE G	ESIVE CO VERY SOI SOFT MEDIUM 5 STIFF 30 HARD	NNSISTEN FT STIFF ROXIMAT HE DRILL ATER MA	TE BOUNI HOLES A Y OCCUR	S/Foot) DARY BET T TIMES DUE TO	TWEEN SOI AND UNDE OTHER FA	IL TYPES. ER CONDI CTORS T	COHESIONL 0-4 VERY LI 4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDI 30-50 DENS 50+ VERY L TRANSITION TRANSITION TIONS STATEI HAN THOSE PR	ESS (Blows/Foot) OOSE UM DENSE E DENSE MAY BE GRADUAI O ON THE BORING RESENT AT THE TH	P LOGS. ME MEASUREMENTS	XOP TRA LITT SOM AND	E MADE.	

1	1						P	roject:		MA DOT Salt Storage Shed			
1		MILLER	ENGINEERIN	IG & TH	STING				Dorchester, MA				
						1110.	Proje	ect No:			16.276.NH	[
	10	00 Sheffie	eld Road - Ma	inchest	er, NH (03103	Date	Start:			12-02-16		
	Pł	n. (603) 66	68-6016 - Fax	: (603) (668-864	41	Dat	e End:			12-02-16		
											GROUND	WATER OBS	
		С	ASING		SA	MPLEF	٤		Date		Depth	Casing At	
Туре			HSA			SS		1	2-02-16		9.0'	n/a	
Size		2-	-1/4" ID		1-	3/8" ID							
Hammer	•				1	40 lbs.		_		_	10.0'	15.0'	
Fall			SAMDI	F		30"	DI						
Depth/	Cas	Sample	Depth		n	0.01		12 100	10.04	Strata		Sample	
Liev.		No.	Range	Pen.	Rec.	0-6"	6-12"	12-18"	18-24"	Change			
-		S-1	0.0-2.0	24	16	14	36	35	48		S-1: Brown gravel (gran	n, dry, very dens nular fill)	
-		S-2	2.0-4.0	24	17	17	10	7	7		S-2: Dark t trace to littl cinders (url	brown to black, le silt, fine grav ban fill)	
4-		S-3	4.0-6.0	24	1	4	5	5	3		S-3: Dark t gravel, glas	prown to black, ss, ash (urban fi	
8-		S-4	7.0-9.0	24	9	4	2	3	2		S-4: Black, cinders (url	dry, loose, coa ban fill)	
-		S-5	9.0-11.0	24	2	2	4	7	7		S-5: Dark b trace to littl fragments (brown, black, w le silt, coal frag (urban fill)	
		S-6	11.0-13.0	24	2	6	6	11	45		S-6: Black, cinders, asl split-spoon	wet, medium d n (urban fill). Bi sampler.	
-		8-7	14.0-16.0	24	18	10	9	9	7		S-7: Brown coarse sand	n, wet, medium l, gravel, trace s	
-			10.0.21.0										
20 —		5-8	19.0-21.0	24	14	2	2	2	2		of fine sand organic odd	gray, wet, son, s 1, shell fragmen or	
												BORING TER	
Driller Helper Inspect	 : F : K tor: I	R. Marcoux C. Schwotz D. Ray	er	COH 0-2 2-4 4-8 8-1 15-	IESIVE CO VERY SOI SOFT MEDIUM 5 STIFF -30 HARD	DNSISTEN FT STIFF	CY (Blows	s/Foot)			COHESIONLI 0-4 VERY LC 4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDIN 30-50 DENSI 50+ VERY D	ESS (Blows/Foot) DOSE UM DENSE E ENSE	

TEST

1	1						Р	roject:		MA DO	OT Salt Stora	age Shed		
		MILLER	ENGINEERIN	IG & TE	STING	, INC.				Dorchester, MA				
							Proje	ect No:		16.276.NH				
	10	0 Sheffie	eld Road - Ma	inchest	er, NH (03103	Date	e Start:			12-02-16			
	Pr	1. (603) 6	68-6016 - Fax	: (603) (008-804	+1	Dat	e End:			12-02-16			
											GROUND	WATER O		
		C	ASING		SA	MPLER	2		Date		Depth	Casing		
Туре			HSA			SS		1	2-02-16		9.0'	n/a		
Size		2	-1/4" ID		1-	3/8" ID								
Hammer	•				1	40 lbs.					11.5'	15.0		
Fall	-	1	CAMDI 1	F		30" T	DI	OWE		₁ └──	1			
Depth/	Cas	Sample	Depth	r .						Strata		Sam		
Elev.	bl/ft	No.	Range	Pen.	Rec.	0-6"	6-12"	12-18"	18-24"	Change				
0		S-1	0.0-2.0	24	13	20	14	22	31		S-1: Brown sand, grave	, dry, dense, l (granular f		
-		S-2	2.0-2.3	3	3	50/3"					S-2: Dark b trace grave	rown, gray, l, ash, red br		
4-		S-3	4.0-6.0	24	0	5	6	6	6		S-3: No sar	nple recover		
-		S-4	6.0-8.0	24	0	8	8	5	5		S-4: No sar	nple recover		
8-			0.0.11.0	24				10			0.5 D 11			
-		5-5	9.0-11.0	24	4	9	9		5		little silt, gr	rown, wet, r avel		
-														
		S-6	14.0-16.0	24	14	10	3	4	4		S-6: Dark b interbedded fragments	rown, dark g l fine sand la		
-														
20 —		S-7	19.0-21.0	24	12	1	5	4	3		S-7: Dark b fragments,	orown, dark g shell fragme		
-												BORING T		
24 —														
Driller Helper Inspect	: F : k tor: I	R. Marcoux C. Schwotz D. Ray	er	COH 0-2 2-4 4-8 8-1 15-	ESIVE CO VERY SOI SOFT MEDIUM 5 STIFF 30 HARD	DNSISTEN FT STIFF	CY (Blow	s/Foot)			COHESIONLI 0-4 VERY LO 4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDIU 30-50 DENSI 50+ VERY D	E SS (Blows/Foo DOSE JM DENSE <u>3</u> ENSE		

$\frac{1}{1 \text{ Sheet } 1} \text{ of } \frac{1}{1}$	
Boring No: <u>B-2</u> Location: See Plan	STATEFED. AID PROJ. NO.NO.SHEETSMAN/A231
- Approx. Surface Elev:	PROJECT FILE NO. 608843
ERVATIONS Stabilization Period	BORING LOGS
During Drilling	
Upon Completion	
e Description	
se, fine to medium sand, little	
dry, medium dense, fine sand, rel, coal, asphalt, ash, glass,	
dry, loose, fine sand and silt, fine ll)	
l dust, coal fragments, ash,	
et, medium dense, fine sand, ments, ash, cinders and glass	
lense, coal dust, coal fragments,	
rown, wet, very nne in tip or	
dense, poorly sorted, fine to silt	
silty clay, thin interbedded layers	
RMINATED AT 21 ft	
PROPORTIONS USED TRACE: 0-10% LITTLE: 10-20% SOME: 20.35%	
AND: 35-50%	
T BORING LOG	
Approx. Surface Elev:	
At Stabilization Period	
During Drilling	
Upon Completion	
ple Description	
fine to medium sand, trace coarse 11)	
dry, very dense, fine sand and silt, ick, glass (urban fill)	
y	
y	
nedium dense, fine sand, trace to	
gray, wet, soft, silty clay with yers, plant fragments, shell	
gray, wet, soft, silty clay, plant	
ERMINATED AT 21 ft	
t) PROPORTIONS USED TRACE: 0-10% LITTLE 10 2004	
L111LE: 10-20% SOME: 20-35% AND: 35-50%	
UNE LOGS. E TIME MEASUREMENTS WERE MADE.	

GENERAL	SYMBOLS					
EXISTING	PROPOSED	DESCRIPTION	PAVEMENIN	ARKINGS SY	MBOLS	
JB	JB	JERSEY BARRIER ON BRIDGE OR JERSEY BARRIER	EXISTING	PROPOSED	DESCRIPTION	
⊞ ⊕ ∰ СВ	Ш 🕀 🌐 СВ	CATCH BASIN		*]	PAVEMENT ARROW - WHITE	
		CATCH BASIN CURB INLET	ONLY	ONI Y	LEGEND "ONLY" – WHITE	
G GP	G GP	GAS PUMP		SL	STOP LINE - 12"	
□ MB		MAIL BOX		<u> </u>		9' ADADT
		POST SQUARE		CVV 0	CRUSSWALK - 12 LINES SPACED	8 APARI
	0	POST CIRCULAR			SOLID WHITE LINE - 6"	
⊕ WELL	⊕ WELL	WELL		SWSHL	SOLID WHITE SHOULDER LINE - 6'	9
□ EHH	□ EHH	ELECTRIC HANDHOLE		SYL	SOLID YELLOW LINE - 6"	
\bigcirc	0	FENCE GATE POST		BWL	BROKEN WHITE LINE – 6"	
o GG	OGG	GAS GATE BORING HOLE		BYL	BROKEN YELLOW LINE - 6"	
\oplus MW #		MONITORING WELL		<u>DWL</u>	DOTTED WHITE LINE – 6"	
TP #	TP #	TEST PIT		<u>DYL</u>	DOTTED YELLOW LINE - 6"	
	φ	HYDRANT		DWLEx	DOTTED WHITE LINE EXTENSION	6"
× CORD	茶	LIGHT POLE			DOTTED WHITE LINE EXTENSION -	0
$\square \triangle$		GPS POINT			DOTTED YELLOW LINE EXTENSION -	- 6
©	©	CABLE MANHOLE			DOUBLE WHITE LINE - 2 - 6" LIN	IES
	D	DRAINAGE MANHOLE		DYCL	DOUBLE YELLOW CENTERLINE - 2	2 - 6" LINES
(E)	(E)	ELECTRIC MANHOLE GAS MANHOLE				
M	(M)	MISC MANHOLE				
S	S	SEWER MANHOLE				
T	T	TELEPHONE MANHOLE				
(W) MHR	(W) MHR	WATER MANHOLE MASSACHUSETTS HIGHWAY BOUND				
■ MON		MASSACHOSEHIS HIGHWAT BOOND				
□ SB		STONE BOUND				
■ TB		TOWN OR CITY BOUND				
A TPL or GUY		TRAVERSE OR TRIANGULATION STATION				
• HTP	• IFLOIGOI	TRANSMISSION POLE				
& UFB	_&_ UFB	UTILITY POLE W/ FIREBOX				
-§- UPDL	-∲- UPDL	UTILITY POLE WITH DOUBLE LIGHT				
-5- ULT	-6- ULT	UTILITY POLE W / 1 LIGHT				
UPL	UPL	BUSH				
SIZE & TYPE		TREE				
0		STUMP				
- WC	• WG	SWAMP / MARSH				
∘ PM	• PM	PARKING METER				
		- OVERHEAD CABLE/WIRE				
100		- CONTOURS - UNDERGROUND ELECTRIC DUCT (DOUBLE LINE 24 INCH AND OVER)			
		_ UNDERGROUND DRAIN PIPE (DOUBLE LINE 24 INCH AND OVER))			
GAS	GAS	- UNDERGROUND GAS MAIN (DOUBLE LINE 24 INCH AND OVER)				
		UNDERGROUND TELEPHONE DUCT (DOUBLE LINE 24 INCH AND OV	ER)			
W	W	- UNDERGROUND WATER MAIN (DOUBLE LINE 24 INCH AND OVER)				
0000000000	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	BALANCE STONE WALL				
TT	<u> </u>	– GUARD RAIL – STEEL POSTS				
X	x	– GUARD RAIL – WOOD POSTS – Chain Link or metal fence				
□	o	- WOOD FENCE				
		TREE LINE OR LIMIT OF CLEARING AND GRUBBING				
		COMPOST FILTER TUBES				
		- SAWCUT LINE				
		- TOP OR BOTTOM OF SLOPE	GENERAL NOTES:			
		- LIMIT OF EDGE OF PAVEMENT OR COLD PLANE AND OVERLAY	1. THE LOCATIONS OF EXI	ISTING UNDERGROUND	UTILITIES ARE SHOWN IN AN	6. JOIN Sawa
		BANK OF RIVER OR STREAM BORDER OF WETLAND	OWNER OR ITS REPRESENTA	TIVE. THE CONTRACTO	R SHALL DETERMINE THE	MASS
		100 FT WETLAND BUFFER	AND AGREES TO BE FULLY	RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY	AND ALL DAMAGES WHICH	7. ALL
· ·		200 FT RIVERFRONT BUFFER	MIGHT BE OCCASIONED BY T PRESERVE ANY AND ALL UN	I HE CONTRACTOR'S FAI DERGROUND UTILITIES.	LURE TO EXACTLY LOCATE AND	UNLE
		- STATE HIGHWAY LAYOUT	2. NOTIFY MASSACHUSETTS	5 DIG SAFE (1-800-3	22-4844) AND PROCURF A	8. DRAI CON
		- COUNTY LAYOUT	DIG SAFE NUMBER A MINIMU GROUND IN ANY WAY	JM OF 72 HOURS PRIC	R TO DISTURBING THE	UTILI FIEL[
		-RAILROAD SIDELINE	3 WHEDE AN EVICTING U			THE THF
		TOWN OR CITY BOUNDARY LINE	WORK, THE LOCATION, ELEVA	ATION AND SIZE OF TH	E UTILITY SHALL BE	BE
── ₽ ──		PROPERTY LINE OR APPROXIMATE PROPERTY LINE	INFORMATION FURNISHED TO	THE ENGINEER FOR R	ESOLUTION OF THE CONFLICT.	GREA
			4. THE CONTRACTOR SHAL	L MAKE ALL ARRANGE	MENTS FOR THE ALTERATION	9. FIELI
			UTILITIES BY THE UTILITY CC	MPANIES.	AND ANT UITER PRIVAIE	DATU DATU
			5. AREAS OUTSIDE THE LI CONTRACTOR'S OPERATIONS AT THEIR OWN EXPENSE.	MITS OF PROPOSED WO SHALL BE RESTORED	ORK DISTURBED BY THE TO THEIR ORIGINAL CONDITION	MASS FROM
			6. THE TERM "PROPOSED" NEW MATERIALS OR, WHERE	' (PROP.) MEANS WORI APPLICABLE, REUSING	K TO BE CONSTRUCTED USING EXISTING MATERIALS IDENTIFIED	10. ANY
			AS "REMOVE AND RESET" (F	R&R).		REPL

ABBREVIATIONS

GENERAL	
AADT	ANNUAL AVERAGE DAILY TRAFFIC
ABAN	ABANDON
ADJ	ADJUST
APPROX.	APPROXIMATE
A.C.	ASPHALT CONCRETE
ACCM PIPE	ASPHALT COATED CORRUGATED
	METAL PIPE
BII.	BITUMINOUS
BC	BOILOW OF CORB
BD.	BOUND
BL	BASELINE
BLDG	BUILDING
BM	BENCHMARK
BOS	BI UTTERS
DUS	BOTTOM OF SLOFE
	CATCH DASIN
	CATCH DASIN WITH CORD INLET
CCM	CEMENT CONCRETE MASONRY
CEM	CEMENT CONCRETE MASONICI
CLIM	
CIP	
	CHAIN LINK FENCE
CL	
CMP	CORRUGATED METAL PIPE
CSP	CORRUGATED STEEL PIPE
CO	COUNTY
CONC	CONCRETE
CONT	CONTINUOUS
CONST	CONSTRUCTION
CR GR	CROWN GRADE
DHV	DESIGN HOURLY VOLUME
DI	DROP INLET
DIA	DIAMETER
DIP	DUCTILE IRON PIPE
DW	STEADY DON'T WALK – PORTLAND C
DWY	DRIVEWAY
ELEV (or EL.)	ELEVATION
EMB	EMBANKMENT
EOP	EDGE OF PAVEMENT
EXIST (or EX)	EXISTING
EXC	EXCAVATION
F&C	FRAME AND COVER
F&G	FRAME AND GRATE
FDN.	FOUNDATION
FLDSTN	FIELDSTONE
GAR	GARAGE
GD	GROUND
GG	GAS GATE
GI	GUTTER INLET
GIP	GALVANIZED IRON PIPE
GRAN	
GRAV	
HDW	
НМА	ΗΟΤ ΜΙΧ ΑΩΡΗΔΙΤ
HOR	HORIZONTAI
HYD	HYDRANT
INV	INVERT
JCT	JUNCTION
L	LENGTH OF CURVE
LB	LEACH BASIN
LP	LIGHT POLE

- NTS BETWEEN NEW BITUMINOUS CONCRETE ROADWAY PAVEMENT AND VOUT EXISTING PAVEMENT SHALL BE SEALED IN ACCORDANCE WITH SSDOT STANDARDS.
- EXISTING SIGNS WITHIN THE PROJECT LIMITS SHALL BE RETAINED ESS INDICATED OTHERWISE ON THE DRAWINGS.
- ANAGE ELEVATIONS ARE PROVIDED FOR DESIGN PURPOSES ONLY. THE NTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY BY TEST PIT, THE LOCATIONS OF EXISTING LITIES WHICH MAY CONFLICT WITH THE PROPOSED DRAINAGE DESIGN. ANY D ADJUSTMENTS REQUIRED WILL BE MADE AS APPROVED OR DIRECTED BY E ENGINEER. ONLY AFTER THE CONTRACTOR VERIFIES ELEVATIONS FOR CONSTRUCTABILITY OF THE DRAINAGE SYSTEM SHALL ANY STRUCTURES ORDERED. ANY FIELD ADJUSTMENTS TO LINE & GRADE UP TO A DEPTH 5' SHALL BE INCLUDED IN THE COST OF THE PIPE. PIPE EXCAVATION EATER THAN 5' WILL BE PAID UNDER CLASS B TRENCH EXCAVATION.
- LD SURVEY CONDUCTED IN PERFORMED NOV 2016 THRU AUGUST 2017 BY SIDE ENGINEERING, INC., 600 UNICORN PARK DRIVE, WOBURN, MA 01801. UM: SPC83–MASSACHUSETTS (MAINLAND) HORIZONTAL DATUM: NAD 83 01) EPOCH 2010.00 VERTICAL DATUM: NAVD 1988 PERFORMED BY SSDOT FEB. 6, 2017, DISTRICT 6. ASSESSORS DATA/INFORMATION OBTAINED OM CITY OF BOSTON ONLINE ASESSORS/GIS DATABASE.
- PROPERTY PINS OR HIGHWAY BOUNDS DAMAGED DESTROYED DURING CONSTRUCTION, MUST BE PLACED PRIOR TO COMPLETION OF CONSTRUCTION.

ABBREVIATIONS (cont.)			BOSTON VICTORY ROAD DEPOT IMPROVEMENTS					
GENERAL				SHEET TOTAL				
		STATE	FED. AID PROJ. NO.	NO. SHEETS				
		MA	N/A	3 31				
MR			PROJECT FILE NO.	608843				
мн								
MHB	MASSACHUSETTS HIGHWAY BOUND		LEGEND, ABBREV	IATIONS				
MIN			AND GENERAL N	IOTES				
NO	NUMBER							
OHW	ORDINARY HIGH WATER							
PCC	POINT OF COMPOLIND CLIRVATURE							
PGI	PROFILE GRADE LINE							
PI								
POC	POINT ON CURVE							
POT	POINT ON TANGENT							
PRC	POINT OF REVERSE CURVATURE							
PROJ	PROJECT							
PROP	PROPOSED							
PSB	PLANTABLE SOIL BORROW							
PT	POINT OF TANGENCY							
⊃VC	POINT OF VERTICAL CURVATURE							
⊃VI	POINT OF VERTICAL INTERSECTION							
PVT	POINT OF VERTICAL TANGENCY							
PVMT	PAVEMENT							
⊃WW	PAVED WATER WAY							
2	RADIUS OF CURVATURE							
R&D	REMOVE AND DISPOSE							
RCP	REINFORCED CONCRETE PIPE							
RD	ROAD							
RDWY	ROADWAY							
REM	REMOVE							
RET	RETAIN							
RET WALL	RETAINING WALL							
ROW	RIGHT OF WAY							
RR	RAILROAD							
R&R	REMOVE AND RESET							
7&S	REMOVE AND STACK							
RT	RIGHT							
SB	STONE BOUND							
SHLD	SHOULDER							
SMH	SEWER MANHOLE							
ST	STREET							
STA	STATION							
SSD	STOPPING SIGHT DISTANCE							
SHLO	STATE HIGHWAY LAYOUT LINE							
SW	SIDEWALK							
Г	TANGENT DISTANCE OF CURVE/TRUCK %							
TAN	TANGENT							
ГЕМР	TEMPORARY							
ГС	TOP OF CURB							
TOS	TOP OF SLOPE							
ΓΥΡ	TYPICAL							
JP	UTILITY POLE							
VAR	VARIES							
VERT	VERTICAL							
VC	VERTICAL CURVE							
WCR	WHEEL CHAIR RAMP							
WG	WATER GATE							
WIP	WROUGHT IRON PIPE							
WM	WATER METER/WATER MAIN							
X-SECT	CROSS SECTION							









RIM=8.88'

NOTICE OF INTENT SITE DRAWINGS OCTOBER 18, 2018




VIC	CTOR	BOSTON Y ROAD DEPOT IMF	PROVI	EMEN	тs
	STATE	FED. AID PROJ. NO.	SHEET NO.	TOTAL SHEETS	

OTAIL	TED: AID TROS. NO.	NO.	SHEETS
MA	N/A	7	30
	PROJECT FILE NO.	608843	

PROPOSED SITE PLAN



NOTICE OF INTENT SITE DRAWINGS OCTOBER 18, 2018



CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCING NOTES

- 1. PRIOR TO BEGINNING EARTH DISTURBANCES AND CONSTRUCTION, INSTALL TEMPORARY EROSION CONTROL DEVICES AS REQUIRED. BEFORE CLEARING AND GRUBBING, STRIP FOREST LITTER AND TOPSOIL FROM THE AREA(S) TO BE WORKED ONLY, AND STOCKPILE FOR FUTURE RESTORATION. ALL STOCKPILES MUST BE SURROUNDED BY SILT FENCING.
- 2. INSTALL PERMANENT DRAINAGE STRUCTURES BEGINNING AT THE DOWNSTREAM END AND PROCEED UPSTREAM AS SOON AS POSSIBLE. SWALES AND CULVERTS THAT WILL BE CAPABLE OF INTERCEPTING SURFACE DRAINAGE DURING CONSTRUCTION MUST BE PROVIDED WITH ADEQUATE SEDIMENTATION CONTROL.
- 3. CONSTRUCT ROADS, SITE IMPROVEMENTS, AND STRUCTURES CONCURRENT WITH THE REQUIRED SEDIMENTATION AND EROSION CONTROL DEVICES AS PER PLAN.
- 4. EROSION CONTROL BARRIERS SHALL BE INSPECTED AFTER EACH SIGNIFICANT RAINFALL AND PROMPTLY REPAIRED OR REPLACED AS NECESSARY.
- 5. ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT DEPOSITS UPSTREAM OF BARRIERS SHALL BE PROPERLY DISPOSED OF ON A REGULAR BASIS. ALL BARRIERS SHALL BE REMOVED AND PROPERLY DISPOSED OF WHEN NO LONGER NEEDED.
- 6. WHERE PLACEMENT OF FILL IS REQUIRED FOR STORM WATER CONTROL, FILL SHALL BE PLACED IN AN UNFROZEN STATE UPON UNFROZEN GROUND.
- 7. ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE LOAMED, SEEDED, LIMED, AND FERTILIZED. A MINIMUM OF 4" OF LOAM SHALL BE INSTALLED, UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED ON THE PLANS.
- 8. ALL FILL SHALL BE FREE OF STUMPS AND LARGE STONES.
- 9. ANY STANDING BODIES OF WATER CREATED DURING EXCAVATION SHALL BE ELIMINATED. 10. GEOTEXTILE FABRIC SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ALL DITCHES AND SLOPES AS REQUIRED IMMEDIATELY AFTER SEEDING ON SLOPES GREATER THAN 3:1.
- 11. SEDIMENT COLLECTION SACKS SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ALL CATCH BASINS IN PAVED AREAS PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK.
- 12. THE INSTALLER SHALL MAINTAIN EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION AND UNTIL VEGETATION IS FULLY ESTABLISHED.
- 13. TEMPORARY GROUND COVER OR EROSION CONTROL SHALL BE ESTABLISHED ON ANY UNDEVELOPED AREAS WHERE REQUIRED BY THE PLANNING BOARD OR CONSERVATION
- 14. DISTURBED AREAS SHOWING CHARACTERISTICS OF EROSION SHALL BE HYDROSEEDED OR SEEDED WITH GRASS OR SMALL GRAINS INCLUDING RYE, OATS, OR RYE GRASS. ANY TEMPORARY SLOPES GREATER THAN 3:1 SHALL BE STABILIZED WITH THE APPROPRIATE EROSION CONTROL.

1. STONE SHALL BE INSTALLED OVER A 6" THICK BASE LAYER OF 34" OR CRUSHED STONE.





<u>NEW STAFF BUILDING</u> CONSTRUCTION TYPE:	
Construction classification — Type IIB—UNPROTECTED Construction Type IIB Unprotected Fire Resistance Ratina	
Requirements (Table 601):	
Structural Frame 0 Bearing Walls Exterior 2	
Interior 0 Nonbearing interior walls and partitions 0 Floor construction including beams and joists 0 Roof Construction including beams and joists 0	
Fire-Resistance Rating Requirements for Exterior Walls Based on Fire Separation Distance Table 602):	
Equal or greater	
Greater than 10 = 0 hrs. Group B	
Fire walls and Party walls 3 hrs. Exit Enclosures (per 1019.1) 1 hr (less than 4 stories) Exit Passageways (per 1020.1) 1 hr (less than 4 stories)	
Storage rooms over 100 sq. ft. 1 hr or auto-extinguisher system	
Separation of Mixed Occupancy Not Applicable	
=100 SF Gross: 1080 SF/100 SF/Occupant = 11 Occupants	
Table 1015.1: Max Occupant Load: 49 for One Exit or Exit Doorway. Table 1021.2: Stories with one exit, 49 occupants and 75 feet maximum travel distance.	
Egress Component Requirements:	
Ceiling Heights (1003.2): 7'-6" (refer to actual code for exceptions)	
Protruding Objects (1003.3): 80" min. for not more than 50% of area of Means of Egress (refer to actual code for more information and exceptions)	
Floor Surface (1003.4): Shall be slip resistant and securely fastened	
Elevation Change (1003.5: Sloped surfaces shall be used where grade change of 12" or less occurs	
Door Encroachment (1005.1): Refer to actual code for more information and exceptions	
Illumination required (1006.1): All means of egress including exit discharge shall be illuminated at appropriate levels and shall have an emergency back up system in the case of an emergency	
Doors (refer to section 1008 for complete requirements).	
 Min. width shall be 32" clear and large enough to handle 	
occupancy served — Swings shall be side—hinged with exceptions of private garages, office areas, factory and storage areas with occupancy of 10 or less (or are power—operated, refer to sect. 1008.1.3.2)	
 Swing shall be in the direction of travel for occupancy load of 50 or more Opening required force shall not exceed 5 pounds 	
 Landings that are level and are at the same elevation are required at each side of a door (refer to 1008.1.4 for exceptions) Minimum length in the direction of travel of 44" is required at landings 	
Stairways and Handrails (refer to section 1009 for complete requirements):	
 Stairway widths shall be min. 44" (36" when serving an occupant load of 50 or less) and large enough to handle occupancy served 	
— Min. headroom shall be 80" (6'—8") from leading edge of nosing — Riser heights shall be 7" max. (4" min.) — Tread depths shall be 11" minimum	
Exit Signs (refer to section 1011 for complete requirements): shall be illuminated with a battery back up system	
Exit Access (refer to section 1013 for complete requirements):	
 egress through intervening spaces shall not occur through kitchens, storage rooms, closets or similar spaces. – common path of travel shall not exceed 75' 	
Exit and Access Doorways (refer to section 1014 for complete requirements)	
— two exits are required (under 500 but over 50 occupants), separated by a distance equal or less than one third the maximum overall diagonal of area being served.	
Exit Access Travel Distance (refer to section 1015 for complete requirements): shall be 200 feet without sprinkler system and 300 with a sprinkler system.	
Corridors (section 1018): Corridors shall receive a one —hour rating (non—sprinkled) and shall be 44" in min. width. DEad end corridors shall not exceed 20 ft.	











SSDOT DORCHESTER BUILDING PLAN 2017-12-11.DWG Plotted on 11-Dec-2017 11:39.



E TYPICAL BUILDING FRAME SECTION SCALE: ¼"=1'-0"

ROOM FINISH SCHEDULE								
ROOM NAME	FLOOR	BASE		WALLS				REMARKS
			NORTH	EAST	SOUTH	WEST		
OFFICE 101	VCT	VB	PNT	PNT	PNT	PNT	ACT	
OFFICE 102	VCT	VB	PNT	PNT	PNT	PNT	ACT	
STAFF ROOM 103	VCT	VB	PNT	PNT	PNT	PNT	ACT	
MENS ROOM 104	CET	CET	PNT	PNT	PNT	PNT	ACT	
WOMENS ROOM 105	CET	CET	PNT	PNT	PNT	PNT	ACT	
CORRIDOR 106	VCT	VB	PNT	PNT	PNT	PNT	ACT	

ACT-ACOUSTICAL CEILING TILE CET—CERAMIC TILE PNT — PAINT

VB-VINYL BASE VCT-VINYL COMPOSITION TILE















WINDOW AND LOUVER SCHEDULE QUANTITY TYPE SIZE REMARKS ALUMINUM WINDOW SET IN METAL BUILDING 3'-0"x3'-0" 9

	NEW DOOR SCHEDULE							
	SIZE	DOOR	DOOR FRAME					
NO.		MATERIAL	FINISH	COLOR	ELEV	MATERIAL	ELEV	
01	3'-0"x6'-8"x1¾"	MTL	PAINTED	*	A	НМ	1	
02	3'-0"x6'-8"x1¾"	MTL	PAINTED	*	A	НМ	1	
03	3'-0"x6'-8"x1¾"	MTL	PAINTED	*	В	НМ	1	
04	3'-0"x6'-8"x1¾"	MTL	PAINTED	*	С	НМ	1	
05	3'-0"x6'-8"x1¾"	MTL	PAINTED	*	D	НМ	1	
06	2'-8"x6'-8"x1¾"	MTL	PAINTED	*	E	НМ	2	
07	2'-8"x6'-8"x1¾"	MTL	PAINTED	*	E	НМ	2	





KITCHEN CABINET SCHEDULE						
TYPE	SIZE	QUANTITY	REMARKS			
$\left(\mathbf{Y} \right)$	2'-2"x2'-6"x15"	2	PLASTIC LAMINATED PLYWOOD			
В	2'-2"x2'-10"x2'-4"	2	PLASTIC LAMINATED PLYWOOD W/ ONE (1) DRAWER			







SCHEDULE OF SCREWS AND NAILS FOR FRAMING AND SHEATHING CHEMICAL STORAGE SHEDS

_					
	JOINING	NAILING METHOD	NO.	SIZE	PLACEMENT
	26 GA. Metal Roofing to 5/8" Roof Sheathing	Per Manufacturer's Specification	ons		
	5/8" CDX Roof Sheathing to Roof Purlins	Facenail	—	8d	6"O.C. Edge 12"O.C. Intermediate
	Purlin To Truss	Facenail		12d	At Each Truss
	Truss To Top Plate	(See Plan Detail)		_	_
	Fascia Board To Truss Rafter	Facenail	3	16d	At Each Rafter
	2"x6" Strapping to Post	Toenail	4	16d	At Each Post
	2"x12" Casing To Post	Facenail	2	20d	12" On Center
	2"x12" Horizontal Casing to 2"x12" Vertical Casing	Facenail	2	20d	_
	2"x12" Horizontal Casing to 2"x12" Header	Facenail	1	20d	12" O.C.
	3"x8" Buttress Planking to Buttress Post	Facenail	2 (or 3)	40d	Each Post (@ Corner Post)
	Truss to 8"x10" Post	(See Plan Detail)	—	—	—
	Truss to 2"x10" Blocking	Facenail	6	10d	Each Intermediate Truss
	Bearing Plates To Columns	Facenail	6	60d	Inside & Outside Each Member
	Bearing Plates to Blocking	(See Plan Detail)	_	_	_
	Exterior Plywood to Studs	Facenail	—	8d	6"O.C. Edge 12"O.C. Intermediate
	2x10 Truss Knee Braces	(See Plan Detail on Sheet 9)	—	_	
	1"x6" Trim Thru Sheathing to 2"x6" Strapping	Facenail	2	10d	24" O.C.
	Door Framing	Through Plates	4	5d	Each Member at Each Connection
1					



























APPENDIX E – WETLANDS SURVEY MEMORANDUM





To:	Sean Ross	From:	Katelin Nickerson
	Stantec Consulting Services Inc. Boston, MA		Stantec Consulting Services Inc. Topsham, ME
File:	Mass DOT, 179410385	Date:	September 26, 2016

Reference: Massachusetts Department of Transportation Proposed salt shed location Dorchester, MA

On September 13, 2016, Stantec conducted a site visit and wetland survey at the location of a proposed Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) salt shed on a parcel between the southbound lanes of Interstate 93 (I-93) and Freeport Street in Dorchester, MA. MassDOT is proposing to fill a depression within the lot to construct a salt shed for winter road maintenance. This site visit was conducted to assess whether the depression is a jurisdictional wetland under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act and implementing regulations (WPA; 310 CMR 10.00) and federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and to identify permitting that may be required pursuant to these regulations to construct the proposed salt shed in this location.

GENERAL SITE DESCRIPTION

The site consists of a gravel lot, owned by MassDOT, and is approximately 36,500 square feet, with entrances from Freeport Street and Victory Road. The lot is currently used to store construction equipment and materials (Photo 1, Attachment A). The depression, located in the center of the lot, measures approximately 3,600 square feet and is dominated by common reed (*Phragmites australis*) (Photo 2, Attachment A). Additional vegetation observed within the depression includes Asian bittersweet (*Celastrus orbiculatus*), rambler rose (*Rosa multiflora*), lamp rush (*Juncus effuses*) and purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*).

Land surrounding the site is highly developed. Paved roads and buildings occur to the, south and west and I-93 is located to the east. A gravel lot owned by MassDOT exists north of the site on the opposite side of Victory Road. Red pine (*Pinus resinosa*) and black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) trees are growing between the gravel lot and Freeport Street.

SURVEY METHODS

The wetland survey was conducted by a Stantec Professional Wetland Scientist using the methodologies outlined in the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's (MassDEP) <u>Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act: A Handbook</u>; the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (Corps) 1987 <u>Wetlands Delineation Manual</u>; and the <u>Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual</u>: Northcentral and <u>Northeast Region</u> (Version 2.0, January 2012).

SURVEY RESULTS

The depression was determined not to be a Bordering Vegetated Wetland (BVW) due to the lack of a connection (piped or natural) to waterbody. The depression does not meet the criteria to be an Isolated Land Subject to Flooding (ILSF) based on its storage capacity and does not meet the



Page 2 of 4

Reference: Massachusetts Department of Transportation Proposed salt shed location Dorchester, MA

definition of a wetland under the CWA due to the lack of hydric soils. A review of current Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) mapping for the site revealed that the entire lot falls within Zone AE (EL 10) of the 100-year floodplain. As the flood zone is associated with Dorchester Bay, this would likely qualify the area as Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF), a WPA-regulated resource area.

RECOMMENDATION

Since the depression appears to qualify as LSCSF, a filing under the WPA with the Boston Conservation Commission (Commission) would be required to conduct work that may alter this area. Through recent conversations with Commission staff, Stantec learned that similar projects in the past few months have been approved through the submission of a Request for Determination of Applicability (RDA). Stantec recommends that MassDOT file an RDA with the Commission prior to initiating construction activities.

Please contact me if you have questions regarding the content of this memorandum.

Sincerely,

Stantec Consulting Services Inc.

Katelin Nickerson, PWS Project Scientist Phone: 207-713-4185 katelin.nickerson@stantec.com

Attachment: A – Site Photos



September 26, 2016 Sean Ross Page 3 of 4

Reference: Massachusetts Department of Transportation Proposed salt shed location Dorchester, MA



Photo 1: Gravel lot (Study Area) located in Dorchester. I-93 south is visible on the right side of the photo and the depression is visible behind the loader and jersey barriers on the left side of the photo. Stantec. September 13, 2016.



September 26, 2016 Sean Ross Page 4 of 4

Reference: Massachusetts Department of Transportation Proposed salt shed location Dorchester, MA



Photo 2: View of depression area (proposed salt shed location). Stantec. September 13, 2016.

APPENDIX F - STORMWATER REPORT (separate cover)



APPENDIX G - CLIMATE RESILIENCY REPORT SUMMARY





Submitted: 10/23/2018 12:33:44

A.1 - Project Information

Project Name:	MassDOT - \	MassDOT - Victory Road Depot Improvements				
Project Address:	Corner of Freeport Street and Victory Road, Dorchester, MA					
Filing Type:	Initial (PNF,	bstantial filing)				
Filing Contact:	Michael Paiewonsk y	Stantec	Michael.paiewonsky@St antec.com	857.415.3920		
Is MEPA approval required?	No	MEPA date:				

A.2 - Project Team

-	
Owner / Developer:	MassDOT
Architect:	Bayside Engineering
Engineer:	Bayside Engineering
Sustainability / LEED:	N/A
Permitting:	Stantec/MassDOT
Construction Management:	MassDOT/Bayside Engineering

A.3 - Project Description and Design Conditions

List the principal Building Uses:	Staff Office Building
List the First Floor Uses:	Meeting Room, Offices and Bathrooms with Showers
List any Critical Site Infrastructure and or Building Uses:	N/A

Site and Building:

Site Area (SF):	75800	Building Area (SF):	1080
Building Height (Ft):	14.83	Building Height (Stories):	1
Existing Site Elevation – Low (Ft BCB):	13.65	Existing Site Elevation – High (Ft BCB):	18.70
Proposed Site Elevation – Low (Ft BCB):	16.46	Proposed Site Elevation – High (Ft BCB):	18.70
Proposed First Floor Elevation (Ft BCB):	17.62	Below grade spaces/levels (#):	0
Article 37 Green Building:			
LEED Version - Rating System:	N/A	LEED Certification:	No



Proposed LEED rating:

Proposed LEED point score (Pts.):

N/A

Building Envelope:

When reporting R values, differentiate between R discontinuous and R continuous. For example, use "R13" to show R13 discontinuous and use R10c.i. to show R10 continuous. When reporting U value, report total assembly U value including supports and structural elements.

Roof:	R32	Exposed Floor :	R0.70
Foundation Wall:	R11.35	Slab Edge (at or below grade):	R11.70
Vertical Above-grade Assemblies (%			
Area of Opaque Curtain Wall & Spandrel Assembly:	0	Wall & Spandrel Assembly Value:	N/A
Area of Framed & Insulated / Standard Wall:	N/A	Wall Value:	R32
Area of Vision Window:	5.0	Window Glazing Assembly Value:	0.29
		Window Glazing SHGC:	SHGC
Area of Doors:	1.2	Door Assembly Value :	0.14

Energy Loads and Performance

For this filing – describe how energy loads & performance were determined	Annual Energy Loads were Not Calculated for this Building. Heating needs for this building were based on the Mass Energy Code. Building will not have a cooling system and is intended for late fall/winter to early spring use only.			
Annual Electric (kWh):		Peak Electric (kW):		
Annual Heating (MMbtu/hr):		Peak Heating (MMbtu):		
Annual Cooling (Tons/hr):	n/a	Peak Cooling (Tons):		
Energy Use - Below ASHRAE 90.1 - 2013 (%):		Have the local utilities reviewed the building energy performance?:	No	
Energy Use - Below Mass. Code (%):		Energy Use Intensity (kBtu/SF):		
Back-up / Emergency Power System				
Electrical Generation Output (kW):	45	Number of Power Units:	1	
System Type (kW):	45	Fuel Source:	diesel	
Emergency and Critical System Loads (in the event of a service interruption)				
Electric (kW):	30	Heating (MMbtu/hr):		
		Cooling (Tons/hr):		



B - Greenhouse Gas Reduction and Net Zero / Net Positive Carbon Building Performance

Reducing greenhouse gas emissions is critical to avoiding more extreme climate change conditions. To achieve the City's goal of carbon-neutrality by 2050 the performance of new buildings will need to progressively improve to carbon net zero and net positive.

B.1 – GHG Emissions - Design Conditions

For this filing - Annual Building GHG Emissions (Tons):

For this filing - describe how building energy performance has been integrated into project planning, design, and engineering and any supporting analysis or modeling:

Energy Modeling was not Performed for this building. The building will be used seasonally during winter months as an ancillary facility to the salt shed operations.

Describe building specific passive energy efficiency measures including orientation, massing, building envelop, and systems:

Building is situated on the site to accommodate salt storage and equipment access operations.

Describe building specific active energy efficiency measures including high performance equipment, controls, fixtures, and systems:

Describe building specific load reduction strategies including on-site renewable energy, clean energy, and storage systems:

Describe any area or district scale emission reduction strategies including renewable energy, central energy plants, distributed energy systems, and smart grid infrastructure:

Describe any energy efficiency assistance or support provided or to be provided to the project:

B.2 - GHG Reduction - Adaptation Strategies



Describe how the building and its systems will evolve to further reduce GHG emissions and achieve annual carbon net zero and net positive performance (e.g. added efficiency measures, renewable energy, energy storage, etc.) and the timeline for meeting that goal (by 2050):

The building will have heating only (no a/c) and used seasonally.

C - Extreme Heat Events

Annual average temperature in Boston increased by about 2°F in the past hundred years and will continue to rise due to climate change. By the end of the century, the average annual temperature could be 56° (compared to 46° now) and the number of days above 90° (currently about 10 a year) could rise to 90.

C.1 – Extreme Heat - Design Conditions

Temperature Range - Low (Deg.):		Temperature Range - High (Deg.):		
Annual Heating Degree Days:		Annual Cooling Degree Days		
What Extreme Heat Event characteristics will be / have been used for project planning				
Days - Above 90° (#):		Days - Above 100° (#):		
Number of Heatwaves / Year (#):		Average Duration of Heatwave (Days):		
Describe all building and site measures to reduce heat-island effect at the site and in the surrounding area:				
The surrounding urban area contains an interstate highway and local roadway system.			local roadway	

C.2 - Extreme Heat – Adaptation Strategies

Describe how the building and its systems will be adapted to efficiently manage future higher average temperatures, higher extreme temperatures, additional annual heatwaves, and longer heatwaves:

Energy Modeling was not Performed for this building. The building will be used seasonally during winter months as an ancillary facility to the salt shed operations.

Describe all mechanical and non-mechanical strategies that will support building functionality and use during extended interruptions of utility services and infrastructure including proposed and future adaptations:

Building will not have a cooling system and intended for late fall winter to early spring use only

D - Extreme Precipitation Events

From 1958 to 2010, there was a 70 percent increase in the amount of precipitation that fell on the days with the heaviest precipitation. Currently, the 10-Year, 24-Hour Design Storm precipitation level is 5.25". There is a significant probability that



this will increase to at least 6" by the end of the century. Additionally, fewer, larger storms are likely to be accompanied by more frequent droughts.

D.1 – Extreme Precipitation - Design Conditions

What is the project design4.90precipitation level? (In. / 24 Hours)

Describe all building and site measures for reducing storm water run-off:

Infiltration/Detention Basin, Grassed Swales, Peastone Diaphragms

D.2 - Extreme Precipitation - Adaptation Strategies

Describe how site and building systems will be adapted to efficiently accommodate future more significant rain events (e.g. rainwater harvesting, on-site storm water retention, bio swales, green roofs):

Infiltration of stormwater is provided to the extent practicable due to soil type and limited space to accommodate equipment operations.

E – Sea Level Rise and Storms

Under any plausible greenhouse gas emissions scenario, the sea level in Boston will continue to rise throughout the century. This will increase the number of buildings in Boston susceptible to coastal flooding and the likely frequency of flooding for those already in the floodplain.

Is any portion of the site in a FEMA Special Flood Hazard Area?	Yes	What Zone:	AE
What is the current FEMA SFHA Zone Base Flood Elevation for the site (Ft BCB)?			16.45

Is any portion of the site in the BPDA Sea Level Rise Flood Yes Hazard Area (see <u>SLR-FHA online map</u>)?

If you answered YES to either of the above questions, please complete the following questions. Otherwise you have completed the questionnaire; thank you!

E.1 - Sea Level Rise and Storms - Design Conditions

Proposed projects should identify immediate and future adaptation strategies for managing the flooding scenario represented by the Sea Level Rise Flood Hazard Area (SLR-FHA), which includes 3.2' of sea level rise above 2013 tide levels, an additional 2.5" to account for subsidence, and the 1% Annual Chance Flood. After using the SLR-FHA to identify a project's Sea Level Rise Base Flood Elevation, proponents should calculate the Sea Level Rise Design Flood Elevation by



adding 12" of freeboard for buildings, and 24" of freeboard for critical facilities and infrastructure and any ground floor residential units.

What is the Sea Level Rise - Base Flood Elevation for the site (Ft BCB)?	25.55		
What is the Sea Level Rise - Design Flood Elevation for the site (Ft BCB)?	26.55	First Floor Elevation (Ft BCB):	17.62
What are the Site Elevations at Building (Ft BCB)?	16.95	What is the Accessible Route Elevation (Ft BCB)?	

Describe site design strategies for adapting to sea level rise including building access during flood events, elevated site areas, hard and soft barriers, wave / velocity breaks, storm water systems, utility services, etc.:

The existing and proposed site will be below the expected SLR.

Describe how the proposed Building Design Flood Elevation will be achieved including dry / wet flood proofing, critical systems protection, utility service protection, temporary flood barriers, waste and drain water back flow prevention, etc.:

Describe how occupants might shelter in place during a flooding event including any emergency power, water, and waste water provisions and the expected availability of any such measures:

No occupants.

Describe any strategies that would support rapid recovery after a weather event:

E.2 - Sea Level Rise and Storms - Adaptation Strategies

Describe future site design and or infrastructure adaptation strategies for responding to sea level rise including future elevating of site areas and access routes, barriers, wave / velocity breaks, storm water systems, utility services, etc.:

Describe future building adaptation strategies for raising the Sea Level Rise Design Flood Elevation and further protecting critical systems, including permanent and temporary measures:

Thank you for completing the Boston Climate Change Checklist!

For questions or comments about this checklist or Climate Change best practices, please contact: <u>John.Dalzell@boston.gov</u>

MassDOT - Victory Road Depot Improvements Supplemental Information Revised Project Narrative Notice of Intent

Submitted to the Boston Conservation Commission

Location: Victory Road Dorchester, MA



Applicant:

Massachusetts Department of Transportation Highway Division 10 Park Plaza Boston, MA 02116

Prepared by:

Stantec Consulting Services Inc. 226 Causeway Street, 6th Floor Boston, MA 02114

Table of Contents

WPA FORM 3 – NOTICE OF INTENT WETLAND FEE TRANSMITTAL FORM

List of Appendices

APPENDIX A – PROJECT NARRATIVE

1.0		1.1
2.0	EXISTING CONDITIONS	2.1
2.1	METHODOLOGY OF RESOURCE AREA INVESTIGATIONS	. 2.2
2.2	DESCRIPTION OF RESOURCE AREA	. 2.3
2.3	MA NATURAL HERITAGE & ENDANGERED SPECIES PROGRAM DESIGNATION	. 2.3
2.4	OTHER PROTECTED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES	. 2.4
3.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	3.4
3.1	CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE	. 3.4
3.2	EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROLS AND OTHER MITIGATION MEASURES	. 3.5
4.0	REGULATORY COMPLIANCE	4.5
4.1	MASSACHUSETTS WETLANDS PROTECTION ACT	. 4.5
4.2	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	. 4.7
5.0	SUMMARY	5.8

APPENDIX B – Figures

Figure 1: USGS Site Locus Map Figure 2: Locus Map Figure 3: DEP Priority Resources and Critical Areas Resource Map Figure 4: Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM)

APPENDIX C – Site Photographs

- APPENDIX D Project NOI Plans
- APPENDIX E Wetland Survey Memorandum
- APPENDIX F Stormwater Report (separate cover)
- APPENDIX G Climate Resiliency Report Summary

APPENDIX A PROJECT NARRATIVE

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Massachusetts Department of Transportation (MassDOT) Highway Division is submitting this Notice of Intent (NOI) Application to redevelop an existing maintenance depot lot between the southbound lanes of Interstate 93 (I-93) and Freeport Street in the Dorchester area of Boston, Massachusetts. The existing compacted gravel lot, owned by MassDOT, will be utilized to construct salt storage facilities, including two salt storage sheds and an associated administrative building, for winter road maintenance operations. Additional salt storage in Suffolk County, Massachusetts has been identified as a high priority for MassDOT to minimize both the time and distance of transporting salt to melt snow and ice-covered state and interstate highways.

An NOI is required as portions of the existing maintenance depot lot are mapped within the 100year floodplain of the tidally-influenced Dorchester Bay, which qualifies as Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF). The 100-year floodplain associated with the identified coastal resource area (i.e. LSCSF) is protected under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (Act, M.G.L., c. 131, s. 40) and its implementing *Regulations Act Regulations*, 310 CMR 10.00).

A USGS Site Locus and a DEP Priority Resources Map, illustrating the project location and surrounding physical and environmental features are provided in Appendix B. Project Plans are provided in Appendix D. The following sections of this NOI provide a description of the existing site conditions, wetland boundary determination methodology, Wetland Resource Areas, and proposed project, including measures proposed to mitigate the potential impacts to the adjacent stormwater drainage system.

2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS

This section provides a site description and resource area characterization for the project area.



Land use in the general vicinity of the project area was determined based on staff observations during a site visit on September 13, 2016, in addition to a review of information available through the Massachusetts Geographic Information System (MassGIS) online database.

The existing maintenance depot lot, owned by MassDOT, predominantly consists of densely compacted dirt/gravel, is approximately 48,000 square feet in size, and features entrances from Freeport Street to the west and Victory Road to the north. The lot, formerly leased to two construction companies for storage of construction equipment and materials, is currently in use as a temporary salt storage area for the winter season. (Photo 1, Appendix C).

According to existing survey information, a stormwater outfall (previously a combined sewer) is located under the site. The outfall daylights on the east side of the southeast expressway. A wet depression surrounded by jersey barriers, described in more detail in section 2.1, is located in the center of the lot. Red pine (*Pinus resinosa*) and black locust (*Robinia pseudoacacia*) trees are growing between the project site and Freeport Street.

2.1 METHODOLOGY OF RESOURCE AREA INVESTIGATIONS

An onsite wetland survey was conducted by a Stantec Professional Wetland Scientist on September 13, 2016 using the methodologies outlined in the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's (MassDEP) Delineating Bordering Vegetated Wetlands Under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act: A Handbook; the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' (Corps) 1987 Wetlands Delineation Manual; and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0, January 2012).

An existing isolated surface depression, measuring approximately 3,600 square feet and located in the center of the lot, is dominated by common reed (*Phragmites australis*) (Photo 2, Appendix C). Additional vegetation observed within the depression includes Asiatic bittersweet (*Celastrus orbiculatus*), multiflora rose (*Rosa multiflora*), lamp rush (*Juncus effusus*) and purple loosestrife (*Lythrum salicaria*). The surface depression onsite was determined not to be a federallyjurisdictional vegetated wetland system due to the lack of both hydric soils, as well as any hydraulic connection (piped or natural) to a navigable waterbody. Furthermore, it was determined that the depression does not meet the qualifying criteria of an Isolated Land Subject to Flooding (ILSF) based on its inability to confine standing water to a volume of at least 0.25 acre-



feet and to average depth of a minimum of six inches, at least once year (see attached Wetlands Survey Memorandum, Appendix E).

The limits of jurisdictional Wetland Resource Areas within and immediately adjacent to the site were obtained from the most recent Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) for the project area. Based on a review of the current FEMA FIRM, portions of the existing maintenance depot lot are mapped within Zone AE (Elevation 10) of the 100-year floodplain associated with the tidally influenced Dorchester Bay (i.e. Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage). No other wetland resource areas subject to the jurisdiction of the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act were identified within the project area. A description of Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage is provided below.

2.2 DESCRIPTION OF RESOURCE AREA

Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage

LSCSF is defined at 310 CMR 10.04 as land subject to any inundation caused by coastal storms up to and including that caused by the 100-year storm, surge of record or storm of record, whichever is greater.

According to the revised March 16, 2016 FEMA FIRM (Appendix B, Figure 4) for Suffolk County, Massachusetts (Map Number 25025C0091J), portions of the maintenance depot lot are designated as Flood Areas in Zone AE. According to the FIRM, the flood elevation proximate to the project area corresponds to elevation 10 NAVD88, which corresponds to limit of LSCSF that is depicted on Sheet 4 of the Plans (Appendix D). LSCSF within the project area consists of gravel lot, stored jersey barriers, 6' chain link fence on the north and west perimeter, and jersey barriers surrounding a wet depression area described in Section 2.1 of this narrative and in the Wetland Survey Memorandum (Appendix E).

2.3 MA NATURAL HERITAGE & ENDANGERED SPECIES PROGRAM DESIGNATION

According to the 13th Edition of the Massachusetts Natural Heritage Atlas (valid from August 2017) published by the Natural Heritage & Endangered Species Program (NHESP) and the MassGIS database, the project area is not located within the limits of mapped Priority Habitat of Rare Species or Estimated Habitat of Rare Wildlife. No Certified Vernal Pools (CVPs) or Potential Vernal



Pools (PVPs) are mapped on or within the immediate vicinity of the project area (see note in Appendix B, Figure 3: DEP Priority Resources and Critical Areas Resource Map).

2.4 OTHER PROTECTED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES

According to available MassGIS mapping, the project is not located within any Areas of Critical Environmental Concern (ACEC) or any stormwater critical areas. The Neponset River Estuary ACEC is identified east of the I-93 highway corridor (see Appendix B, Figure 3).

3.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The existing MWRA treatment building and associated pavement within the maintenance depot lot will be demolished with proposed site redevelopment to include:

- > Grading
- Clearing and grubbing
- > Installation of stormwater management drainage features
- > Construction of two new roofed timber salt sheds (approximately 3,840 sf, each)
- > Construction of a new 1,100 sf administration building
- > Associated site paving and parking space accommodation

3.1 CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE

The contractor will be responsible for selecting the means and methods to be used in the construction execution of the project in accordance with the contract documents. However, this NOI application includes a listing of the anticipated construction sequence. The anticipated sequence of construction is as follows:

- 1. Mobilize equipment, materials, and personnel.
- 2. Install erosion control measures to mitigate sediment migration outside the limits of work
- 3. Clearing/grubbing
- 4. Pavement overlay
- 5. Implementation of stormwater management drainage features
- 6. Construction of roofed timber salt shed facilities
- 7. Construction of administration building
- 8. Stabilize disturbed areas with loam and seed.
- 9. Remove erosion and sedimentation controls.



3.2 EROSION/SEDIMENT CONTROLS AND OTHER MITIGATION MEASURES

As shown on the attached design plans, prior to the commencement of any land disturbance work, erosion, and sedimentation control barriers, consisting of compost filter tubes, will be implemented to mitigate sediment migration outside the limits of work. In addition:

- The contractor will be required to maintain a reserve supply of erosion and sediment controls barriers on-site to make repairs, as necessary;
- The contractor will be required to inspect protective measures prior to and after significant precipitation events and repaired, as necessary;
- Erosion and sediment control (i.e. compost filter tubes) will remain in place until the area is stabilized.

Environmental mitigation measures will also include dust control to ensure that generation of onsite dust during work activities will be minimized. Wet suppression shall be used to provide temporary control of dust, as needed.

4.0 **REGULATORY COMPLIANCE**

4.1 MASSACHUSETTS WETLANDS PROTECTION ACT

A portion of the project site is mapped within Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF). Currently, there are no Performance Standards for work proposed within LSCSF under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations. This site was selected because it is adjacent to Route 3 and other heavily traveled roadways where salt will be applied in the winter, the site is owned by MassDOT, and it has few residential properties nearby. Relocating the site out of the LSCSF would likely require it to be in a more residential area. A descriptive list of impacts within the LCSF resource area is provided here:

LSCSF Area - Site Preparation (Sheet 4, Appendix D)

- Clear and grub all existing vegetation within the wet depression area of jersey barriers. This area is the Phragmites-dominated wet depression that lacks wetland soil and does not qualify as either BVW or Isolated Land Subject to Flooding.
- Clear and grub all existing vegetation within area of 8" and 10" red pine trees in area on northwest side of property. Trees located between the gravel lot and Freeport Street will be removed and disposed of.



- Within the same northwest area of the property, the existing light pole and concrete base will be removed.
- Remove and dispose of existing 6' chain link fence on the north and west sides of the property and around the perimeter of the wet depression area.
- Remove and dispose of the existing concrete jersey barriers on the east perimeter of the property.
- Remove 38 linear feet of curbing on the north side of the property and store for use in constructing new entrance on same side of property.
- Cut and cap the existing 10" sewer line on the western side of the property along Freeport Street. The 10" sewer line south of the cut and cap point will be filled and abandoned.
- As part of the erosion and sediment controls, compost filler tubes will be put in place during construction along the south limit of work and along property lines on Victory Road and Freeport Street. A stabilized construction entrance (stone truck apron) will be installed at either the Victory Road or Freeport Street existing curb cut. Inlet protection will be added to the catch basins on Victory Road and Freeport Street.
- Precast blocks, including those stacked in piles, precast block wall, salt stored in salt pile, trailers, storage, etc., will be removed by Mass DOT prior to construction.
- Approximately 630 cubic yards of clean fill will be deposited and graded to create level construction area.

LSCSF Area - Proposed Victory Road Depot Improvements (Sheet 6, Appendix D)

- Salt Shed #1 will be constructed on the west side of the property along Freeport Street. Approximately 2,700 sf (70% of 3,840 sq.ft.) of the structure will be situated within the LSCSF area. A 1'-wide and 2-ft deep pea stone trench will be constructed along the project area perimeter.
- A 608 sq.ft. asphalt parking area will be constructed on the west side of the property along Freeport Street and adjacent to the north side of Salt Shed #1.
- A new generator and pad will be constructed to the immediate north side of the proposed parking area.
- A new 1,100 sq.ft. staff building will be constructed on the west side of the property and to the north side of the staff building. A 16'-6" sloped ramp will connect the new parking area to the staff building. The ramp will be located east of the new generator location described above.
- New 4" sanitary sewer, 2" water service and electric service will connect to the staff building from the west side of the property.
- Approximately 20' north of the proposed staff building, two (2) 5,000-gallon liquid tanks will be constructed on a concrete pad in a 12' by 24' area. A 1'-wide pea stone trench will be constructed on this area's south side.
- A detention basin, approximately 1,600 sq.ft., will be constructed at the northwest corner of the property. The basin will be constructed adjacent to the liquid tanks area.
- New precast jersey barriers with new 4' chain link fence mounted on top of barriers will be installed along the east side of the property.
- New 6' chain link fence will be installed on north and west sides of the property.



- The area east of the new jersey barriers/chain link fence will be filled, loamed, and seeded.
- Area west of the new jersey barriers/chain link fence and east of new staff building and parking area will be paved with hot mix asphalt (HMA).
- New 8'-6" cement concrete sidewalk with granite curb will be constructed along the north and west sides of the property.
- New curb will be installed at former site entrance on north side of property. New curb will be cut for new entrance at Victory Road with new slide gate installed.

Work in the Project Area outside of LSCSF includes the following:

- Removal of jersey barriers
- Approximately 570 cubic yards of clean fill will be deposited and graded to create level construction area
- Installation of BMP stormwater management features
- Construction of timber salt shed #2, and a portion of salt shed #1
- New precast jersey barriers with new 4' chain link fence mounted on top of barriers will be installed along the east side of the property.
- The area east of the new jersey barriers/chain link fence will be filled, loamed, and seeded.
- Area west of the new jersey barriers/chain link fence and north and east of new salt shed #2 will be paved with hot mix asphalt (HMA).

4.2 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

According to the Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental Protection's (MassDEP's) Stormwater Management Standards are applicable to stormwater runoff from all planned construction projects, which involve site preparation, construction, and redevelopment.

Stormwater management features are proposed within the limits of the existing lot in compliance with MassDEP's Stormwater Management Standards (See Stormwater Report, Appendix F). Proposed measures to capture and infiltrate or detain stormwater include 12-inch wide by 24-inch-deep peastone trenches surrounding the site perimeter, an approximately 300-foot long grass swale on the eastern edge of the site and a combination grass swale and detention basin on the western edge of the site. The design of the peastone trench, grass swale and detention basin will allow for regular removal of accumulated sediments.

The proposed site will be graded to achieve a similar post-construction runoff compared to existing conditions. In general, stormwater runoff from the site will flow over the paved surface to the 12-inch wide by 24-inch deep peastone trenches alongside the perimeter of the paved areas



where it is expected to infiltrate into the ground. During larger storm events, stormwater may overflow into the adjacent proposed swales and then infiltrate into the ground there. The proposed project is within a previously-developed site and applicable stormwater standards have been met to the greatest extent practicable. For more detailed information, see Appendix F Stormwater Report and check list.

The proposed project involves more than one acre of earth disturbance and, therefore, a Stormwater Construction General Permit in accordance with EPA's NPDES program will be required. The need for a NPDES Permit also will necessitate the preparation of a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). This Plan will be prepared by the selected Contractor and a copy of the SWPPP will be retained onsite during construction.

5.0 SUMMARY

In summary, MassDOT is seeking approval from the City of Boston Conservation Commission to redevelop an existing maintenance depot lot between the southbound lanes of Interstate 93 (I-93) and Freeport Street for salt storage operations.

Portions of the maintenance depot lot and proposed multi-use path alignment are mapped within Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF), for which there are no applicable performance standards under the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations. Best Management Practices (BMPs) including erosion and sedimentation control barriers, consisting of compost filter tubes, will be implemented to mitigate the potential release of suspended sediment to the adjacent stormwater drainage system. Additionally, the project will provide stormwater BMP's within the existing maintenance depot lot, in compliance with MassDEP's Stormwater Management Standards.

On behalf of the Applicant, Stantec respectfully requests that the Boston Conservation Commission find these measures adequately protective of the interests identified in the Wetlands Protection Act, and issue an Order of Conditions approving the work described in this NOI, and shown on the accompanying plans.



Stormwater Report

Salt Shed and Administration Building Site Development



Dorchester, MA October 25, 2018



Prepared by:



600 Unicorn Park Drive
Woburn, MA 01801Massachusetts Department
10 Park Plaza
Boston, MA 02116

Massachusetts Department of Transportation 10 Park Plaza Boston, MA 02116

Introduction

This report has been prepared to provide the stormwater design and analysis associated with the redevelopment of a site in Dorchester, Massachusetts. The proposed design has been developed to provide the necessary buildings and site circulation roadways to support MassDOT highway de-icing operations.

The project is located within the 100-year tidal floodplain of Dorchester Bay, which is regulated under the *Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act (M.G.L., c. 131, § 40)* and defined as Land Subject to Coastal Storm Flowage (LSCSF). LSCSF is subject to protection as designated under 310 CMR 10.02(1)(d). The entire project will occur on previously developed land.

Existing Conditions

The existing site is located on Freeport Street and consists of compacted gravel on the north two-thirds of the site and a turf grass vegetated area in the southern third of the site. A portion of site was the location of a MWRA treatment building and small paved parking area. The land cover is 7.3% impervious (47.8% impervious including the highly compacted gravel). The structure and pavement has recently been removed in preparation of this project. The site is located directly to the west of the Southeast Expressway.

Runoff flows to three areas. The southerly portion of the site flows south to the adjacent property. A small portion of the site flows west onto Freeport Street and the central and north portion of the site flows into the small depression on site, then onto Victory Rd to the north. All of the stormwater runoff in the vicinity of the site eventually discharges to the mouth of the Neponset River/Marina Bay on the east side of the Southeast Expressway.

The NRCS Soil Map lists the entire site as Urban Land with wet substratum (soil unit#603). Several borings were performed on-site. The borings generally confirmed the soil map description. The soil horizons general consist of various soils including compacted sand and gravel, urban fill/historic fill layer, shallow refusal, sand and gravel and silty marine clay The NRCS Soil Report and test pit logs are included in the appendix.

Proposed Conditions

The proposed site includes two 3,840 square foot salt storage sheds, one 1,100 square foot staff building, miscellaneous deicing operations tanks and paved site circulation roadways. The site was designed to provide necessary work area to support efficient deicing operations. The three general discharge locations have been maintained. The post development site 43.7% impervious.
Regrading is necessary to provide positive drainage to stormwater structures. This will require approximately 630 cubic yards of fill within the LSCSF. This equates to loss of 500 cubic yards of volume available to coastal storm flowage. Storage in depressions and stormwater BMPs under elevation 9 (approx. 130 cubic yards) are presumed to be filled with water at the time of the storm event as a result of rainfall, and are therefore are not counted.

The north end of the site includes a small infiltration trench with a peastone diaphragm pretreatment for pavement runoff and a 12-inch overflow riser connected to the existing DMH at the Victory Road/Freeport Street intersection.

The central and southern portion of the site will discharge runoff to a proposed grass conveyance swale. The swale terminates at the existing southerly limit of work. This is the same runoff discharge location as existing. The removal of the MWRA treatment building and pavement in this catchment helps to offset the impervious area increase as a result of the proposed site circulation roadways.

The proposed salt shed roofs will be connected to the existing stormwater culvert under the site. The culvert daylights at the mouth of the Neponset River/Marina Bay on the east side of the Southeast Expressway. The roof runoff is "clean" and therefore requires no treatment prior to discharging to the culvert.

The proposed drainage design has been engineered to closely replicate the existing runoff patterns, while increasing water quality and infiltration.

Hydrologic and Hydraulic Methodology

Computations were performed using the HydroCAD Stormwater Modeling system. HydroCAD is a computer aided design program, primarily incorporating a hydrograph generating and routing program. HydroCAD incorporates reservoir and valley routing and provides Soil Conservation Services (SCS) TR-20 accuracy with a Graphical User Interface. Hydrographs are generated from the SCS unit hydrograph based on Watershed area, runoff curve number, time of concentration and rainfall amount.

Computations were performed for the 2-year, 10-year, 25-year and 100-year storm events using a local rainfall file generated using the Northeast Regional Climate Center (NRCC) extreme precipitation tables. Drainage subcatchment areas were delineated according to existing localized flow patterns. Using the existing ground cover type and SCS hydrologic soil groups, the permeability characteristics (CN value) of each drainage sub-catchment area was estimated by choosing a representative number that corresponds to the current land use. The soils in the basin are comprised of Types A, and D soils, as classified in the SCS Hydrologic Soil Groups and field observations. Due to the small size of the watershed, the time of concentration for each sub-catchment area was assumed

to be the simulation minimum time-step of 6 minutes. Tc numbers lower than this can produce unstable simulations and inaccurate results.

STORMWATER ANALYSIS

Stormwater flow changes are analyzed and compared at key locations in a project to evaluate the effect the proposed construction will have on drainage and infiltration patterns. The ideal goal is to provide a hydraulically similar pattern after construction. The analysis results provide the necessary data to show that the proposed project will accomplish this goal.

The existing drainage watersheds were analyzed at 3 critical locations: south, central and north. Subcatchment runoff is dependent on the soil classification, type of ground cover present and the direction and slope of the flow of runoff. The sub-catchment areas were delineated based on the flow to the existing catch basins.

Under the existing condition analysis, the north and central catchments flow into existing depressions on-site. The depressions were modeled as "ponds". The depressions have significant sediment on the bottom and most likely do not appreciably infiltrate runoff and may not completely drain between rain events. Because of this condition, the existing runoff values in the chart from the north and central catchments are listed as if the ponds were completely full at the time of the event (i.e.-direct runoff from the north and central catchments).

The total peak runoff after construction will be no greater than existing.

Flow for the storm simulations are as follows:

	Storm Frequency											
Analysis Point	2 year	10 year	25 year	100 year								
Existing	0.1	1.4	3.2	5.8								
Developed	0.0	1.2	2.5	3.4								
Difference	-0.1	-0.2	-0.7	-2.4								

North(from North Depression/Infiltration Basin)

West

		Storm Frequency													
Analysis	2 year	2 year 10 year 25 year 100 year													
Point	-	-	-	-											
Existing	0.2	0.4	0.6	1.0											
Developed	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.9											
Difference	+0.1	+0.1	0.0	-0.1											

South

	Storm Frequency											
Analysis	2 year	10 year	25 year	100 year								
Point												
Existing	1.2	2.4	3.5	5.6								
Developed	0.8	1.9	2.9	5.0								
Difference	-0.4	-0.5	-0.6	-0.6								

Stormwater Best Management Practices

As part of the project and the requirements of the Stormwater Regulations, a best management practices construction period erosion and sedimentation control plan has been developed. Provisions for the replacement and upgrade of drainage system components that are identified as non-functioning as originally intended will be replaced in-kind or as specified in the *Massachusetts Stormwater Handbook*, the *MassHighway Stormwater Handbook for Highways and Bridges, MassHighway Project Development and Design Guide*. New BMPs include a small infiltration basin (with peastone diaphragms) and a grass conveyance channel. These structures have been utilized for total suspended solids removal and peak discharge attenuation. Utility locations, existing development/lack of available land and the presence of urban fill and potential contamination limit the possible treatment and infiltration upgrade alternatives.

APPENDICIES

Appendix A – Long-Term Pollution Prevention Plan and Operation & Maintenance Plan

Appendix B – NRCS Soil Data/Test Pit logs

Appendix C – Calculations

Appendix D – Photos

APPENDIX A

LONG-TERM POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN AND OPERATION & MAINTENANCE PLAN

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE AND POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN

Construction Operations

The operation and maintenance plan for construction operations outlines the installation, inspection, cleaning, and upkeep necessary to keep the siltation and erosion control system in good repair and operating efficiently. It is a critical component to the success of the stormwater best management practices designed for construction work on the site. Construction erosion controls minimize the potential for sedimentation in downstream gradient resource areas and abutting properties.

Construction sediment controls cover a wide range of practices, including stabilizing the construction entrance roadway, installing compost filter tubes, and controlling sediment at catch basins. The guiding principle for construction erosion control for this development is to minimize the volume of runoff and to minimize contact of stormwater with potential pollutants. Accepted construction management practices can reduce these stormwater pollutant loads and quantities.

The following construction best management practices (BMPs) for sediment and erosion control are included in this operation and maintenance plan.

- 1. Install compost filter tubes as shown on the plans and as required in the field to prevent sediment from leaving the limits of work.
- 2. Install silt sacks in all existing catch basins within the project limits.
- 3. Material stockpiles shall be stabilized with erosion control matting or temporary seeding whenever necessary.
- 4. Inspect and maintain BMPs at least weekly and after every major rainfall event.
- 5. Erosion control measures shall be maintained, repaired or replaced as required or at the direction of the resident engineer or Town Engineer.
- 6. During periodic inspections, if sediment is found to be exiting the site, measures shall be taken to ensure sediment does not reach the discharge points.
- 7. The contractor shall comply with the General and Erosion Control Notes show on the plans and in the contract documents.
- 8. Measures shall be taken to control dust during construction.
- 9. Construct and install drainage system improvements with adequate siltation protection.
- 10. Stabilize unvegetated areas, particularly slopes, which may be prone to erosion by using matting or an erosion control seed mixture.
- 11. Sediment shall be removed from barriers periodically. Compost filter tubes shall not be used as *de facto* retaining walls.
- 12. Remove and properly dispose of compost filter tubes and accumulated sediment following construction operations.

Developed Facilities

MassDOT is responsible for the maintenance and upkeep of the stormwater best management practices and will incorporate the maintenance in their normal BMP maintenance program.

The operation and maintenance plan outlines the regular inspection and cleaning schedule necessary to keep the system in good repair and operating efficiently, and is a

critical component of the success of the stormwater runoff erosion control best management practice designed for the proposed development.

Source controls reduce the types and concentrations of contaminants in stormwater runoff, which, in turn, improve water quality. Source controls cover a wide range of practices, including local bylaws and regulations, erosion and sediment controls at construction sites, and comprehensive snow management. The guiding principle for pollution prevention and control is to minimize the volume of runoff and to minimize contact of stormwater with potential pollutants. MassDOT will be responsible for the proper execution of the operation and maintenance plan after the completion of construction activities.

MassDOT has an established roadway and facilities BMP maintenance matrix that details the required tasks to ensure BMPs under their jurisdiction are inspected, maintained and operating as designed. The BMP maintenance at this site will be incorporated into the existing program. The following are methods typically used to inspect and maintain the BMPs at this site.

Source Control

Sweeping

Street sweeping is an effective source control, and implemented on an annual basis. Sweeping efforts are performed during the period immediately following winter snowmelt, when road sand and other accumulated sediment are washed off. MassDOT shall incorporate roadway sweeping as part of their normal roadway maintenance schedule.

Snow and Snow Melt Management

Proper management of snow and snow melt, snow removal and storage, use of deicing compounds, and other practices can minimize major runoff and pollutant loading impacts.

Structural BMPs

Infiltration Basin

Infiltration basins are prone to clogging and failure, so it is imperative to develop and implement aggressive maintenance plans and schedules.

Inspect After every major storm event (storms equal to or greater than 3 inches in 24 hours), otherwise twice per year.

Items to inspect:

- Signs of differential settlement
- Cracking
- Erosion
- Leakage in the embankments
- Tree growth on the embankments

- Condition of riprap/stone for pipe ends
- Sediment accumulation
- Vegetation health

If standing water persists more than 48-72 hours after a storm, the basin surface is likely clogged through compaction, sediment carryover or low spots. Correct this condition by determining the source of sediment carryover (if applicable) and rehabilitate the basin surface.

Clean Remove sediment from the basin as necessary, only when the floor of the basin is thoroughly dry.

Mow Why completely dry, yearly

Rehabilitate/

Reseed Use light equipment to remove the top layer so as to not compact the underlying soil. Deeply till the remaining soil, and revegetate immediately. Preference is to use hydroseed with a tackifier, blanket, or similar practice to ensure that scour does not occur prior to germination and root development.

APPENDIX B

NRCS SOIL DATA/TEST PIT LOGS



United States Department of Agriculture



Natural Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

Custom Soil Resource Report for Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts



Contents

Preface	2
Soil Map	. 5
Soil Map	6
Legend	7
Map Unit Legend	. 8
Map Unit Descriptions	. 8
Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts	10
603—Urban land, wet substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes	10

Soil Map

The soil map section includes the soil map for the defined area of interest, a list of soil map units on the map and extent of each map unit, and cartographic symbols displayed on the map. Also presented are various metadata about data used to produce the map, and a description of each soil map unit.

Custom Soil Resource Report Soil Map



	MAP L	EGEND		MAP INFORMATION
Area of In	terest (AOI)	333	Spoil Area	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at
	Area of Interest (AOI)	۵	Stony Spot	1.23,000.
Soils	Coil Mon Linit Dolygono	03	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
		Ŷ	Wet Spot	
~		Δ	Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause
	Soil Map Unit Points		Special Line Features	line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of
Special	Point Features	Water Fea	tures	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale
	Borrow Pit	\sim	Streams and Canals	
×		Transport	ation	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map
英	Clay Spot	+++	Rails	measurements.
\diamond	Closed Depression	~	Interstate Highways	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service
X	Gravel Pit	~	US Routes	Web Soil Survey URL:
00	Gravelly Spot	\sim	Major Roads	Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
0	Landfill	\sim	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator
٨.	Lava Flow	Backgrou	nd	projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the
عليه	Marsh or swamp	No.	Aerial Photography	Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more
~	Mine or Quarry			accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
0	Miscellaneous Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as
0	Perennial Water			of the version date(s) listed below.
\vee	Rock Outcrop			Soil Survey Area: Norfolk and Suffolk Counties. Massachusetts
+	Saline Spot			Survey Area Data: Version 12, Sep 15, 2016
• ••	Sandy Spot			Soil man units are labeled (as space allows) for man scales
-	Severely Eroded Spot			1:50,000 or larger.
6	Sinkhole			Data(a) parial images were photographed: Aug 10, 2014 Aug
à	Slide or Slip			25, 2014
₽ A	Sodic Spot			-
9	·			compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

Map Unit Legend

Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts (MA616)													
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI										
603	Urban land, wet substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes	2.4	100.0%										
Totals for Area of Interest		2.4	100.0%										

Map Unit Descriptions

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however,

onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An association is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

Norfolk and Suffolk Counties, Massachusetts

603—Urban land, wet substratum, 0 to 3 percent slopes

Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: vkyl Mean annual precipitation: 32 to 50 inches Mean annual air temperature: 45 to 50 degrees F Frost-free period: 120 to 200 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

Map Unit Composition

Urban land: 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.*

Description of Urban Land

Setting

Parent material: Excavated and filled land over herbaceous organic material and/or alluvium and/or marine deposits

Minor Components

Udorthents

Percent of map unit: 13 percent *Hydric soil rating:* Unranked

Beaches

Percent of map unit: 2 percent Hydric soil rating: Unranked

N	1				Рі	oject:		MA DOT Salt Storage Shed							
1		MILLER	ENGINEERIN	IG & TE	STING	INC.				Ľ	orchester, N	/IA	Boring No:	<u>B-1</u>	
							Proje	ct No:			16.276.NH	[]	Location:	See P	lan
	10	00 Sheffie	eld Road - Ma	inchest	er, NH (03103	Date	Start:			12-02-16				
	Pł	n. (603) 66	68-6016 - Fax	(: (603) (68-864	41	Date	e End:			12-02-16		Approx. S	urface Elev: _	
											GROUND	WATER OBSE	ERVATION	8	
		C	ASING		SA	MPLER	2		Date		Depth	Casing At	Sta	bilization Peri	od
Туре			HSA			SS		1	2-02-16				Ground	water Not Enco	untered
Size		2-	-1/4" ID		1-	3/8" ID									
Hammer					1	40 lbs.									
Fall						30"									
Depth/	Cas		SAMPL	E			BLO	DWS		Strata		Commis	Description		tec
Elev.	bl/ft	Sample No.	Depth Range	Pen.	Rec.	0-6''	6-12"	12-18''	18-24''	Change		Sample	Description		N
0		S-1	0.0-1.5	18	13	10	19	50	50/0"		S-1: Brown	n, dry, very dens	e, fine to med	lium sand, trace	:
-											silt, gravel,	concrete and as	phalt (urban	fill)	
-			2025			50	50/0"				6.0 D		C		
-		5-2	2.0-2.5	0	0	50	30/0				concrete, a	sphalt, brick, tra	ce ash (urban	fill)	ei,
4-		S-3	4.0-5.0	12	8	4	6	50/0"			S-3: Brown medium sa	n, dry, medium d nd, gravel, aspha	lense, fine sar alt, ash and ci	nd, little silt, tra inders (urban fil	ce l)
-									Auger Re foundation	fusal at approxin	nately 5', pos	sible old buildir	ng		
-									Moved ap	proximately 5' to	o the southea	st and drilled			
8-											Boring B-1	A BORING TER	MINATED A	AT 5 ft	
-															
1															
12-															
-															
-															
16 -															
-															
1															
20 -															
-															
1															
24 -															
Driller	 : I	R. Marcoux		СОН	ESIVE CO	NSISTEN	CY (Blows	/Foot)			COHESIONL	ESS (Blows/Foot)		PROPORTIO	NS USE
Helper Inspect	: I or: I	K. Schwotze D. Rav	er	0-2 2-4	VERY SOI SOFT	FT					0-4 VERY L0 4-10 LOOSE	OOSE		TRACE: 0-10 LITTLE: 10-	0% 20%
-P-0		J		4-8 8-1	MEDIUM	STIFF					10-30 MEDI 30-50 DENS	UM DENSE E DENSE		SOME: 20-3 AND: 35-50	5% %
NOTES	S:			15	πακυ						JUT VEKYL	LINOL			
REMA	RKS:	THE STRATI	FICATION LINES F	REPRESENT	THE APP	ROXIMAT	E BOUND	ARY BEI	WEEN SO	IL TYPES.	TRANSITION	MAY BE GRADUAL			
		WATER LEV	EL READINGS HA	VE BEEN M L OF THE G	IADE IN TI ROUNDW	HE DRILL ATER MA	HOLES A' Y OCCUR	DUE TO	AND UND OTHER FA	ER CONDI	HONS STATEI IAN THOSE PR	O ON THE BORING	LOGS. ME MEASUREM	ENTS WERE MAD	E.

N	1						Pı	oject:		MADO	OT Salt Stor	age Shed	Sheet	<u>1</u>	of	f _	1
		MILLER	ENGINEERIN	NG & TE	STING	INC.	P •			L	16 276 NH	1A		NO: B-	<u>1A</u>	וח	
	10	O Chaffi	old Dood M	nchast	NILL C	2102	Proje	ct No:			12 02 16	L	Location	1:	See I	Plan	—
100	Ph	1. (603) 6	68-6016 - Fax	c: (603) 6	568-864	41	Date	Start:			12-02-16			GP-			
		0 Kr. 174 B. 1					Date				CROUND	WATER ORSE	Approx RVATIO	NS	ce Liev: _		_
		(ASING		SA	MPLER	•		Date			Coging At		Stabiliz	ation Peri	iod	
Type		•			5A.	SC SC	<u> </u>	1	2 02 16		Deptil		Grou	ndwatar	Not Enco	unto	
Type Size		2	2_1///" ID		1-	3/8" ID			2-02-10				0100	nuwatei	Not Life	Junter	
Hommor			2 1/4 10		1	40 lbs											
Fall						30"											
1 an			SAMPL	E		50	BLC)WS									5
Depth/ Elev.	Cas bl/ft	Sample No.	Depth Range	Pen.	Rec.	0-6''	6-12"	12-18''	18-24''	Strata Change		Sample	Descripti	on			Note
0		-	0.0-4.0	48							-: Drilled d	irectly to a depth	of 4' and	began s	plit-spoon	ı	
4-		S-1	4.0-5.7	20	12	8	8	5	50/2"		S-1: Dark t trace mediu glass, ash, Auger Re	prown to black, d um sand, trace sil cinders, pieces of fusal at 6.5', poss	ry, mediu t, fine gra f wood (u ible old b	m densø vel, asp rban fill uilding	e, fine san halt, red b) foundation	d, vrick,	
8- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -									Auger Refusal at 6.5', possible old building four BORING TERMINATED AT 6.5 ft						5 ft		
20			~														
Driller: Helper: Inspect NOTE: REMA	: F : K or: D S: RKS:	R. Marcoux S. Schwotz D. Ray THE STRAT WATER LE	X Zer TIFICATION LINES J VEL READINGS HA	COH 0-2 2-4 4-8 8-11 15- REPRESENT VE BEEN M VE BEEN M L OF THE G	ESIVE CO VERY SOF SOFT MEDIUM 5 5 STIFF 30 HARD	NSISTEN FT STIFF ROXIMAT HE DRILL ATER MA	E BOUND HOLES A' Y OCCUP	/Foot) ARY BET I TIMES A DUE TO (WEEN SO	IL TYPES. ER CONDI'	COHESIONL 0-4 VERY L/ 4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDI 30-50 DENS 50+ VERY L TRANSITION 1 TRANSITION 1 TRANSITION 1	ESS (Blows/Foot) DOSE UM DENSE E ENSE MAY BE GRADUAL 0 ON THE BORING I CESENT AT THE TW	.OGS.	EMENTS	PROPORTIC TRACE: 0-1 LITTLE: 10 SOME: 20-3 AND: 35-50	DNS U 10% -20% 35% 0%	SED

1	1					13	Р	roject:		MA DO	OT Salt Stor	age Shed	Sheet _	of	_1	
1	1	MILLER	ENGINEERIN	IG & TE	STING	G, INC.				Ľ	Oorchester, N	/IA	Boring No:			
							Proje	ect No:			16.276.NH		Location:	See Pla	<u>n</u>	
	10	0 Sheffi	eld Road - Ma	anchest	er, NH (03103	Date	e Start:			12-02-16					
	Ph	n, (603) 6	68-6016 - Fax	(; (603) (568-86	41	Dat	e End:			12-02-16		Approx. St	urface Elev:		
											GROUND	WATER OBSE	RVATIONS	5		
		C	CASING		SA	MPLE	ł		Date		Depth	Casing At	Sta	bilization Period	1	
Туре			HSA			SS			12-02-16		9.0'	n/a	During Drilling			
Size		2	-1/4" ID		1-	-3/8" ID										
Hammer					1	140 lbs.					10.0'	15.0'	Uj	pon Completion		
Fall						30"										
Depth/	Cas		SAMPL	E			BL	ows	-	Strata			D			
Elev.	bl/ft	Sample No.	Depth Range	Pen.	Rec.	0-6''	6-12"	12-18	" 18-24"	Change	b	Sample	Description			
0		S-1	0.0-2.0	24	16	14	36	35	48		S-1: Brown	n, dry, very dense	e, fine to med	ium sand, little		
-											gravel (gra	nular fill)				
			2040	24	17	17	10	-	-							
		5-2	2.0-4.0	24	1/	17	10	/	/		S-2: Dark t trace to litt	brown to black, o le silt, fine grave	iry, medium c l, coal, aspha	lense, fine sand, lt, ash, glass,		
1											cinders (ur	ban fill)				
4-		S-3	4.0-6.0	24	1	4	5	5	3		S-3: Dark I	brown to black, d	lry, loose, fin	e sand and silt, fi	ne	
-											gravel, glas	ss, ash (urban fill)			
-		S-4	7.0-9.0	24	9	4	2	3	2		S-4: Black	dry, loose, coal	dust, coal fra	gments, ash,		
8-											cinders (ur	ban fill)				
-		8.5	0.0.11.0	24	2	2	4	7	7		S 5. Dorle 1	mourn block we	t madium da	nco fino cond		
		3-3	9.0-11.0	24		2	4	/			trace to litt	le silt, coal fragn	nents, ash, cir	iders and glass		
1											fragments	(urban fill)				
-		S-6	11.0-13.0	24	2	6	6	11	45		S-6: Black	, wet, medium de	ense, coal dus	t, coal fragments	,	
12-											cinders, as	h (urban fill). Bro sampler	own, wet, ver	y fine in tip of		
_											-FF	F				
1		S-7	14.0-16.0	24	18	10	9	9	7		S-7: Brown	n, wet, medium d	lense, poorly	sorted, fine to		
-											coarse sand	i, gravei, trace si	n			
6-																
-		S-8	19.0-21.0	24	14	2	2	2	2		S-8: Dark g	gray, wet, soft, si	lty clay, thin	interbedded laye	rs	
.0 -											of fine san	d, shell fragment or	s, plant fragm	ents, strong		
											organie ou					
												BORING TERM	MINATED A	T 21 ft		
-																
24 -																
Driller: Helper	: ŀ : ŀ	K. Marcoux K. Schwotz	k ver	COH 0-2	VERY SO	ONSISTEN FT	CY (Blow	s/Foot)			0-4 VERY L	ESS (Blows/Foot) DOSE		PROPORTIONS TRACE: 0-10%	JUSE	
Inspect	or: I	D. Ray		2-4 4-8	SOFT MEDIUM	STIFF					4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDI	UM DENSE		LITTLE: 10-20 SOME: 20-35%	%	
NOTE	z.			8-1 15-	5 STIFF 30 HARD						30-50 DENS 50+ VERY I	e DENSE		AND: 35-50%		
NUTES	5:															
REMA	RKS:	THE STRAT WATER LEV	IFICATION LINES	REPRESEN' VE BEEN M	Г THE APP 1ADE IN T	ROXIMAT HE DRILL	TE BOUNI HOLES A	DARY BE T TIMES	TWEEN SO AND UND	IL TYPES. ER CONDI	TRANSITION TIONS STATEI	MAY BE GRADUAL O ON THE BORING I	LOGS.			

N		1007	- 4		b,	P	roject:		MA D	OT Salt Stora	age Shed	Sheet	1 of	1
	MILLER	ENGINEERIN	NG & TE	STING	G, INC.				Ι	Dorchester, M	1A	Boring No: _	3-3	
						Proje	ct No:			16.276.NH		Location:	See Plan	
10	00 Sheffie	eld Road - Ma	anchest	er, NH (03103	Date	Start:			12-02-16				
PI	n. (603) 6	68-6016 - Fax	(; (603) 6	568-86	41	Dat	e End:			12-02-16		Approx. Surf	ace Elev:	
										GROUND	WATER OBSE	RVATIONS		
	С	ASING		SA	MPLEE	2		Date		Depth	Casing At	Stabil	ization Period	
Гуре		HSA			SS		1	2-02-16		9.0'	n/a	Dur	ing Drilling	
Size	2.	-1/4" ID		1-	-3/8" ID									
Hammer				1	140 lbs.					11.5'	15.0'	Upor	n Completion	
Fall	1	() + P (P)			30"					1				_
Depth/ Cas	Sample	SAMPL Denth	E .			BLO	JWS		Strata		Sample	Description		otoc
Elev. bl/ft	No.	Range	Pen.	Rec.	0-6''	6-12"	12-18"	18-24''	Change	•	~~	F		Ż
0	S-1	0.0-2.0	24	13	20	14	22	31		S-1: Brown sand, grave	n, dry, dense, fine el (granular fill)	e to medium san	d, trace coarse	
-	S-2	2.0-2.3	3	3	50/3"					S-2: Dark brown, gray, dry, very dense, fine s trace gravel, ash, red brick, glass (urban fill)				
4-	S-3	4.0-6.0	24	0	5	6	6	6		S-3: No sai	nple recovery			
-	S-4	6.0-8.0	0	8	8	5	5		S-4: No sai	nple recovery				
8-	S-5	9.0-11.0	24	4	9	9	10	5		S-5: Dark t	prown, wet, medi	um dense, fine	sand, trace to	_
2-														
6	S-6	14.0-16.0	24	14	10	3	4	4		S-6: Dark b interbedded fragments	brown, dark gray I fine sand layers	, wet, soft, silty s, plant fragmen	clay with ts, shell	
- - 0	S-7	19.0-21.0	24	12	1	5	4	3		S-7: Dark t fragments,	brown, dark gray shell fragments	, wet, soft, silty	clay, plant	
											BORING TERM	MINATED AT 2	21 ft	
4-														
Driller: Helper: Inspector:	 Marcoux K. Schwotz D. Ray 	er	COH 0-2 2-4 4-8	ESIVE CO VERY SO SOFT MEDIUM	ONSISTEN FT STIFF	CY (Blows	s/Foot)			COHESIONL 0-4 VERY LO 4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDII 30, 50 DENSI	ESS (Blows/Foot) DOSE UM DENSE		PROPORTIONS U TRACE: 0-10% LITTLE: 10-20% SOME: 20-35%	USE

1	1	1.17		- 10		5	P	roject:		MA D	OT Salt Stor	age Shed	Sheet of	_1
	1.11	MILLER	ENGINEERIN	NG & TE	STING	, INC.				I	Dorchester, N	ЛА	Boring No: <u>B-4</u>	
							Proje	ect No:			16.276.NH	[Location: See Pl	an
	10	00 Sheffi	eld Road - Ma	anchest	er, NH (03103	Date	Start:			12-02-16			
(Pl	n. (603) 6	68-6016 - Fax	x: (603) (668-864	41	Dat	e End:			12-02-16		Approx. Surface Elev:	
											GROUND	WATER OBSE	RVATIONS	
		0	CASING		SA	MPLEF	٤		Date		Depth	Casing At	Stabilization Perio	d
Туре			HSA			SS			12-02-16		9.0'		During Driling	
Size		2	2-1/4" ID		1-	-3/8" ID								
Hammer					1	140 lbs.								
Fall	_	.				30"								
Depth/	Cas		SAMPL	E.	1		BLO	ows	-	Strata			D	tes
Elev.	bl/ft	Sample No.	Depth Range	Pen.	Rec.	0-6''	6-12"	12-18'	18-24''	Change	e	Sample	Description	No
0		S-1	0.0-0.3	3	3	45/3"					S-1: Dark l	prown, dry, very	dense, fine sand, trace mediu	m (1)
											sand, trace	silt, abundant gr	avel, asphalt (Granular Fill)	
		6.2	2040	24	10	26	12	21	16		G 2. D		dana fina ta madiana anda	
		5-2	2.0-4.0	24	18	20	43	21	10		angular gra	i, gray, ary, very wel (Granular Fil	ll)	and
4		S-3	4.0-6.0	24	8	16	12	17	10		S-3: Brown	n, dark brown, dr	y, medium dense to dense, fir	ne
											sand, trace	to little silt, trace	e medium sand, gravel, aspha	lt,
								_						
		S-4	6.0-8.0	24	8	13	9	5	6		S-4: Dark I little silt, tr	orown, moist, me ace medium sand	dium dense, fine sand, clay, d, trace gravel, coal fragments	s,
											ash, glass,	wood (urban fill)	·
8-														
		S-5	9.0-11.0	24	14	4	5	6	20		S-5. Dark 1	rown dark grav	medium dense fine sand cl	av
		3-5	9.0-11.0	24	14	4		0	20		trace silt, g	ravel, trace coal	and ash, trace glass (urban fil	ay, il)
12 -														
		S-6	14.0-16.0	24	20	1	1	3	5		S-6: Dark l	orown, wet, soft,	silty clay, trace fine sand, tra	.ce
											shen magn	ients, organic out	0I	
16 —														
-		S-7	19.0-21.0	24	12	5	4	4	9		S-7: Brown	n, gray, wet, loos	e to medium dense, silty clay	y (2)
20 -											with interb	edded layers of f	ine sand, trace shell fragment	tS
												BORING TERM	MINATED AT 21 ft	
1						1								
24 —														
Drillon	. T	P. Maraoux	<i>a</i>			NEIGTEN		- (F 4)			CONTENON	FCC (DL (F 4)	PROPORTION	
Helper	: I	K. Marcous K. Schwotz	zer	0-2	VERY SO	JNSISTEN FT	CY (Blows	s/Foot)			0-4 VERY L	ESS (Blows/Foot) OOSE	TRACE: 0-10	18 USEL 1%
Inspect	tor: I	J. Ray		2-4 4-8	SOFT	STIFF					4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDI 20 50 DENG	UM DENSE	LITTLE: 10-2 SOME: 20-35	.0%
NOTE	<u>s.</u>	1) Snoon *	refusal at a danth	8-1 15- 10f 3" 1/2	30 HARD	ult drillin	a condit	ione fro	m 0₋2'		50-50 DENS 50+ VERY I	DENSE	AND: 35-50%	
	J. (2) Samples	s S-6 and S-7 ap	pear to be	e natural	marine ti	idal depo	osits.	m 0-2.					
BEST	DEC													
REMA	KKS:	THE STRAT WATER LEY	TIFICATION LINES	REPRESEN VE BEEN M	T THE APP	ROXIMAT	HOLES A	ARY BET T TIMES	WEEN SO	IL TYPES. ER CONDI	TRANSITION	MAY BE GRADUAL O ON THE BORING I	LOGS. ME MEASUREMENTS WEDE MADE	-
L		FLUCIUAT	IONS IN THE LEVE	L OF THE (JKUUNDW	ALEKMA	T UCCUR	DUE TO	UTHERFA	CTURS T	HAN THUSE PH	LISENT AT THE TIM	TE MEASUREMEN 15 WERE MADE	<u>.</u>

1	1					5	Pı	oject:		MA D	OT Salt Stor	age Shed	Sheet .	1	of	_1
	1.11	MILLER	ENGINEERIN	NG & TE	STING	, INC.				I	Dorchester, N	ЛА	Boring No	B-5		
							Proje	ct No:			16.276.NH	[Location:		See Pla	an
	10	00 Sheffie	eld Road - Ma	anchest	er, NH (03103	Date	Start:			12-02-16					
A	Pł	n. (603) 6	68-6016 - Fa	x: (603) (568-86	41	Dat	e End:			12-02-16		Approx.	Surfac	e Elev:	
											GROUND	WATER OBSE	RVATION	IS		
		С	CASING		SA	MPLEF	ł		Date		Depth	Casing At	St	abiliza	tion Perio	d
Туре			HSA			SS		1	2-02-16		9.0'			During	g Drilling	
Size		2.	-1/4" ID		1-	-3/8" ID										
Hammer	•				1	40 lbs.										
Fall	_					30"				_	-					
Depth/	Cas		SAMPL	E.			BLC	ows		Strata		~ -				es
Elev.	bl/ft	Sample No.	Depth Range	Pen.	Rec.	0-6''	6-12"	12-18"	18-24''	Change	e	Sample	Description	1		No
0		S-1	0.0-2.0	24	10	12	13	12	14		S-1: Brown	n, dark brown, dr	y, medium o	dense,	fine to	
-											medium sa (urban fill)	nd, fine gravel, a	sphalt, coal	, ash, w	ood, glass	
-		S-2	2 0-4 0	24	13	6	6	6	11		S-2. Brown	n dark brown dr	v medium (lense	fine to	
-		52	2.0 4.0	24	15	0		0			medium sa fragments,	nd, trace to little glass (urban fill)	silt, fine gra	avel, co	oal, ash, wo	od
4 —		S-3	4.0-4.5	6	3	20	50/0"				S-3: Brown little silt, tr	n, dark brown, dr ace medium sand	y, medium o 1, gravel, wo	dense, : ood fra	fine sand, gments	(1)
											(urban fill)		-		-	
		S-4	6.0-8.0	2	2	8	2		S-4: Brown	n to dark brown ,	dry, loose, f	ïne san	d, little silt	t,		
										trace fine g	ravel, coal, asph edded laver of fir	alt, ash, woo le to mediur	od frag n sand	ments, with (urban fill)	1	
8-												adda iag er or m		ii suiid	(uroun nin)	
		S-5	9.0-11.0	24	8	2	1	1	1		S-5: Dark l	prown, wet, loose	e, fine sand,	trace t	o little silt,	
											fille graver	, coar magineins,	asii (urbaii	1111)		
12 -																
-																
-		S-6	14.0-16.0	24	18	2	1	1	2		S-6: Brown	n to dark brown,	wet, loose/s	oft, silt	y clay with	1
-											trace to litt	le fine sand, trac (urban fill)	e fine grave	l, ash, c	coal and gla	ass
16-											inaginents	(urbun mi)				
_																
		\$ 7	10.0.21.0	24	24	1	2	2	2		S 7: Dark 1	rown wet soft	cilty clay to	nce fir	e cond che	JI (2)
20 -		5-7	19.0-21.0	24	24		2	2	2		fragments,	organic odor	siny ciay, u	ace III	ie sanu, sne	, m (-)
20																
												BORING TERM	MINATED A	AT 21	ft	
24 -																
Ë																
Driller Helper	: H : H	R. Marcoux K. Schwotz	er	COH 0-2	ESIVE CO	ONSISTEN FT	CY (Blows	/Foot)			0-4 VERY L	ESS (Blows/Foot) OOSE		Pl	ROPORTION TRACE: 0-109	is used %
Inspect	tor: I	D. Ray		2-4 4-8	SOFT MEDIUM	STIFF					4-10 LOOSE 10-30 MEDI	UM DENSE			LITTLE: 10-20 SOME: 20-359	0% %
NOTE	<u>n.</u>	1)0		8-1 15-	5 STIFF 30 HARD						30-50 DENS 50+ VERY I	E DENSE			AND: 35-50%	
NOTE	s: (Spoon b Sample 	ouncing on woo S-7 appears to b	od at a dep oe natural	oth of 4.5 marine ti). idal desp	osits									
						r										
REMA	RKS:	THE STRAT	IFICATION LINES	REPRESEN VE BEEN M	T THE APP 1ADE IN T	ROXIMAT HE DRILL	E BOUND	ARY BEI I TIMES	WEEN SO	IL TYPES	TRANSITION	MAY BE GRADUAL O ON THE BORING I	LOGS.			
L		FLUCTUATI	IONS IN THE LEVE	L OF THE C	KOUNDW	ATER MA	Y OCCUR	DUE TO	UTHER FA	ACTORS T	HAN THOSE PF	RESENT AT THE TIM	IE MEASUREN	MENTS	WERE MADE.	<u>.</u>

APPENDIX C

CALCULATIONS





Printed 10/18/2018 Page 2

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area (sq-ft)	CN	Description (subcatchment-numbers)
6,375	84	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D (C)
22,551	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A (C, N, S, W)
21,286	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D (S)
7,400	96	Gravel surface, HSG A (C, N)
32,140	96	Gravel surface, HSG D (C, N, W)
6,739	98	Paved parking, HSG D (N, S)
278	98	Unconnected roofs, HSG D (W)
96,769	79	TOTAL AREA

Printed 10/18/2018 Page 3

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(sq-ft)	Group	Numbers
29,951	HSG A	C, N, S, W
0	HSG B	
0	HSG C	
66,818	HSG D	C, N, S, W
0	Other	
96,769		TOTAL AREA

Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 4

Ground Covers (all nodes)								
	HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground	Sub
	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	Cover	Nur
-	0	0	0	6,375	0	6,375	50-75% Grass	
							cover, Fair	
	22,551	0	0	21,286	0	43,837	>75% Grass	
							cover, Good	
	7,400	0	0	32,140	0	39,540	Gravel surface	
	0	0	0	6,739	0	6,739	Paved parking	
	0	0	0	278	0	278	Unconnected	
							roofs	
	29,951	0	0	66,818	0	96,769	TOTAL AREA	

Cround Covers (all nodes)

exist

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr	S1 2-yr Rainfall=3.27"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 5

Time span=0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment C: Central	Runoff Area=28,634 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.59" Tc=5.0 min CN=82 Runoff=1.27 cfs 3,800 cf
Subcatchment N: North	Runoff Area=26,540 sf 0.66% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.52" Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=1.09 cfs 3,366 cf
Subcatchment S: South	Runoff Area=35,750 sf 18.36% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.08" Tc=0.0 min CN=74 Runoff=1.18 cfs 3,229 cf
Subcatchment W: West	Runoff Area=5,845 sf 4.76% Impervious Runoff Depth>1.26" Tc=0.0 min UI Adjusted CN=77 Runoff=0.23 cfs 615 cf
Pond 1P: C. Depression	Peak Elev=9.00' Storage=2,200 cf Inflow=1.27 cfs 3,800 cf Outflow=3.24 cfs 5,130 cf
Pond 2P: N. Depression	Peak Elev=9.48' Storage=8,250 cf Inflow=3.24 cfs 8,496 cf Outflow=0.14 cfs 2,990 cf

Total Runoff Area = 96,769 sf Runoff Volume = 11,010 cfAverage Runoff Depth = 1.37"92.75% Pervious = 89,752 sf7.25% Impervious = 7,017 sf

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr	S1 2-yr Rainfall=3.27"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 6

Summary for Subcatchment C: Central

Runoff = 1.27 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 3,800 cf, Depth> 1.59"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
13,959	96	Gravel surface, HSG D
2,600	96	Gravel surface, HSG A
6,375	84	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D
5,700	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
28,634	82	Weighted Average
28,634		100.00% Pervious Area
Tc Length	Slop	pe Velocity Capacity Description
(min) (feet)	(ft/	/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)
5.0		Direct Entry, Min Tc

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr S	S1 2-yr Rainfall=3.27"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 7

Summary for Subcatchment N: North

Runoff = 1.09 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 3,366 cf, Depth> 1.52"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
	14,500	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG D	D		
	4,800	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG A	A		
	175	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)		
	7,065	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG A		
	26,540	81	81 Weighted Average				
	26,365		99.34% Pervious Area				
	175		0.66% Impervious Area				
_							
Тс	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description		
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)			
6.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc		

Summary for Subcatchment S: South

Runoff = 1.18 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume= 3,229 cf, Depth> 1.08"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
6,564	98	Paved parking, HSG D
21,286	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D
7,900	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
35,750	74	Weighted Average
29,186		81.64% Pervious Area
6,564		18.36% Impervious Area

Summary for Subcatchment W: West

Runoff = 0.23 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume= 615 cf, Depth> 1.26"

Area (s	f) CN	Adj	Description
27	8 98		Unconnected roofs, HSG D
3,68	1 96		Gravel surface, HSG D
1,88	6 39		>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
5,84	5 78	77	Weighted Average, UI Adjusted
5,56	7		95.24% Pervious Area
27	8		4.76% Impervious Area
27	8		100.00% Unconnected

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist M.	A-Dorchester 24-hr S1 2-yr Rainfall=3.27"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, I	nc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Sc	blutions LLC Page 10

Summary for Pond 1P: C. Depression

Inflow Outflow Primary	ea = = = =	28,634 sf, 1.27 cfs @ 1 3.24 cfs @ 3.24 cfs @	0.00% Impervio 2.03 hrs, Volum 0.00 hrs, Volum 0.00 hrs, Volum	us, Inflow Depth > 1.59" for 2-yr event = 3,800 cf = 5,130 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0. = 5,130 cf) min	
Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-24.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Starting Elev= 9.00' Surf.Area= 3,000 sf Storage= 2,200 cf Peak Elev= 9.00' @ 0.00 hrs Surf.Area= 3,000 sf Storage= 2,200 cf						
Plug-Flow detention time= 160.0 min calculated for 2,924 cf (77% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= (not calculated: outflow precedes inflow)						
#1			05 of Custom	tage Date (Prismatic) inted below (Page		
# I	0.0	0 0,0		Slage Data (FIISINALIC) LISLEU DEIOW (RECA	IC)	
		-		5 ()		
Elevatio (fee	n t)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)		
Elevatio (fee 8.0	n t) 0	Surf.Area (sq-ft) 1,400	Inc.Store (cubic-feet) 0	Cum.Store (cubic-feet) 0		
Elevatio (fee 8.0 9.0	n t) 0 0	Surf.Area (sq-ft) 1,400 3,000	Inc.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2,200	Cum.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2,200		
Elevatio (fee 8.0 9.0 10.0	n t) 0 0 0	Surf.Area (sq-ft) 1,400 3,000 4,790	Inc.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2,200 3,895	Cum.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2,200 6,095	,	
Elevatio (fee 8.0 9.0 10.0 Device	n t) 0 0 0 Routing	Surf.Area (sq-ft) 1,400 3,000 4,790 Invert	Inc.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2,200 3,895 Outlet Devices	Cum.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2,200 6,095	, ,	

Primary OutFlow Max=3.24 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=9.00' (Free Discharge) —1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 3.24 cfs @ 1.62 fps)

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorches	ter 24-hr S1 2-yr Rainfall=3.27"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 11

Summary for Pond 2P: N. Depression

Inflow Area = Inflow = Outflow = Primary =	55,174 sf, 3.24 cfs @ (0.14 cfs @ 14 0.14 cfs @ 14	0.32% Impervious, 0.00 hrs, Volume= 4.21 hrs, Volume= 4.21 hrs, Volume=	, Inflow Depth > 8,496 cf 2,990 cf 2,990 cf	1.85" for 2-yr event , Atten= 96%, Lag= 852.8 min
Routing by Stor-In Starting Elev= 9.0 Peak Elev= 9.48	d method, Time 0' Surf.Area= 8 @ 14.21 hrs Su	Span= 0.00-24.00 ,400 sf Storage= 2 urf.Area= 14,350 sf	hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs 2,631 cf Storage= 8,250	cf (5,618 cf above start)
Plug-Flow detention Center-of-Mass de Volume Inve	on time= 1,431.3 et. time= 339.3 n ert Avail.Sto	min calculated for nin(1,067.1-727.8 rage Storage Des	67 cf (1% of inflov 8) scription	v)
#1 8.5	50' 15,64	43 cf Custom Sta	age Data (Prismat	tic)Listed below (Recalc)
Elevation (feet) 8.50 9.00	Surf.Area (sq-ft) 2,125 8,400	Inc.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2.631	Cum.Store (<u>cubic-feet)</u> 0 2.631	
9.45	14,350	5,119	7,750	
10.00	14,350	7,893	15,643	
Device Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices		
#1 Primary	9.47'	30.0' long x 5.0' Head (feet) 0.20 2.50 3.00 3.50 4 Coef. (English) 2 2.65 2.67 2.66 2	breadth Broad-C 0.40 0.60 0.80 4.00 4.50 5.00 5 .34 2.50 2.70 2.0 2.68 2.70 2.74 2	Frested Rectangular Weir 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 .50 68 2.68 2.66 2.65 2.65 2.65 .79 2.88

Primary OutFlow Max=0.13 cfs @ 14.21 hrs HW=9.48' (Free Discharge) 1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 0.13 cfs @ 0.28 fps)
	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr S	1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 12

Time span=0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment C: Central	Runoff Area=28,634 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.99" Tc=5.0 min CN=82 Runoff=2.20 cfs 7,126 cf
Subcatchment N: North	Runoff Area=26,540 sf 0.66% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.89" Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=1.94 cfs 6,398 cf
Subcatchment S: South	Runoff Area=35,750 sf 18.36% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.28" Tc=0.0 min CN=74 Runoff=2.41 cfs 6,807 cf
Subcatchment W: West	Runoff Area=5,845 sf 4.76% Impervious Runoff Depth>2.54" Tc=0.0 min UI Adjusted CN=77 Runoff=0.44 cfs 1,237 cf
Pond 1P: C. Depression	Peak Elev=9.00' Storage=2,200 cf Inflow=2.20 cfs 7,126 cf Outflow=3.24 cfs 8,438 cf
Pond 2P: N. Depression	Peak Elev=9.54' Storage=9,113 cf Inflow=3.49 cfs 14,837 cf Outflow=1.45 cfs 9,291 cf

Total Runoff Area = 96,769 sf Runoff Volume = 21,569 cfAverage Runoff Depth = 2.67"92.75% Pervious = 89,752 sf7.25% Impervious = 7,017 sf

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr	S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 13

Summary for Subcatchment C: Central

Runoff = 2.20 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 7,126 cf, Depth> 2.99"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
13,959	96	Gravel surface, HSG D
2,600	96	Gravel surface, HSG A
6,375	84	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D
5,700	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
28,634	82	Weighted Average
28,634		100.00% Pervious Area
Tc Length	Slop	pe Velocity Capacity Description
(min) (feet)	(ft/	/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)
5.0		Direct Entry, Min Tc

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	g, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwar	e Solutions LLC Page 14

Summary for Subcatchment N: North

Runoff = 1.94 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 6,398 cf, Depth> 2.89"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	14,500	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG D)
	4,800	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG A	Α
	175	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)
	7,065	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A
	26,540	81	Weighted A	verage	
	26,365		99.34% Per	vious Area	a
	175		0.66% Impe	ervious Area	a
_					
Tç	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 15

Summary for Subcatchment S: South

Runoff = 2.41 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume= 6,807 cf, Depth> 2.28"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
6,564	98	Paved parking, HSG D
21,286	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D
7,900	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
35,750	74	Weighted Average
29,186		81.64% Pervious Area
6,564		18.36% Impervious Area

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 16

Summary for Subcatchment W: West

Runoff = 0.44 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume= 1,237 cf, Depth> 2.54"

Area (sf)	CN	Adj	Description
278	98		Unconnected roofs, HSG D
3,681	96		Gravel surface, HSG D
1,886	39		>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
5,845	78	77	Weighted Average, UI Adjusted
5,567			95.24% Pervious Area
278			4.76% Impervious Area
278			100.00% Unconnected

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-	hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 17

Summary for Pond 1P: C. Depression

Inflow Are Inflow Outflow Primary	ea = = = =	28,634 sf, 2.20 cfs @ 1 3.24 cfs @ 3.24 cfs @	0.00% Impervio 2.03 hrs, Volum 0.00 hrs, Volum 0.00 hrs, Volum	us, Inflow Depth > 2.9 e= 7,126 cf e= 8,438 cf, 7 e= 8,438 cf	99" for 10-yr event Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Routing b Starting E Peak Ele	oy Stor-In Elev= 9.00 v= 9.00' (d method, Time)' Surf.Area= 3 @ 0.00 hrs Sur	Span= 0.00-24.0 3,000 sf Storage f.Area= 3,000 sf	00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs = 2,200 cf Storage= 2,200 cf	
Plug-Flov Center-of Volume	v detentic f-Mass de Inve	on time= 103.5 r et. time= (not ca ert Avail.Sto	nin calculated for Iculated: outflow prage Storage [6,238 cf (88% of inflov precedes inflow) Description	N)
#1	8.0	0' 6.0	95 cf Custom	Stage Data (Prismatic	Listed below (Recalc)
	0.0	0,0			
Elevation (feet 8.00 9.00 10.00	n t) 0 0 0	Surf.Area (sq-ft) 1,400 3,000 4,790	Inc.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2,200 3,895	Cum.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2,200 6,095	,,
Elevation (feet 8.00 9.00 10.00 Device	n t) 0 0 Routing	Surf.Area (sq-ft) 1,400 3,000 4,790 Invert	Inc.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2,200 3,895 Outlet Devices	Cum.Store (cubic-feet) 0 2,200 6,095	,,

Primary OutFlow Max=3.24 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=9.00' (Free Discharge) —1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 3.24 cfs @ 1.62 fps)

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerir	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 18

Summary for Pond 2P: N. Depression

Inflow Area Inflow Outflow Primary	= 55,1 = 3.49 cfs = 1.45 cfs = 1.45 cfs	74 sf, 0.32% s @ 12.06 hi s @ 12.32 hi s @ 12.32 hi	6 Impervious, rs, Volume= rs, Volume= rs, Volume=	Inflow Depth > 14,837 c 9,291 c 9,291 c	3.23" for 10-y f f, Atten= 59%, L f	r event ₋ag= 15.6 min
Routing by Starting Ele Peak Elev=	Stor-Ind methor v= 9.00' Surf./ 9.54' @ 12.32	d, Time Span= Area= 8,400 s hrs Surf.Are	= 0.00-24.00 f Storage= 2 a= 14,350 sf	hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs 2,631 cf Storage= 9,113	cf (6,482 cf abc	ove start)
Plug-Flow d Center-of-M Volume	etention time= ass det. time= Invert A	470.0 min cal 162.0 min (9 vail.Storage	culated for 6, 26.7 - 764.7) Storage Des	355 cf (43% of in cription	flow)	
#1	8.50'	15,643 cf	Custom Sta	ge Data (Prisma	atic)Listed below	(Recalc)
Elevation (feet) 8.50 9.00 9.45 10.00 Device Ro	Surf.Are (sq-f 2,12 8,40 14,35 14,35 puting	a Inc. 5 0 0 0 <u>Invert Outle</u>	Store <u>c-feet) (</u> 2,631 5,119 7,893 et Devices	Cum.Store <u>cubic-feet)</u> 0 2,631 7,750 15,643		
#1 Pr	imary	9.47' 30.0' Head 2.50 Coef 2.65	long x 5.0' d (feet) 0.20 3.00 3.50 4 . (English) 2. 2.67 2.66 2	breadth Broad-0 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.00 4.50 5.00 4 34 2.50 2.70 2 2.68 2.70 2.74	Crested Rectang 1.00 1.20 1.40 5.50 .68 2.68 2.66 2 2.79 2.88	u lar Weir 1.60 1.80 2.00 .65 2.65 2.65

Primary OutFlow Max=1.43 cfs @ 12.32 hrs HW=9.54' (Free Discharge) —1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 1.43 cfs @ 0.64 fps)

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Do	orchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solution	ons LLC Page 19

Time span=0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment C: Central	Runoff Area=28,634 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.15" Tc=5.0 min CN=82 Runoff=2.97 cfs 9,900 cf
SubcatchmentN: North	Runoff Area=26,540 sf 0.66% Impervious Runoff Depth>4.04" Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=2.63 cfs 8,941 cf
Subcatchment S: South	Runoff Area=35,750 sf 18.36% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.34" Tc=0.0 min CN=74 Runoff=3.46 cfs 9,941 cf
Subcatchment W: West	Runoff Area=5,845 sf 4.76% Impervious Runoff Depth>3.64" Tc=0.0 min UI Adjusted CN=77 Runoff=0.62 cfs 1,771 cf
Pond 1P: C. Depression	Peak Elev=9.00' Storage=2,200 cf Inflow=2.97 cfs 9,900 cf Outflow=3.24 cfs 11,202 cf
Pond 2P: N. Depression	Peak Elev=9.60' Storage=9,894 cf Inflow=4.83 cfs 20,143 cf Outflow=3.27 cfs 14,568 cf

Total Runoff Area = 96,769 sf Runoff Volume = 30,553 cfAverage Runoff Depth = 3.79"92.75% Pervious = 89,752 sf7.25% Impervious = 7,017 sf

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 20

Summary for Subcatchment C: Central

Runoff = 2.97 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 9,900 cf, Depth> 4.15"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
13,959	96	Gravel surface, HSG D
2,600	96	Gravel surface, HSG A
6,375	84	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D
5,700	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
28,634	82	Weighted Average
28,634		100.00% Pervious Area
Tc Length	Slop	pe Velocity Capacity Description
(min) (feet)	(ft/	/ft) (ft/sec) (cfs)
5.0		Direct Entry, Min Tc

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 21

Summary for Subcatchment N: North

Runoff = 2.63 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 8,941 cf, Depth> 4.04"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	14,500	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG D	D
	4,800	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG A	A
	175	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)
	7,065	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG A
	26,540	81	Weighted A	verage	
	26,365		99.34% Per	vious Area	3
	175		0.66% Impe	ervious Area	a
_					
Тс	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	t) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc

Summary for Subcatchment S: South

Runoff = 3.46 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 9,941 cf, Depth> 3.34"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
6,564	98	Paved parking, HSG D
21,286	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D
7,900	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
35,750	74	Weighted Average
29,186		81.64% Pervious Area
6,564		18.36% Impervious Area

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 23

Summary for Subcatchment W: West

Runoff = 0.62 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 1,771 cf, Depth> 3.64"

Area (sf)	CN	Adj	Description
278	98		Unconnected roofs, HSG D
3,681	96		Gravel surface, HSG D
1,886	39		>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
5,845	78	77	Weighted Average, UI Adjusted
5,567			95.24% Pervious Area
278			4.76% Impervious Area
278			100.00% Unconnected

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 2	24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 24

Summary for Pond 1P: C. Depression

Inflow Outflow Primary	ea = = = =	28,634 sf, 2.97 cfs @ 12 3.24 cfs @ (3.24 cfs @ (0.00% Imperviou 2.03 hrs, Volume 0.00 hrs, Volume 0.00 hrs, Volume	s, Inflow Depth > 4 = 9,900 cf = 11,202 cf, = 11,202 cf	.15" for 25-yr event Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min
Routing b Starting E Peak Elev	oy Stor-Ind Elev= 9.00 v= 9.00' @	method, Time Surf.Area= 3 0.00 hrs Sur	Span= 0.00-24.0 ,000 sf Storage f.Area= 3,000 sf	0 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs = 2,200 cf Storage= 2,200 cf	
Plug-Flow Center-of Volume	v detentior Mass det	n time= 84.1 mi . time= (not cal rt Avail Sto	in calculated for 9 lculated: outflow p rage Storage D	,002 cf (91% of inflow precedes inflow) escription	v)
#1	8.00)' 6.09	95 cf Custom S	tage Data (Prismati	c) Listed below (Recalc)
Elevatior (feet	n S	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
8.00 9.00 10.00)))	(sq-ft) 1,400 3,000 4,790	(cubic-feet) 0 2,200 3,895	(cubic-feet) 0 2,200 6,095	
8.00 9.00 10.00 Device))) Routing	(sq-ft) 1,400 3,000 4,790 Invert	(cubic-feet) 0 2,200 3,895 Outlet Devices	(cubic-feet) 0 2,200 6,095	

Primary OutFlow Max=3.24 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=9.00' (Free Discharge) —1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 3.24 cfs @ 1.62 fps)

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 2	24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 25

Summary for Pond 2P: N. Depression

Inflow Area = Inflow = Outflow = Primary =	= 55,174 sf, 4.83 cfs @ 3.27 cfs @ 3.27 cfs @	0.32% Impervious 12.06 hrs, Volume= 12.17 hrs, Volume= 12.17 hrs, Volume=	, Inflow Depth > 4.3 20,143 cf 14,568 cf, 7 14,568 cf	38" for 25-yr event Atten= 32%, Lag= 6.9 min
Routing by S Starting Elev Peak Elev= S	tor-Ind method, Tin = 9.00' Surf.Area= 9.60' @ 12.17 hrs	ne Span= 0.00-24.00 = 8,400 sf Storage= Surf.Area= 14,350 sf	hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs 2,631 cf Storage= 9,894 cf	(7,263 cf above start)
Plug-Flow de Center-of-Ma Volume	etention time= 324.4 ass det. time= 121.3 Invert Avail.S	4 min calculated for 1 3 min (894.6 - 773.3 torage Storage Des	1,621 cf (58% of inflo) scription)w)
#1	8.50' 15	,643 cf Custom Sta	age Data (Prismatic	Listed below (Recalc)
Elevation (feet)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
8.50 9.00 9.45	2,125 8,400 14,350	0 2,631 5,119	0 2,631 7,750	
10.00	14,350	7,893	15,643	
Device Ro	uting Inve	rt Outlet Devices		
#1 Prir	mary 9.47	7 30.0' long x 5.0' Head (feet) 0.20 2.50 3.00 3.50 Coef. (English) 2 2.65 2.67 2.66	breadth Broad-Cre 0.40 0.60 0.80 1.0 4.00 4.50 5.00 5.50 .34 2.50 2.70 2.68 2.68 2.70 2.74 2.79	sted Rectangular Weir 00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00 0 2.68 2.66 2.65 2.65 2.65 9 2.88

Primary OutFlow Max=3.22 cfs @ 12.17 hrs HW=9.60' (Free Discharge) —1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 3.22 cfs @ 0.84 fps)

		Dorcheste	r Salt Sheds
exist	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Ra	infall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineer	ing, Inc.	Printed	10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softw	are Solutions LLC		Page 26

Time span=0.00-24.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 481 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment C: Central	Runoff Area=28,634 sf 0.00% Impervious Runoff Depth>6.62" Tc=5.0 min CN=82 Runoff=4.52 cfs 15,787 cf
SubcatchmentN: North	Runoff Area=26,540 sf 0.66% Impervious Runoff Depth>6.49" Tc=6.0 min CN=81 Runoff=3.98 cfs 14,360 cf
Subcatchment S: South	Runoff Area=35,750 sf 18.36% Impervious Runoff Depth>5.65" Tc=0.0 min CN=74 Runoff=5.58 cfs 16,823 cf
Subcatchment W: West	Runoff Area=5,845 sf 4.76% Impervious Runoff Depth>6.01" Tc=0.0 min UI Adjusted CN=77 Runoff=0.97 cfs 2,929 cf
Pond 1P: C. Depression	Peak Elev=9.03' Storage=2,287 cf Inflow=4.52 cfs 15,787 cf Outflow=3.62 cfs 17,071 cf
Pond 2P: N. Depression	Peak Elev=9.66' Storage=10,775 cf Inflow=7.48 cfs 31,430 cf Outflow=5.85 cfs 25,794 cf

Total Runoff Area = 96,769 sf Runoff Volume = 49,899 cfAverage Runoff Depth = 6.19"92.75% Pervious = 89,752 sf7.25% Impervious = 7,017 sf

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Rainfall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 27

Summary for Subcatchment C: Central

Runoff = 4.52 cfs @ 12.02 hrs, Volume= 15,787 cf, Depth> 6.62"

Area (sf) CN	Description			
13,959	9 96	Gravel surface, HSG D			
2,600) 96	Gravel surface, HSG A			
6,375	5 84	50-75% Grass cover, Fair, HSG D			
5,700) 39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A			
28,634	1 82	Weighted Average			
28,634	1	100.00% Pervious Area			
T . 1					
IC Leng	in Slop	be Velocity Capacity Description			
(min) (tee	<u>et) (ft/</u>	tt) (ft/sec) (cfs)			
5.0		Direct Entry, Min Tc			

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Rainfall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 28

Summary for Subcatchment N: North

Runoff = 3.98 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 14,360 cf, Depth> 6.49"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	14,500	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG D)
	4,800	96	Gravel surfa	ace, HSG A	Α
	175	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)
	7,065	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG A
	26,540	81	Weighted A	verage	
	26,365		99.34% Pervious Area		
	175		0.66% Impe	ervious Are	a
Тс	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	:) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Rainfall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 29

Summary for Subcatchment S: South

Runoff = 5.58 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 16,823 cf, Depth> 5.65"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
6,564	98	Paved parking, HSG D
21,286	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D
7,900	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
35,750	74	Weighted Average
29,186		81.64% Pervious Area
6,564		18.36% Impervious Area

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Rainfall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 30

Summary for Subcatchment W: West

Runoff = 0.97 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 2,929 cf, Depth> 6.01"

Area (st) CN	Adj	Description
27	8 98		Unconnected roofs, HSG D
3,68	1 96		Gravel surface, HSG D
1,88	6 39		>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
5,84	5 78	77	Weighted Average, UI Adjusted
5,56	7		95.24% Pervious Area
27	8		4.76% Impervious Area
273	8		100.00% Unconnected

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr S	1 100-yr Rainfall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 31

Summary for Pond 1P: C. Depression

	ea =	28,634 sf,	0.00% Imperviou	us, Inflow Depth > $6.62^{"}$ for 100-yr event	
Inflow	=	4.52 CTS @ 12	2.02 nrs, volume	e= 15,787 Cf	
Outflow	=	3.62 cfs @ 12	2.08 hrs, Volume	= 17,071 cf, Atten= 20%, Lag= 3.4 min	
Primary	=	3.62 cfs @ 12	2.08 hrs, Volume	e= 17,071 cf	
Routing Starting Peak Ele	by Stor-Ind Elev= 9.00 ev= 9.03' @	d method, Time)' Surf.Area= 3 2 12.08 hrs Sເ	Span= 0.00-24.0 ,000 sf Storage urf.Area= 3,051 sf	00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs = 2,200 cf f Storage= 2,287 cf (87 cf above start)	
Plua-Flo	w detentio	n time= 62.3 mi	in calculated for 1	14.871 cf (94% of inflow)	
Center-o	f-Mass de	t. time= (not cal	culated: outflow r	precedes inflow)	
••••••		(
Volume	Inve	rt Avail.Sto	rage Storage D	Description	
#1	8.0	0' 6,09	95 cf Custom S	Stage Data (Prismatic)Listed below (Recalc)	
Flevatio	n	Surf Area	Inc Store	Cum Store	
(foo	+)	(ca_ft)	(cubic-foot)	(cubic foot)	
	0	(34-11)			
8.0	0	1,400	0	0	
9.0	0	3,000	2,200	2,200	
10.0	0	4,790	3,895	6,095	
10.0					
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices		

Primary OutFlow Max=3.55 cfs @ 12.08 hrs HW=9.02' (Free Discharge) 1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 3.55 cfs @ 1.68 fps)

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
exist MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Rainfall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 32

Summary for Pond 2P: N. Depression

Inflow Ar	ea =	55,174 sf,	0.32% Imperviou	s, Inflow Depth	n > 6.84" for 100-yr event
Outflow	_		2.00 ms, volume	- 31,4	04 of Atton 220/ Log 4.9 min
Outnow	=		2.13 hrs, volume	= 25,73	94 CI, Allen= 22% , Lag= 4.6 min
Primary	=	5.85 CTS @ 1	2.13 nrs, volume	= 25,7	94 Cf
Routing I Starting I Peak Ele	oy Stor-Inc Elev= 9.00 ev= 9.66' @	d method, Time ' Surf.Area= 8 0 12.13 hrs Su	Span= 0.00-24.0 3,400 sf Storage: urf.Area= 14,350 s	0 hrs, dt= 0.05 = 2,631 cf sf Storage= 1(hrs),775 cf (8,144 cf above start)
Plug-Flov	w detentio	n time= 224.9 r	nin calculated for	22 871 cf (73%	of inflow)
Center-o	f-Mass dei	t time= 86.9 m	in (863 3 - 776 4)	
0011101 0	i mace ae			/	
Volume	Inve	rt Avail.Sto	rage Storage D	escription	
#1	8.50	0' 15,6	43 cf Custom S	tage Data (Pri	smatic)Listed below (Recalc)
Elevatio	n S	Surf.Area	Inc.Store	Cum.Store	
(fee	t)	(sq-ft)	(cubic-feet)	(cubic-feet)	
8.5	0	2,125	0	0	
9.0	0	8,400	2,631	2,631	
9.4	5	14,350	5,119	7,750	
10.0	0	14,350	7,893	15,643	
		,	,	,	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devices		
#1	Primary	9.47'	30.0' long x 5.0	0' breadth Broa	ad-Crested Rectangular Weir
	-		Head (feet) 0.2	0 0.40 0.60 0	.80 1.00 1.20 1.40 1.60 1.80 2.00
			· · · ·		
			2.50 3.00 3.50	4.00 4.50 5.0	00 5.50
			2.50 3.00 3.50 Coef. (English)	4.00 4.50 5.0 2.34 2.50 2.7	0 5.50 0 2.68 2.68 2.66 2.65 2.65 2.65
			2.50 3.00 3.50 Coef. (English) 2.65 2.67 2.66	4.00 4.50 5.0 2.34 2.50 2.7 2.68 2.70 2.7	00 5.50 0 2.68 2.68 2.66 2.65 2.65 2.65 74 2.79 2.88

Primary OutFlow Max=5.79 cfs @ 12.13 hrs HW=9.66' (Free Discharge) —1=Broad-Crested Rectangular Weir (Weir Controls 5.79 cfs @ 1.02 fps)







PROPOSED

Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.Printed 10/18/2018HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 36

Area Listing (all nodes)

Area	CN	Description
(sq-ft)		(subcatchment-numbers)
29,862	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A (C, N, S, W)
24,613	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D (N, S)
34,576	98	Paved parking, HSG D (C, N, S, W)
7,680	98	Unconnected roofs, HSG D (BLDG)
96,731	75	TOTAL AREA

PROPOSED

Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc. Prepared by Bree

Printed 10/18/2018 Page 37

Soil Listing (all nodes)

Area	Soil	Subcatchment
(sq-ft)	Group	Numbers
29,862	HSG A	C, N, S, W
0	HSG B	
0	HSG C	
66,869	HSG D	BLDG, C, N, S, W
0	Other	
96,731		TOTAL AREA

Dorchester Salt Sheds

Sub Nun

PROPOSED

Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 38

Ground Covers (all nodes)							
	HSG-A	HSG-B	HSG-C	HSG-D	Other	Total	Ground
	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	(sq-ft)	Cover
	29,862	0	0	24,613	0	54,475	>75% Grass cover, Good
	0	0	0	34,576	0	34,576	Paved parking
	0	0	0	7,680	0	7,680	Unconnected roofs
	29,862	0	0	66,869	0	96,731	TOTAL AREA

PROPOSED

Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC	Page 39

Pipe Listing (all nodes)

Line#	Node	In-Invert	Out-Invert	Length	Slope	n	Diam/Width	Height	Inside-Fill
	Number	(feet)	(feet)	(feet)	(ft/ft)		(inches)	(inches)	(inches)
1	2P	5.50	4.90	60.0	0.0100	0.011	12.0	0.0	0.0

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 2-yr Rainfall=3.27"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering	g, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software	Solutions LLC Page 40

Time span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 721 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment BLDG: Salt S	heds Runoff Area=7,680 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.04" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.57 cfs 1,944 cf
Subcatchment C: Central	Runoff Area=19,332 sf 44.64% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.63" Tc=5.0 min CN=65 Runoff=0.26 cfs 1,023 cf
Subcatchment N: North	Runoff Area=35,275 sf 58.58% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.39" Tc=6.0 min CN=79 Runoff=1.31 cfs 4,085 cf
Subcatchment S: South	Runoff Area=29,743 sf 5.14% Impervious Runoff Depth=0.87" Tc=0.0 min CN=70 Runoff=0.74 cfs 2,154 cf
Subcatchment W: West	Runoff Area=4,701 sf 79.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.90" Tc=0.0 min CN=86 Runoff=0.29 cfs 743 cf
Reach 9R: (new Reach)	Avg. Flow Depth=0.11' Max Vel=0.80 fps Inflow=0.26 cfs 1,023 cf n=0.030 L=213.0' S=0.0057 '/' Capacity=13.34 cfs Outflow=0.20 cfs 1,023 cf
Pond 2P: Infiltration Basin	Peak Elev=8.42' Storage=1,837 cf Inflow=1.31 cfs 4,085 cf Discarded=0.08 cfs 4,085 cf Primary=0.00 cfs 0 cf Outflow=0.08 cfs 4,085 cf
Link 6L: swale	Inflow=0.75 cfs 3,177 cf Primary=0.75 cfs 3,177 cf

Total Runoff Area = 96,731 sf Runoff Volume = 9,948 cf Average Runoff Depth = 1.23" 56.32% Pervious = 54,475 sf 43.68% Impervious = 42,256 sf

Summary for Subcatchment BLDG: Salt Sheds

Runoff = 0.57 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 1,944 cf, Depth= 3.04"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
	7,680	98	Jnconnecte	ed roofs, HS	SG D			
	7,680		100.00% Impervious Area					
	7,680		100.00% Unconnected					
Tc (min)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description			
6.0	(1001)	(1010)	(14000)	(010)	Direct Entry, Min Tc			

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 2-yr Rainfall=3.27"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering	g, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software	Solutions LLC Page 42

Summary for Subcatchment C: Central

Runoff = 0.26 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 1,023 cf, Depth= 0.63"

) CN	Description		
0 98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)
2 39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A
2 65	Weighted A	verage	
2	55.36% Per	vious Area	l
0	44.64% Imp	pervious Ar	ea
		0	Description
th Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
et) (ft/f	t) (ft/sec)	(cts)	
			Direct Entry, Min Tc
	f) <u>CN</u> 0 98 2 39 2 65 2 0 with Slop et) (ft/f	f) CN Description 0 98 Paved park 2 39 >75% Grass 2 65 Weighted A 2 55.36% Per 0 44.64% Imp th Slope Velocity et) (ft/ft) (ft/sec)	f)CNDescription098Paved parking, HSG I239>75% Grass cover, Go265Weighted Average255.36% Pervious Area044.64% Impervious ArothSlopeVelocityvelocityCapacityeth(ft/ft)(ft/sec)(cfs)

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 2-yr Rainfall=3.27"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering	, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software	Solutions LLC Page 43

Summary for Subcatchment N: North

Runoff = 1.31 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 4,085 cf, Depth= 1.39"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description					
	20,665	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)			
	10,310	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A			
	4,300	80	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG D			
	35,275	79	Weighted A	verage				
	14,610		41.42% Pervious Area					
	20,665		58.58% Imp	pervious Ar	ea			
_								
Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description			
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)				
6.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc			

Summary for Subcatchment S: South

Runoff = 0.74 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume= 2,154 cf, Depth= 0.87"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,530	98	Paved parking, HSG D
20,313	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D
7,900	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
29,743	70	Weighted Average
28,213		94.86% Pervious Area
1,530		5.14% Impervious Area

Summary for Subcatchment W: West

Runoff = 0.29 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 743 cf, Depth= 1.90"

 Area (sf)	CN	Description
3,751	98	Paved parking, HSG D
 950	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
4,701	86	Weighted Average
950		20.21% Pervious Area
3,751		79.79% Impervious Area

PROPOSEDDorchester Salt ShedsPrepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.Printed 10/18/2018HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 46

Summary for Reach 9R: (new Reach)

19,332 sf, 44.64% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 0.63" for 2-yr event Inflow Area = Inflow 0.26 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 1.023 cf = 0.20 cfs @ 12.18 hrs, Volume= 1,023 cf, Atten= 23%, Lag= 7.9 min Outflow = Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 0.80 fps, Min. Travel Time= 4.5 min Avg. Velocity = 0.31 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 11.5 min Peak Storage= 57 cf @ 12.10 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.11' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 5.0 sf, Capacity= 13.34 cfs Custom cross-section, Length= 213.0' Slope= 0.0057 '/' Constant n= 0.030 Short grass Inlet Invert= 9.45', Outlet Invert= 8.23' ‡ Offset Elevation Chan.Depth (feet) (feet) (feet) 0.00 11.50 0.00 3.00 10.50 1.00 5.00 10.50 1.00 8.00 11.50 0.00 . .

Depth	End Area	Perim.	Storage	Discharge
(feet)	(sq-ft)	(feet)	(cubic-feet)	(cfs)
0.00	0.0	2.0	0	0.00
1.00	5.0	8.3	1,065	13.34

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 2-yr Rainfall=3.27"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering	g, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software	Solutions LLC Page 47

Summary for Pond 2P: Infiltration Basin

Inflow Area	ι =	35,275 sf,	58.58% In	npervious,	Inflow Depth =	1.39"	for 2-yr	revent
Inflow	=	1.31 cfs @	12.04 hrs,	Volume=	4,085 c	f		
Outflow	=	0.08 cfs @	14.39 hrs,	Volume=	4,085 c	f, Atten	= 94%,	Lag= 140.8 min
Discarded	=	0.08 cfs @	14.39 hrs,	Volume=	4,085 ct	f		
Primary	=	0.00 cfs @	0.00 hrs,	Volume=	0 c	f		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 8.42' @ 14.39 hrs Surf.Area= 1,355 sf Storage= 1,837 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 317.0 min calculated for 4,085 cf (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 316.9 min (1,188.8 - 871.9)

Volume	Inv	ert Avail.	Storage	Storage	e Description			
#1	6.0	00' 4	l,378 cf	Custon	n Stage Data (Pi	rismatic)Listed below (Recalc)		
Elevatio	on	Surf.Area	Inc (cubi	.Store	Cum.Store			
6.0)0	227	(Cubi	0	0			
7.0 8.0)0)0	637 1,125		432 881	432 1,313			
9.0 10.0)0)0	1,668 1,668		1,397 1,668	2,710 4,378			
Device	Routing	Inve	ert Outle	et Device	es			
#1 #2	Discarde Device 3	ed 6.0 8 8.5	0' 2.41 0' 12.0	0 in/hr E " Horiz.	Exfiltration over Orifice/Grate	Surface area C= 0.600		
#3	Primary	5.5	0' 12.0 L= 6 Inlet n= 0	12.0" Round Culvert L= 60.0' RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 5.50' / 4.90' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 0.79 sf				

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.08 cfs @ 14.39 hrs HW=8.42' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.08 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=0.00 cfs @ 0.00 hrs HW=6.00' (Free Discharge) 3=Culvert (Passes 0.00 cfs of 0.95 cfs potential flow) 2=Orifice/Grate (Controls 0.00 cfs)

PROPOSEDMA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 2-yrRainfall=3.27"Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.Printed 10/18/2018HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 48		D	orchester Salt Sheds
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018 HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 48	PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	2-yr Rainfall=3.27"
HvdroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HvdroCAD Software Solutions LLC Page 48	Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	g, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018
	HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software	e Solutions LLC	Page 48

Summary for Link 6L: swale

Inflow A	Area	=	49,075 sf,	, 20.70% In	npervious,	Inflow Depth =	0.78"	for 2-yr event
Inflow	=	=	0.75 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	3,177 c	f	
Primary	y =	=	0.75 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	3,177 c	f, Atter	n= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs
	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineeri	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 49

Time span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 721 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment BLDG: Salt Sheds	Runoff Area=7,680 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.66" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.80 cfs 2,985 cf
Subcatchment C: Central	Runoff Area=19,332 sf 44.64% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.59" Tc=5.0 min CN=65 Runoff=0.74 cfs 2,557 cf
Subcatchment N: North	Runoff Area=35,275 sf 58.58% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.72" Tc=6.0 min CN=79 Runoff=2.41 cfs 7,983 cf
Subcatchment S: South	Runoff Area=29,743 sf 5.14% Impervious Runoff Depth=1.96" Tc=0.0 min CN=70 Runoff=1.69 cfs 4,864 cf
Subcatchment W: West	Runoff Area=4,701 sf 79.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.37" Tc=0.0 min CN=86 Runoff=0.47 cfs 1,322 cf
Reach 9R: (new Reach) A n=0.030 L=21	vg. Flow Depth=0.22' Max Vel=1.14 fps Inflow=0.74 cfs 2,557 cf 3.0' S=0.0057 '/' Capacity=13.34 cfs Outflow=0.66 cfs 2,557 cf
Pond 2P: Infiltration Basin Discarded=0.09 cf	Peak Elev=8.74' Storage=2,300 cf Inflow=2.41 cfs 7,983 cf s 5,339 cf Primary=1.23 cfs 2,644 cf Outflow=1.32 cfs 7,983 cf
Link 6L: swale	Inflow=1.85 cfs 7,421 cf Primary=1.85 cfs 7,421 cf

Total Runoff Area = 96,731 sf Runoff Volume = 19,711 cf Average Runoff Depth = 2.45" 56.32% Pervious = 54,475 sf 43.68% Impervious = 42,256 sf

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerir	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 50

Summary for Subcatchment BLDG: Salt Sheds

Runoff = 0.80 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 2,985 cf, Depth= 4.66"

Α	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	7,680	98	Unconnected roofs, HSG D		
	7,680		100.00% In	npervious A	Area
	7,680		100.00% U	nconnected	t t
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft	e Velocity (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0	. /				Direct Entry, Min Tc

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 51

Summary for Subcatchment C: Central

Runoff = 0.74 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 2,557 cf, Depth= 1.59"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	8,630	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)
	10,702	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A
	19,332	65	Weighted A	verage	
	10,702		55.36% Per	vious Area	l
	8,630		44.64% Imp	pervious Ar	ea
_				- ·	
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	i) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
5.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 52

Summary for Subcatchment N: North

Runoff = 2.41 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 7,983 cf, Depth= 2.72"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	20,665	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)
	10,310	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A
	4,300	80	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG D
	35,275	79	Weighted A	verage	
	14,610		41.42% Pervious Area		
	20,665		58.58% Imp	pervious Ar	ea
_					
Tc	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft	:) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 53

Summary for Subcatchment S: South

Runoff = 1.69 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume= 4,864 cf, Depth= 1.96"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,530	98	Paved parking, HSG D
20,313	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D
7,900	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
29,743	70	Weighted Average
28,213		94.86% Pervious Area
1,530		5.14% Impervious Area

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 54

Summary for Subcatchment W: West

Runoff = 0.47 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 1,322 cf, Depth= 3.37"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
3,751	98	Paved parking, HSG D
950	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
4,701	86	Weighted Average
950		20.21% Pervious Area
3,751		79.79% Impervious Area

PROPOSEDDorchester Salt ShedsPrepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPrinted 10/18/2018

Summary for Reach 9R: (new Reach)

19,332 sf, 44.64% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 1.59" for 10-yr event Inflow Area = Inflow 0.74 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 2.557 cf = 0.66 cfs @ 12.12 hrs, Volume= 2,557 cf, Atten= 10%, Lag= 5.2 min Outflow = Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 1.14 fps, Min. Travel Time= 3.1 min Avg. Velocity = 0.39 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 9.0 min Peak Storage= 123 cf @ 12.07 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.22' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 5.0 sf, Capacity= 13.34 cfs Custom cross-section, Length= 213.0' Slope= 0.0057 '/' Constant n= 0.030 Short grass Inlet Invert= 9.45', Outlet Invert= 8.23' ‡ Elevation Chan.Depth Offset (feet) (feet) (feet) 0.00 11.50 0.00 3.00 10.50 1.00 5.00 10.50 1.00 8.00 11.50 0.00

Depth E	End Area	Perim.	Storage	Discharge
(feet)	(sq-ft)	(feet)	(cubic-feet)	(cfs)
0.00	0.0	2.0	0	0.00
1.00	5.0	8.3	1,065	13.34

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerir	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 56

Summary for Pond 2P: Infiltration Basin

Inflow Area	ι =	35,275 sf,	58.58% lm	pervious,	Inflow Depth =	2.72"	for 10-	yr event
Inflow	=	2.41 cfs @	12.04 hrs, \	Volume=	7,983 c	f		
Outflow	=	1.32 cfs @	12.17 hrs, \	Volume=	7,983 c	f, Atten	i= 45%,	Lag= 7.6 min
Discarded	=	0.09 cfs @	12.17 hrs, \	Volume=	5,339 ct	f		
Primary	=	1.23 cfs @	12.17 hrs, \	Volume=	2,644 c	f		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 8.74' @ 12.17 hrs Surf.Area= 1,529 sf Storage= 2,300 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 230.1 min calculated for 7,983 cf (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 230.1 min (1,077.2 - 847.1)

Volume	Inv	ert Avail.	.Storage	rage Storage Description		
#1	6.	00'	4,378 cf	Custon	n Stage Data (Pi	rismatic)Listed below (Recalc)
Elevation Surf.Area		Inc (cubic	.Store c-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)		
6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0	20 20 20 20 20 20 20	227 637 1,125 1,668 1,668		0 432 881 1,397 1,668	0 432 1,313 2,710 4,378	
Device	evice Routing Invert		ert Outle	et Device	es	
#1 #2 #3	#1 Discarded 6.00' #2 Device 3 8.50' #3 Primary 5.50'		00' 2.41 50' 12.0 Limit 50' 12.0 L= 6 Inlet n= 0	 2.410 in/hr Exfiltration over Surface area 12.0" Horiz. Orifice/Grate C= 0.600 Limited to weir flow at low heads 12.0" Round Culvert L= 60.0' RCP, sq.cut end projecting, Ke= 0.500 Inlet / Outlet Invert= 5.50' / 4.90' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 n= 0.011 Concrete pipe, straight & clean, Flow Area= 0.79 sf 		

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.09 cfs @ 12.17 hrs HW=8.74' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.09 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=1.17 cfs @ 12.17 hrs HW=8.74' (Free Discharge) 3=Culvert (Passes 1.17 cfs of 6.25 cfs potential flow) 2=Orifice/Grate (Weir Controls 1.17 cfs @ 1.59 fps)

	Dorchester Salt	Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 10-yr Rainfall=	=4.90"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineeri	ing, Inc. Printed 10/18	3/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	are Solutions LLC Pa	<u>age 57</u>
	•••	

Summary for Link 6L: swale

Inflow Ar	ea =	49,075 sf, 20.70% Impervious,	Inflow Depth = 1.81" for 10-yr event
Inflow	=	1.85 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume=	7,421 cf
Primary	=	1.85 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume=	7,421 cf, Atten= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineeri	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	are Solutions LLC Page 58

Time span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 721 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment BLDG: Salt Sheds	Runoff Area=7,680 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.94" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=0.98 cfs 3,803 cf
Subcatchment C: Central	Runoff Area=19,332 sf 44.64% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.48" Tc=5.0 min CN=65 Runoff=1.17 cfs 4,000 cf
Subcatchment N: North	Runoff Area=35,275 sf 58.58% Impervious Runoff Depth=3.84" Tc=6.0 min CN=79 Runoff=3.32 cfs 11,290 cf
Subcatchment S: South	Runoff Area=29,743 sf 5.14% Impervious Runoff Depth=2.95" Tc=0.0 min CN=70 Runoff=2.53 cfs 7,309 cf
Subcatchment W: West	Runoff Area=4,701 sf 79.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.58" Tc=0.0 min CN=86 Runoff=0.61 cfs 1,794 cf
Reach 9R: (new Reach) Av n=0.030 L=21	vg. Flow Depth=0.29' Max Vel=1.33 fps Inflow=1.17 cfs 4,000 cf 3.0' S=0.0057 '/' Capacity=13.34 cfs Outflow=1.07 cfs 4,000 cf
Pond 2P: Infiltration Basin Discarded=0.09 cfs	Peak Elev=8.93' Storage=2,590 cf Inflow=3.32 cfs 11,290 cf 5,888 cf Primary=2.47 cfs 5,393 cf Outflow=2.56 cfs 11,281 cf
Link 6L: swale	Inflow=2.85 cfs 11,309 cf Primary=2.85 cfs 11,309 cf

Total Runoff Area = 96,731 sf Runoff Volume = 28,196 cf Average Runoff Depth = 3.50" 56.32% Pervious = 54,475 sf 43.68% Impervious = 42,256 sf

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 59

Summary for Subcatchment BLDG: Salt Sheds

Runoff = 0.98 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 3,803 cf, Depth= 5.94"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
	7,680	98	Unconnected roofs, HSG D				
	7,680		100.00% Impervious Area				
	7,680		100.00% Unconnected				
Tc (min)	Length	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Description		
6.0	(1001)	(1010)	(14000)	(010)	Direct Entry, Min Tc		

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 60

Summary for Subcatchment C: Central

Runoff = 1.17 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 4,000 cf, Depth= 2.48"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description				
	8,630	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)		
	10,702	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A		
	19,332	65	Weighted A	verage			
	10,702		55.36% Pervious Area				
	8,630		44.64% Impervious Area				
-		<u></u>		A			
IC	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description		
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft	:) (ft/sec)	(cfs)			
5.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc		

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 61

Summary for Subcatchment N: North

Runoff = 3.32 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 11,290 cf, Depth= 3.84"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	20,665	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)
	10,310	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A
	4,300	80	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG D
	35,275	79	Weighted A	verage	
	14,610		41.42% Per	vious Area	l
	20,665		58.58% Imp	pervious Ar	ea
_				- ·	
Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwar	e Solutions LLC Page 62

Summary for Subcatchment S: South

Runoff = 2.53 cfs @ 11.96 hrs, Volume= 7,309 cf, Depth= 2.95"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,530	98	Paved parking, HSG D
20,313	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D
7,900	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
29,743	70	Weighted Average
28,213		94.86% Pervious Area
1,530		5.14% Impervious Area

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerin	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 63

Summary for Subcatchment W: West

Runoff = 0.61 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 1,794 cf, Depth= 4.58"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
3,751	98	Paved parking, HSG D
950	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
4,701	86	Weighted Average
950		20.21% Pervious Area
3,751		79.79% Impervious Area

PROPOSEDDorchester Salt ShedsPrepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.Printed 10/18/2018HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 64

Summary for Reach 9R: (new Reach)

19,332 sf, 44.64% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 2.48" for 25-yr event Inflow Area = Inflow 1.17 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 4.000 cf = 1.07 cfs @ 12.11 hrs, Volume= 4,000 cf, Atten= 8%, Lag= 4.8 min Outflow = Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 1.33 fps, Min. Travel Time= 2.7 min Avg. Velocity = 0.44 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 8.1 min Peak Storage= 173 cf @ 12.06 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.29' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 5.0 sf, Capacity= 13.34 cfs Custom cross-section, Length= 213.0' Slope= 0.0057 '/' Constant n= 0.030 Short grass Inlet Invert= 9.45', Outlet Invert= 8.23' ‡ Offset Elevation Chan.Depth

	(feet	:) (fe	eet)	(feet)		
_	0.00) 11	50	0.00		
	3.00	0 11 0 10	50	1.00		
	5.00	0 10 0 10	50	1.00		
	3.00	ט 10 ר 11	.50	0.00		
	0.00	5 11	.50	0.00		
	Depth E	nd Area	Perim.	Stor	age	Discharge
	(feet)	(sq-ft)	(feet)	(cubic-f	eet)	(cfs)
	0.00	0.0	2.0		0	0.00
	1.00	5.0	8.3	1,	065	13.34

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineerir	ng, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softwa	re Solutions LLC Page 65

Summary for Pond 2P: Infiltration Basin

Inflow Area	a =	35,275 sf,	58.58% lm	npervious,	Inflow Depth =	3.84"	for 25-	yr event
Inflow	=	3.32 cfs @	12.04 hrs,	Volume=	11,290 c	f		
Outflow	=	2.56 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume=	11,281 c	f, Atten	= 23%,	Lag= 3.6 min
Discarded	=	0.09 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume=	5,888 c	f		
Primary	=	2.47 cfs @	12.10 hrs,	Volume=	5,393 c	f		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 8.93' @ 12.10 hrs Surf.Area= 1,629 sf Storage= 2,590 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 182.6 min calculated for 11,281 cf (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 182.1 min (1,016.4 - 834.2)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	orage Storag	rage Storage Description					
#1	6.0	0' 4,3	78 cf Custo	m Stage Data (P	rismatic)Listed below (Recalc)				
Elevatio (fee	on et)	Surf.Area (sg-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)					
6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0	20 20 20 20 20 20 20	227 637 1,125 1,668 1,668	0 432 881 1,397 1,668	0 432 1,313 2,710 4,378					
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devic	es					
#1 #2 #3	Discarde Device 3 Primary	d 6.00' 8.50' 5.50'	2.410 in/hr l 12.0" Horiz. Limited to w 12.0" Roun L= 60.0' R0 Inlet / Outlet n= 0.011 Co	Exfiltration over Orifice/Grate eir flow at low hea d Culvert CP, sq.cut end pro Invert= 5.50' / 4.9 oncrete pipe, stra	Surface area C= 0.600 ads ojecting, Ke= 0.500 90' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 ight & clean, Flow Area= 0.79 sf				

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.09 cfs @ 12.10 hrs HW=8.93' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.09 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=2.47 cfs @ 12.10 hrs HW=8.93' (Free Discharge) 3=Culvert (Passes 2.47 cfs of 6.47 cfs potential flow) 2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 2.47 cfs @ 3.14 fps)

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 25-yr Rainfall=6.18
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engined	ering, Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Soft	ware Solutions LLC Page 66

Summary for Link 6L: swale

Inflow A	Area =	=	49,075 sf,	20.70% In	npervious,	Inflow Depth =	2.77"	for 25-yr event
Inflow	=		2.85 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	11,309 c	f	
Primary	y =		2.85 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	11,309 c	f, Atten	= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

	Dorches	ster Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 100-yr	Rainfall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineer	ring, Inc. Print	ed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softw	vare Solutions LLC	Page 67

Time span=0.00-36.00 hrs, dt=0.05 hrs, 721 points Runoff by SCS TR-20 method, UH=SCS, Weighted-CN Reach routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method - Pond routing by Stor-Ind method

Subcatchment BLDG: Salt Sheds	Runoff Area=7,680 sf 100.00% Impervious Runoff Depth=8.56" Tc=6.0 min CN=98 Runoff=1.34 cfs 5,478 cf
Subcatchment C: Central	Runoff Area=19,332 sf 44.64% Impervious Runoff Depth=4.55" Tc=5.0 min CN=65 Runoff=2.11 cfs 7,331 cf
Subcatchment N: North	Runoff Area=35,275 sf 58.58% Impervious Runoff Depth=6.26" Tc=6.0 min CN=79 Runoff=5.13 cfs 18,392 cf
Subcatchment S: South	Runoff Area=29,743 sf 5.14% Impervious Runoff Depth=5.16" Tc=0.0 min CN=70 Runoff=4.25 cfs 12,787 cf
Subcatchment W: West	Runoff Area=4,701 sf 79.79% Impervious Runoff Depth=7.11" Tc=0.0 min CN=86 Runoff=0.89 cfs 2,785 cf
Reach 9R: (new Reach) An=0.030 L=2	Avg. Flow Depth=0.39' Max Vel=1.60 fps Inflow=2.11 cfs 7,331 cf 13.0' S=0.0057 '/' Capacity=13.34 cfs Outflow=1.94 cfs 7,331 cf
Pond 2P: Infiltration Basin Discarded=0.09 cfs	Peak Elev=9.30' Storage=3,217 cf Inflow=5.13 cfs 18,392 cf 6,442 cf Primary=3.39 cfs 11,922 cf Outflow=3.49 cfs 18,364 cf
Link 6L: swale	Inflow=4.98 cfs 20,118 cf Primary=4.98 cfs 20,118 cf

Total Runoff Area = 96,731 sf Runoff Volume = 46,774 cf Average Runoff Depth = 5.80" 56.32% Pervious = 54,475 sf 43.68% Impervious = 42,256 sf

		Dorcheste	r Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Ra	infall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineer	ing, Inc.	Printed	10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softw	are Solutions LLC		Page 68

Summary for Subcatchment BLDG: Salt Sheds

Runoff = 1.34 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 5,478 cf, Depth= 8.56"

Α	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	7,680	98	Unconnected roofs, HSG D		
	7,680		100.00% Im	npervious A	Area
	7,680		100.00% U	nconnected	t t
Tc (min)	Length (feet)	Slope (ft/ft	e Velocity) (ft/sec)	Capacity (cfs)	Description
6.0	.			```	Direct Entry, Min Tc

	Dorchester Salt Sheds
PROPOSED MA	A-Dorchester 24-hr S1 100-yr Rainfall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering,	Inc. Printed 10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software S	Solutions LLC Page 69

Summary for Subcatchment C: Central

Runoff = 2.11 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 7,331 cf, Depth= 4.55"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	8,630	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)
	10,702	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	bod, HSG A
	19,332	65	Weighted A	verage	
	10,702		55.36% Per	rvious Area	l
	8,630		44.64% Imp	pervious Ar	ea
-		<u></u>		A 14	
IC	Length	Slop	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
<u>(min)</u>	(feet)	(ft/ft	:) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
5.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc

		Dorchester Salt	Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Rainfall	l=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineer	ring, Inc.	Printed 10/1	8/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softw	are Solutions LLC	F	Page 70

Summary for Subcatchment N: North

Runoff = 5.13 cfs @ 12.04 hrs, Volume= 18,392 cf, Depth= 6.26"

A	rea (sf)	CN	Description		
	20,665	98	Paved park	ing, HSG D)
	10,310	39	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG A
	4,300	80	>75% Gras	s cover, Go	ood, HSG D
	35,275	79	Weighted A	verage	
	14,610		41.42% Per	vious Area	a
	20,665		58.58% Imp	pervious Ar	ea
_					
Tc	Length	Slope	e Velocity	Capacity	Description
(min)	(feet)	(ft/ft) (ft/sec)	(cfs)	
6.0					Direct Entry, Min Tc

		Dorchester Salt Shed	s
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Rainfall=8.80)″
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineer	ing, Inc.	Printed 10/18/2018	8
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softw	are Solutions LLC	Page 7	1

Summary for Subcatchment S: South

Runoff = 4.25 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 12,787 cf, Depth= 5.16"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
1,530	98	Paved parking, HSG D
20,313	80	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG D
7,900	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
29,743	70	Weighted Average
28,213		94.86% Pervious Area
1,530		5.14% Impervious Area

		Dorcheste	r Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Ra	infall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineer	ring, Inc.	Printed	10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softw	are Solutions LLC		Page 72

Summary for Subcatchment W: West

Runoff = 0.89 cfs @ 11.95 hrs, Volume= 2,785 cf, Depth= 7.11"

Area (sf)	CN	Description
3,751	98	Paved parking, HSG D
950	39	>75% Grass cover, Good, HSG A
4,701	86	Weighted Average
950		20.21% Pervious Area
3,751		79.79% Impervious Area

PROPOSEDDorchester Salt ShedsPrepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineering, Inc.Printed 10/18/2018HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Software Solutions LLCPage 73

Summary for Reach 9R: (new Reach)

19,332 sf, 44.64% Impervious, Inflow Depth = 4.55" for 100-yr event Inflow Area = Inflow 2.11 cfs @ 12.03 hrs, Volume= 7,331 cf = 1.94 cfs @ 12.10 hrs, Volume= 7,331 cf, Atten= 8%, Lag= 4.3 min Outflow = Routing by Stor-Ind+Trans method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Max. Velocity= 1.60 fps, Min. Travel Time= 2.2 min Avg. Velocity = 0.52 fps, Avg. Travel Time= 6.9 min Peak Storage= 268 cf @ 12.06 hrs Average Depth at Peak Storage= 0.39' Bank-Full Depth= 1.00' Flow Area= 5.0 sf, Capacity= 13.34 cfs Custom cross-section, Length= 213.0' Slope= 0.0057 '/' Constant n= 0.030 Short grass Inlet Invert= 9.45', Outlet Invert= 8.23' ‡ Elevation Chan.Depth Offset (feet) (feet) (feet) 0.00 11.50 0.00 3.00 10.50 1.00 5.00 10.50 1.00

Depth	End Area	Perim.	Storage	Discharge
(feet)	(sq-ft)	(feet)	(cubic-feet)	(cfs)
0.00	0.0	2.0	0	0.00
1.00	5.0	8.3	1,065	13.34

0.00

11.50

8.00

		Dorcheste	r Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1	100-yr Ra	infall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineer	ring, Inc.	Printed	10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softw	vare Solutions LLC		Page 74

Summary for Pond 2P: Infiltration Basin

Inflow Area	a =	35,275 sf,	58.58% Imper	vious, Ir	nflow Depth =	6.26" fo	or 100-	yr event
Inflow	=	5.13 cfs @	12.04 hrs, Volu	ume=	18,392 ct	F		
Outflow	=	3.49 cfs @	12.12 hrs, Volu	ume=	18,364 cl	f, Atten=	32%, I	Lag= 4.9 min
Discarded	=	0.09 cfs @	12.05 hrs, Volu	ume=	6,442 ct	F		
Primary	=	3.39 cfs @	12.12 hrs, Volu	ume=	11,922 ct	F		

Routing by Stor-Ind method, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs Peak Elev= 9.30' @ 12.12 hrs Surf.Area= 1,668 sf Storage= 3,217 cf

Plug-Flow detention time= 124.1 min calculated for 18,338 cf (100% of inflow) Center-of-Mass det. time= 123.9 min (939.9 - 816.0)

Volume	Inve	ert Avail.Sto	orage Stora	ge Description	
#1	6.0	0' 4,3	78 cf Cust	om Stage Data (P	rismatic)Listed below (Recalc)
Elevatio (fee	on et)	Surf.Area (sq-ft)	Inc.Store (cubic-feet)	Cum.Store (cubic-feet)	
6.0 7.0 8.0 9.0 10.0	20 20 20 20 20 20 20	227 637 1,125 1,668 1,668	0 432 881 1,397 1,668	0 432 1,313 2,710 4,378	
Device	Routing	Invert	Outlet Devi	ices	
#1 #2 #3	Discarde Device 3 Primary	d 6.00' 8.50' 5.50'	2.410 in/hr 12.0" Horiz Limited to v 12.0" Rou L= 60.0' F Inlet / Outle n= 0.011 C	Exfiltration over z. Orifice/Grate weir flow at low heat ind Culvert RCP, sq.cut end pro- et Invert= 5.50' / 4. Concrete pipe, stra	Surface area C= 0.600 ads ojecting, Ke= 0.500 90' S= 0.0100 '/' Cc= 0.900 ight & clean, Flow Area= 0.79 sf

Discarded OutFlow Max=0.09 cfs @ 12.05 hrs HW=9.16' (Free Discharge) **1=Exfiltration** (Exfiltration Controls 0.09 cfs)

Primary OutFlow Max=3.36 cfs @ 12.12 hrs HW=9.29' (Free Discharge) 3=Culvert (Passes 3.36 cfs of 6.86 cfs potential flow) 2=Orifice/Grate (Orifice Controls 3.36 cfs @ 4.27 fps)

		Dorchester	Salt Sheds
PROPOSED	MA-Dorchester 24-hr S1 1	100-yr Rai	nfall=8.80"
Prepared by Bree Sullivan, PE - Bayside Engineer	ring, Inc.	Printed	10/18/2018
HydroCAD® 10.00-22 s/n 00700 © 2018 HydroCAD Softw	vare Solutions LLC		Page 75

Summary for Link 6L: swale

Inflow /	Area	=	49,075 sf,	20.70% In	npervious,	Inflow Depth =	4.92"	for 100-yr event	
Inflow	:	=	4.98 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	20,118 c	f		
Primar	y :	=	4.98 cfs @	11.96 hrs,	Volume=	20,118 c	f, Atter	n= 0%, Lag= 0.0 min	

Primary outflow = Inflow, Time Span= 0.00-36.00 hrs, dt= 0.05 hrs

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. In BMP Column, click on Blue Cell to Activate Drop Down Menu

2. Select BMP from Drop Down Menu

3. After BMP is selected, TSS Removal and other Columns are automatically completed.

	Location:	Grass Channel			
	В	С	D	Е	F
		TSS Removal	Starting TSS	Amount	Remaining
	BMP ¹	Rate ¹	Load*	Removed (C*D)	Load (D-E)
Ť					
hee	Grass Channel	0.50	1.00	0.50	0.50
al ksl					
δ ρ		0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50
E €					
S n		0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50
SS lati					
		0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50
ial (
0		0.00	0.50	0.00	0.50
		Total T	SS Removal =	50%	Separate Form Needs to be Completed for Each Outlet or BMP Train
	Project:	Dorchester Salt Sheds			•
	Prepared By:	Bree Sullivan, P.E.		*Equals remaining load from	n previous BMP (E)
	Date:	10/18/2018		which enters the BMP	

Version 1, Automated: Mar. 4, 2008

Non-automated TSS Calculation Sheet must be used if Proprietary BMP Proposed 1. From MassDEP Stormwater Handbook Vol. 1

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. In BMP Column, click on Blue Cell to Activate Drop Down Menu

2. Select BMP from Drop Down Menu

3. After BMP is selected, TSS Removal and other Columns are automatically completed.

	Location:	Infiltration Basin]	
	В	С	D	E	F
		TSS Removal	Starting TSS	Amount	Remaining
	BMP ¹	Rate ¹	Load*	Removed (C*D)	Load (D-E)
	Infiltration Basin	0.80	1.00	0.80	0.20
oval	orksh	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.20
Rem		0.00	0.20	0.00	0.20
TSS	culati	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.20
	Cal	0.00	0.20	0.00	0.20
		Total T	SS Removal =	80%	Separate Form Needs to be Completed for Each Outlet or BMP Train
	Project:	Dorchester Salt Sheds			
	Prepared By:	Bree Sullivan, P.E.		*Equals remaining load from	n previous BMP (E)
	Date:	10/18/2018]	which enters the BMP	

Version 1, Automated: Mar. 4, 2008

Non-automated TSS Calculation Sheet must be used if Proprietary BMP Proposed 1. From MassDEP Stormwater Handbook Vol. 1

Mass. Dept. of Environmental Protection

INSTRUCTIONS:

1. In BMP Column, click on Blue Cell to Activate Drop Down Menu

2. Select BMP from Drop Down Menu

3. After BMP is selected, TSS Removal and other Columns are automatically completed.

	Location:	Infiltration Basin + Channel				
	В	С	D	E	F	
		TSS Removal	Starting TSS	Amount	Remaining	
	BMP ¹	Rate ¹	Load*	Removed (C*D)	Load (D-E)	
heet	Grass Channel	0.50	1.00	0.50	0.50	
oval orksl	Infiltration Basin	0.80	0.50	0.40	0.10	
Rem on W		0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	
TSS culati		0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	
Cal		0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	
		Total T	SS Removal =	90%	Separate Form Needs to be Completed for Each Outlet or BMP Train	
	Project:	Dorchester Salt Sheds		-		
Prepared By: Bree Sullivan, P.E.				*Equals remaining load from previous BMP (E)		
	Date:	10/18/2018		which enters the BMP		
Non-automate	ed TSS Calculation Sheet					

Version 1, Automated: Mar. 4, 2008

V

Mass. Dept. of Environmental Protection

must be used if Proprietary BMP Proposed 1. From MassDEP Stormwater Handbook Vol. 1

Groundwater Recharge

Impervious Area Increase = Proposed Impervious – Existing Impervious

Impervious Area Increase = 42,256 s.f. - 7,017 s.f. = 35,239 s.f.

Recharge Volume:

Rv = F x Impervious Area

A soils: No impervious surface within A soils. D soils: Rv = 0.10 inch/12 inches/ft X 35,239 s.f. = 294 c.f.

Recharge Provided:

Infiltration Basin = 1,943 c.f. below the overflow weir

1,943 c.f. > 294 c.f. OK

Water Quality Efficiency

The Massachusetts DEP requires water quality calculations based on 1.0 inch of runoff for the total impervious areas where infiltration rates are greater than 2.1 in/hr. The following calculation identifies the water quality volume required:

Vwq = 0.083 ft. X 42,256 s.f. = 3,507 c.f.

The infiltration basin will infiltrate all runoff (4,085 c.f.) during the 2 year storm recurrence interval.

APPENDIX D

PHOTOS

List of Photographs

Photo No. 1 – Looking north at site

Photo No. 2 – Looking south at site

- Photo No. 3 Looking north along Freeport Street sidewalk (site is on the right)
- Photo No. 4 Looking south along Freeport Street sidewalk (site is on the left)
- Photo No. 5 Culvert Outfall (looking west)
- Photo No. 6 Culvert outfall (looking east) at Neponset River/Marina Bay
- Photo No. 7 Looking north from the southern end of site
- Photo No. 8 Looking south from site



Photo No. 1 – Looking north at site



Photo No. 2 – Looking south at site



Photo No. 3 – Looking north along Freeport Street sidewalk (site is on the right)



Photo No. 4 – Looking south along Freeport Street sidewalk (site is on the left)



Photo No. 5 - Culvert Outfall (looking west)



Photo No. 6 – Culvert outfall (looking east) at Neponset River/Marina Bay


Photo No. 7 - Looking north from the southern end of site



Photo No. 8 – Looking south from site